Appendix A

NORC		(OFFIC	e vse	3):	SN					1-4/
5/77 4259	CASE #									5-13/
SURVEY OF NAT	URAL DISASTER	PUBLIC_	POLIC	IES						
KEY PER	SONS INTERVIEW	SCHEDU	LE							
Social and Dem University o	ographic Resea f Massachusett			:e						
	and									
	Opinion Resea iversity of Ch		iter							
Hello! I am (NAME Center. Earlier this summ	from	the Nat	ional	l Op	inio ma Dr	n Re	:6841 :ter	rch Ross	.1	
of the University of Massa study of how states and lo	chusetts askir	g for y	our o	соор	erat	ion	in a	L		
You were asked for an inte is one that is generally i	rview because	your po	sitio	on h	ere	in (STAT	E/LE		
of public policies.	aportune in th	ie deve	Opiaci					,		
	r 								1	
	ENTE	R TIME I	BEGAN :	: _				AM PM		
	L			_			_		ر	

BEGIN DECK 01

1. To begin with, we're interested in knowing what have been the serious problems facing (STATE/LPJ) over the past 10 years. Here is a list of problems that have commonly faced (states/local political jurisdictions). Please take a moment to look over this list. I am going to ask you to rate each problem on this list with a score between 1 and 10 to represent just how serious you think that problem has been in this (state/community) over the past 10 years. PAUSE TO LET R STUDY THE LIST, THEN CONTINUE: Thinking of a score of 1 as representing no problem at all and a score of 10 as a most serious problem, what score between 1 and 10 would you give to (REPEAT FOR EACH CATEGORY)?

Ī	HAND CARD	
l	. A	ŀ

	PROBLEM	SCORE	
a.	Inflation		14-15/
ь.	Damage and injury from tornadoes		16-17/
c.	Unemployment		18-19/
d.	Water or air pollution		20-21/
٠.	Damage and injury from hurricanes		22-23/
f,	Crime rates		24-25/
g.	Drug addiction	·	26-27/
h.	Quality of public education		28-29/
i.	Damage and injury from flooding		30-31/
·t	Public Welfare costs		32-33/
k.	Pornographic literature and movies		34-35/
1.	Too little economic growth		36-37/
m.	Damage and injury from earthquakes		38-39/
n.	Traffic congestion		40-41/
٥.	Too much economic growth		42-43/
p.	Race relations		44-45/
q.	Loss from fires		46-47/
r.	Inadequate housing		48-49/

ATT	YEV	PERSON

DECK 01	
---------	--

2.	Are there any problems that ere not o	on this list that have been very seriou
	here in (STATE/LPJ) within the past	

CARD	YES (ASK A)	1	50/
A	NO (GO TO Q. 3)	2	
	DON'T KNOW	8	

A. IF YES: What are these problems? PROBE: What other serious problems not on this list have there been here in (STATE/LPJ) within the past 10 years? RECORD VERBATIM.

51-52/ 53-54/ 55-56/

3. There are other types of natural hazards that are not on this list that have been problems in some communities—for example, mudslides, drought conditions, hailstorms and severe snowfalls. Within the past ten years, have there been any (REPEAT FOR EACH CATEGORY) that have been problems here in (STATE/LPJ)?

CARD

		YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	
(1)	Mudelides	1	2	8	57/
(2)	Drought conditions	1	2	8	58/
(3)	Hailstorms	ı	2	8	59/
(4)	Severe snowfells	1	2	В	60/
(5)	Any other types of natural hazards (IF YES, SPECIFY BELOW)				
		1	2	8	61/
		1	2	в	62/

ALL KEY PERSONS

DECK 01

4. There are other types of man-made emergency situations—for example, civil diaorders, emergency air pollution conditions and power failures, that have been problems in some communities. Within the past ten years, have there been any (REPEAT FOR EACH CATEGORY) that were considered emergency situations here in (STATE/LPJ)?

CARD

		YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	
(1)	Civil disorders	1	2	8	63/
(2)	Air pollution	1	2	8	64/
(3)	Power failures	1	2	8	65/
(4)	Any other types of man- made emergency situations? (IF YES, SPECIFY BELOW)				
		1	2	8	66/

 Thinking back over the lest ten years, has (STATE/LPJ) experienced a (REPEAT FOR EACH CATEGORY) that you would consider to be a serious event?

		YES (ASK A)	NO	DON'T KNOW	
1)	Flood	1	2	8	67/
2)	Tornado	1	2	8	69/
3)	Earthquake	1	2	8	71/
4)	Hurricane	1	2	8	73/

IF "NO" OR "DON'T KNOW" FOR ALL CATEGORIES 1-4, SKIP TO Q. 10

FOR EACH YES, ASK A:

A. How many serious (READ CATE-CORY) were there here in (STATE/LPJ) within the last ten years? PROBE IF NECES-SARY: Just your best guess. ENTER NUMBER.

	68/
 ٠	70/
	72/
	74/

END DECK 01

ALL KEY PERSONS

05

BECIN DECK

- 9 ONLY IF AT LEAST ONE DISASTER IS CODED "YES" IN Q.

For (any of) the disast consequences for (SIATE than a year?	For (any of) the disaster(s) that (STATE/1.Pi) experienced over the last ten years, were there any long term consequences for (STATE/LPJ)? For example, was the economy of (any part of STATE/LPJ) affected for more than a year?	R
	YES (ASK A-C) 1 NO (GO TO Q. 7) 2 DON'T KNOW (GO TO Q. 7) 8	25
IF YES:	FOR EACH LISTED IN A, ASK B AND C.	
A. Which disaster or	B. When did that C.	
LIST BELOW ALL		
DISASTER TYPES	YEAR(S)	
BEFORE ASKING	ONLY, PROBE IF	
B AND C.	NECESSARY: Just your	
	best inate.	
		/1-9
		89/
		10-11/
		12-13/
	1	14-15/
		//1-91
		18-19/
	7	70-51/
	7	12-13/
	5	24-25/

38/

8

DECK

2/

BECIN DECK 03

disasters was that? LIST BELOW ALL DISASTER TYPES	(DISASTER) or (DISASTERS) occur? (ET YEAR(S)	policy made as a result of the (Dishalk lifels). PROBE: What other change in local or state policy was made? RECORD VERBATIM.	
B AND C.	NECESSARY: Just your best estimate.		——————————————————————————————————————
			39-40/
		7	41-42/
		7	43-44/
1.			79-49
			47-48/
			/05-67
4			51-52/
7.			53-54/
			1
			25-56/
			57-58/
			29-60/
3.			61-62/
	-		63-64/
			/99-59
.45			189-19
		ENP DECK OZ	/01-69

Are the physical effects of (the/any of these) disaster(s) still noticeable?

7 7 8 YES . . (ASK A-C)
NO (GO TO Q. 9)...
DON'T KNOW (GO TO Q 9)

IF YES:		ASK BAND C:	Г
A. Which disaster or		C. What are the effects of the (DISASTER TYPE(S))	
disasters was that'	(DISASTER) or (DISASTERS)	that are still noticeable? PROBE: What other effects are still noticeable?	
DISASTER TYPES	occur? GET YEAR(S)	RECORD VERBATIM.	
B AND C.	NECESSARY, Inst vont		
	best estimate.		_
			;
-			8-9/
			10-11/
			12-13/
			i
			14-15/
c			16-17/
.,			18-19/
		,	717-07
			ı
			22-23/
·			24-25/
			26-27/
			28-29/
			ļ
			30-31/
			32-33/
		_	

ALL KEY PERSONS

were there

Still thinking or (DISASTER TYPE(S) HENTIONED IN Q.) that occurred within the past ten years, other long-term effects of (that/any of these) disaster(s)?

39-40/ 41-42/ 43-44/ 45-46/ 47-48; 49-50/ 51-52/ 53-54/ 55-56/ 57-58/ 59-60/ 61-62/ 63-64/ 65-66/ 67-68/ 69-70/ FOR EACH LISTED IN A, ASK B AND C:

B. When did that

(DISASTER) or

(DISASTER) or

(DISASTER) or

(DISASTERS)

(DISASTERS NO (GO TO Q. 10)

DON'T KNOM (GO TO Q. 10) 뎚 WES.
Which disaster or disasters was char?
LIST BELOW ALL
DISASTER TYPES
BEFORE ASKING
B AND C.

Appendix A

4**	THE DEDICATE
ابلطي	CEY PERSONS BEGIN DECK 04
10.	Over the <u>next</u> ten years, what are the chances that your (state/community) will experience a serious (REPEAT FOR EACH DISASTER TYPE)? On a scale from a 0% to a 100% chance, where would you place your (state's/community's) chance of experiencing a serious (REPEAT FOR EACH DISASTER TYPE)? CIRCLE 98 IF RESPONDENT IS UNABLE TO ESTIMATE.
	a. Flood 7 98 5-6/
	b. Hurricane 7 98 7-8/
	c. Tornado 7 98 9-10/
	d. Earthquake 7. 98 11-12/
IF IC	S. S11-S21 OF STATE LEVEL KEY PERSONS ONLY. CAL LEVEL KEY PERSON, SKIP TO Q. L22, PAGE 13. e're interested in discussing preventive measures that could be taken long in ce that would tend to lower potential damage and injury from natural hazards. Some states have passed legislation that restricts usage of land in flood plains or in sites that are close to earthquake faults. As far as you know, has (STATE) enacted any such legislation? YES
S 12.	(Are you/Would you be) strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, somewhat opposed, or strongly opposed to such legislation in (STATE)?
	STRONGLY IN FAVOR 1 14/
	SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR 2
	SOMEWHAT OPPOSED 3
	STRONGLY OPPOSED 4
	DON'T KNOW 8
\$13.	Another measure that some states have taken is to enact statewide building codes that require buildings in flood plains to be flood-proofed or those in earthquake prone places to be built to minimize earthquake demage.
	As far as you know, does (STATE) have any such building codes?

15/

Append	112	•
		~

TATE	LEVEL KEY PERSONS ONLY	DECK	04
114.	(Are you/Would you be) strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, somewhat opposed or strongly opposed to such legislation in this state?		
	STRONGLY IN FAVOR 1		16/
	SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR 2		
	SOMEWHAT OPPOSED 3		
	STRONGLY OPPOSED 4		
	DON'T KNOW 8		
315.	Still another measure some states have taken is to run educational cam informing the general public of the actions they can take to reduce da their property and injury in case a dissater strikes.		
	A. As far as you know, has (STATE) run any such educational campaigns	?	
	YES 1		17/
	NO 2		
	DON'T KNOW		
	B. (Are you/Would you be) strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, somew opposed or strongly opposed to such educational campaigns in this		e?
	STRONGLY IN FAVOR 1		18/
	SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR 2		
	SOMEWHAT OPPOSED 3		
	STRONGLY OPPOSED 4		
	DON'T KNOW 8		

Appendix A

	STATE	LEVEL.	EEY	PERSONS	ONLY
--	-------	--------	-----	---------	------

all, have you heard anything about the Federal Government's Flood Program? YES (GO TO Q. S17) 1 19/ NO (ASK A) 2 DON'T KNOW (ASK A) 8 OR DON'T KNOW: The idea behind the program is that the Federal nament will subsidize flood insurance for property owners in flood d areas, if, in return, local communities agree to establish policies restrict further construction and development in those areas. you heard any discussion about a federal program of that sort here	STATE	LEV	EL KEY PERSONS ONLY	DEC	K 04
YES (GO TO Q. S17) 1 19/ NO	S16.		I would like to ask you some qua floods.	estions concerning river floods and co-	astal
YES (GO TO Q. S17) 1 19/ NO			st of all, have you heard anythi	ng about the Federal Government's Floor	d
nment will subsidize flood insurance for property owners in flood d areas, if, in return, local communities agree to establish policies restrict further construction and development in those areas. you heard any discussion about a federal program of that sort here IATE)? YES(GO TO Q. 17) 1 20/NO		••	·	NO (ASK A) 2	19/
YES(GO TO Q. 17) 1 20/ NO(ASK B) 2 DON'T KNOW (ASK B) 8 F NO OR DON'T KNOW TO A: What is your personal opinion of the Federal Flood Insurance Program? Thinking of the country a whole, are you strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, somewhat opposed, or strongly opposed to the Program? STRONGLY IN FAVOR		A -	Government will subsidize flood hazard areas, if, in return, lo	insurance for property owners in flood cal communities agree to establish pol:	d
NO			Have you heard any discussion a in (STATE)?	bout a federal program of that sort her	re
se Federal Flood Insurance Program? Thinking of the country a whole, are you strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, somewhat opposed, or strongly opposed to the Program? STRONGLY IN FAVOR				NO (ASK B) 2	2 0/
SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR 2 SOMEWHAT OPPOSED			the Federal Flood Insurance as a whole, are you strongly	Program? Thinking of the country of in favor, somewhat in favor.	
SOMEWHAT OPPOSED			STRONGLY IN FA	/OR 1	21/
STRONGLY OPPOSED 4			SOMEWHAT IN FA	/OR 2	
			SOMEWHAT OPPOS	ΣD 3 } GO TO Q.S18	
DON'T KNOW 8			STRONGLY OPPOS	žD 4	
			DON'T KNOW	8	
our personal opinion of the Federal Flood Insurance Program? Think untry as a whole, are you strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, opposed, or strongly opposed to the program? STRONGLY IN FAVOR 1 22 SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR 2	s17.	of	STRONGLY OPPOSE DON'T KNOW at is your personal opinion of the country as a whole, are you	the Federal Flood Insurance Program? The strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, sed to the program? STRONGLY IN FAVOR 1	
				SOMEWHAT OPPOSED 3	

STRONGLY OPPOSED 4 DON'T KNOW 8

NOW SKIP TO Q. 41, PAGE 20

DON'T KNOW 8

Appendix A

LOCAL LEVEL KEY PERSONS ONLY

<u>ask</u>	QS.	L22 -	140	OF LOCAL	LEVEL KEY	PERSONS	ONLY.
					SKIP TO Q		

22. Now I would like to ask you some questions concerning river floods and coastal area floods.

First of all, have you heard anything about the Federal Government's Flood Insurance Program?

YES (SKIP TO Q. L25)	1 27/
NO	2
DON'T KNOW	8

L23. The idea behind the program is that the Federal Government will subsidize flood insurance for property owners in flood hezard areas, if, in return, local communities agree to restrict further construction and development in those areas.

Have you heard any discussion about a plan of this sort here in (LPJ)?

YES (GO TO Q. L25)	1	28/
NO	2	
DON'T KNOW	8	

L24. What is your personal opinion of the Flood Insurance Program? Thinking of the country as a whole, are you strongly in favor, somewhat in favor, somewhat opposed, or strongly opposed?

STRONGLY IN FAVOR	1		29
SOMEWHAT IN PAVOR	2		
SOMEWHAT OPPOSED	3 >	SKIP TO Q. 41, PAGE 20	
STRONGLY OPPOSED	4		
DON'T KNOW	8		

W.	L LEVEL KEY PERSONS C				
1,25.	As far as you know, Administration that in the Flood Insuran	it has a flood h	otified by the Federal Insura azard problem and is eligible	nce to pa	rticipate
	Y	ES, LPJ NOTIFIED	(ASK Q. L26)	1	30/
	N	O, LPJ <u>NOT</u> NOTIF	1ED (GO TO Q. L27)	2	
		ON'T KNOW	(GO TO Q. L27)	8	
ASK	O. L26 ONLY OF THOSE	WHO SAY LPJ HAS	BEEN NOTIFIED:		
L26.	Is your community n	w participating	in the program or not?		
			YES, PARTICIPATING	1	31/
			NO, NOT PARTICIPATING	2	
			DON'T KNOW	8	
		•	IS SERIOUS ENOUGH	1 2	32/
L28.		NO, PROBLEM : DON'T KNOW .		2 8 inking	of
L28.		NO, PROBLEM : DON'T KNOW . al opinion of the ble, are you stro	ES NOT SERIOUS ENOUGH	2 8 sinking svor, s	of omewhat
L28.	the country as a who	NO, PROBLEM : DON'T KNOW . al opinion of the ble, are you stro	E Flood Insurance Program? Thoughy in favor, somewhat in fa	2 8 sinking evor, s	of omewhat
L28.	the country as a who	NO, PROBLEM : DON'T KNOW . al opinion of the ble, are you stro	E Flood Insurance Program? Thoughy in favor, somewhat in fa	2 8 sinking evor, s	of omewhat
L28.	the country as a who	NO, PROBLEM : DON'T KNOW . al opinion of the ble, are you stro	E Flood Insurance Program? Thoughy in favor, somewhat in fa	2 8 sinking evor, s	

BLANK (SKIP TO Q. 41, PAGE 20) .. 3

LOCAL LEVEL KEY PERSONS IN PARTICIPATING LPS'S

130. Earlier, you mentioned that (LPJ) is participating in the Flood Insurance Program Some communities have had good experiences with the Flood Insurance Program and others have had some problems. I am going to read to you a list of some of the problems communities have had with the program. As I read each problem, please tell me whether or not this community has ever had that problem with the Flood Insurance Program and, if yes, how serious that problem was.

						FOR EACH YES, ASK: A. How serious has this problem ever been in this community-very serious, somewhat serious, or not at all serious?				-
	Program in this community ever been that (REPEAT FOR EACH CATEGORY.)				• 					
		YES	NO	DON'T KNOW		VERY SERIOUS	SOME- WHAT SERIOUS	NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	DON'T KNOW	
(1)	Property values in flood areas have fallen?	1	2	8	35/	1	2	3	8	:
(2)	Ongoing development projects had to be abandoned before completion?	1	2	8	37/	1	2	3	8	
	Flood hazard maps contained too many errors and inaccuracies?	1	2	8	39/	ı	2	3	8	4
(4)	Flood hazard maps have not been detailed enough?	1	2	8	41/	1	2	3	8	4
(5)	Eligible homeowners have not been interested in buying insurance?	1	2	8	43/	1	2	3	8	41
(6)	Implementing and administering the program has been a burden to the community?	1	2	8	45/	1	2	3	8	41
(7)	The coverage has not been enough for most homsowners?	1	2	6	47/	1	2	3	8	48
(8)	The insurance companies did not understand the program?	1	2	8	49/	1	2	3	8	50
(9)	Homeowners have com- pained about the program?	1	2	8	51/	1	2	3	8	•
(10)	Many appeals and requests have been made for variances under the flood plain regulations?	1	2	8	53/	1	2	3	8	
(11)	Complaints have been made that the insurance costs are excessive?	l	2	8	55/	ı	2	3	8	56,

DECK

LOCAL LEVEL KEY PERSONS IN PARTICIPATING LPJ'S

131. One criticism that has been made of the Flood Insurance Program is that places that have never been flooded as far back as anyone can remember have been identified as flood hazard prone. Have you heard this criticism here in (LPJ) frequently, once in a while, or not at all?

HEARD FREQUENTLY	1 5	57/
ONCE IN A WHILE	2	
NOT AT ALL	3	
DON'T KNOW	8	

- L32. The defenders of the Flood Insurance Program say that it has a number of good points. For example--
 - A. Homeowners who live in flood prone areas can now feel more secure that flood damages will be covered by insurance. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

AGREE	1 58/
DISAGREE	2
DON'T KNOW	8

B. People who want to buy a home are more conscious of flood risk hazards now that the flood prone areas have been mapped. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

AGREE	1	59/
DISAGREE	2	
DON'T KNOW	8	

L33. In your opinion, does the Flood Insurance Program appear to be very fair, somewhat fair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair to each of the following groups.

(REPEAT FOR EACH CATEGORY) --does the Flood Insurance Program appear, in your opinion, to be very fair, somewhat fair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair to this group?

		VERY FAIR	SOMEWHAT FAIR	SOMEWHAT UNFAIR	VERY UNFA IR	DON'T KNOW	
* .	Homeowners who live on the flood plains or meacoasts	1	2	3	4	8	60/
ь.	Persons who own undeveloped land on the flood plains or seacoasts	1	2	3	4	8	61/
c.	Businesses and industries that have buildings in the flood prone areas.	1	2	3	4	8	62/
đ.	Local officials who have to administer the plan.	ı	2	3	4	8	63/

DECK 04

LOCAL LEVEL KEY PERSONS IN PARTICIPATING LPJ'S

L34. Do you think the Flood Insurance Program's current restrictions on building and development in flood hazard areas are too strict, about right, or too lenient?

TOO STRICT	1	64/
ABOUT RIGHT	2	
TOO LENIENT	3	
DON'T KNOW	8	

L35. Aside from the problems we have just discussed, have there been any other problems with the Flood Insurance Program here in (LPJ)?

YES (ASK A) 1 65/ NO (GO TO Q. L36) .. 2 DON'T KNOW . (GO TO Q. L36) .. 8

A. IF YES: What were these problems? PROME: What other problems have there been with the Flood Insurance Program here in (LPJ)?

66-67/ 68-69/

> 70-71/ 72-73/

END DECK 04

BEGIN DECK OS

LOCAL LEVEL KEY PERSONS IN PARTICIPATING LPJ'S

L36. In some communities the Flood Insurance Program has sparked much controversy, at least for a time, while in other communities it has never sparked any controversy at all. How about here in (LPJ) -- would you say that the program, during its most controversial period, sparked much controversy, some controversy, or no controversy at all?

MUCH CONTROVERSY (ASK Q. L37)	I	5,
SOME CONTROVERSY (ASK Q. L37)	2	
NO CONTROVERSY AT ALL (GO TO Q. L38)	3	
DON'T KNOW (GO TO Q. L38)	8	

ASK Q. 137 IF "MUCH OR SOME CONTROVERSY" IN Q. 136:

L37. Support for the Flood Insurance Program or opposition to it varies from group to group. How about here in (LPJ)? For each of the groups I mention, please tell me whether that group has ever opposed the participation of this community in the Flood Insurance Program.

		YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	
4.	Local real estate firms?	1	2	8	6/
ъ.	Local industries?	1	2	8	7/
c.	Retail businesses?	1	2	8	8/
d.	Local insurance agents?	1	2	8	9/
•.	Land developers?	1	2	8	10/
f.	Private homeowners?	ı	2	8	11/
g.	Farmers?	1	2	8	12/
h.	Construction firms?	1	2	8	13/
í.	City officials?	1	2	8	14/
j.	Local conservationists?	1	2	8	15/
k.	Construction unions?	1	2	8	16/
ı.	Local newspapers?	1	2	8	17/
m .	Chamber of Commerce?	1	2	8	18/
n.	Banks and savings and loans?	1	2	8	19/

10041	T THITTIE	100000	THE COME	710	PARTICIPATING	
للمستحاسا	LEVEL	A.C. I	PERSUNS	iΠ	PAKITUTPATING	L.P.J. 1

L38.	Thinking back to the time before (LPJ) started participating in the Flood
	Insurance Program, to your knowledge, did (LPJ) have any restrictions or other
	regulations concerning construction and development in flood hazard areas?

YES	(ASK L39)	1
NO	(GO TO Q. 140).	2
DON'T KNOW	(GO TO O. 140).	8

ASK 139 ONLY IF YES TO Q. 138:

L39. Were the regulations in force before the participation in the Flood Insurance
Plan more restrictive, about as restrictive, or less restrictive than the
federal regulations?

MORE RESTRICTIVE	1
ABOUT AS RESTRICTIVE	2
LESS RESTRICTIVE	3
DON'T KNOW	8

140. In the long run, let's say over the next twenty years, how much effect do you think the Flood Insurance Plan will have on development and construction in flood hazard areas in (LPJ) -- a very strong effect, some effect, or no effect at all?

VERY STRONG EFFECT	1
SOME EFFECT	2
NO EFFECT	3
DON'T YNGU	

DECK 05

ALL KEY PERSONS

41. Now I would like to ask you some questions about earthquakes. First, do you think that the risk from earthquakes here in (STATE/LPJ) is very serious, somewhat serious, not very serious, or not at all serious? VERY SERIOUS 1 23/ SOMEWHAT SERIOUS 2 NOT VERY SERIOUS 3 NOT AT ALL SERIOUS (GO TO Q. 43) 4 DON'T KNOW (GO TO Q,43)..... 8 42. As you know, regulations on land use and building construction can reduce the risk from earthquake damage. In your opinion, is the earthquake risk here in (STATE/LPJ) serious enough or not serious enough to justify such regulations? 24/ SERIOUS ENOUGH TO JUSTIFY REGULATIONS .. 1 NOT SERIOUS ENOUGH TO JUSTIFY REGULATIONS 2 DON'T KNOW 8 43. As far as you know, are there, here in (STATE/LPJ), any special (state/local) ... DON'T KNOW Regulations for construction on land that 8 25/ is particularly subject to earthquake risk? b. Building codes requiring that existing structures for public use -- for example, 2 26/ schools, hospitals, or theatres -- be earthquake resistant? c. Building codes requiring owners of 27/ existing residential property to take 2 8 earthquake-proofing steps? d. Building codes for new construction --28/ both for public use and residential use-requiring earthquake-proof features?

44.	Some (states/communities) have enacted laws or strengthened existing laws trestrict development in high earthquake risk stees. In the last five years have there been any strength here in (STATE/LPJ) to enact laws or strengthe existing laws restricting development in high risk stees?
	YES (ASK A) , 1
	NO (GO TO Q.45) 2
	DON'T KNOW . (GO TO Q.45) 8

A. IF YES: Were these moves to regulate development in high earthquake risk areas successful or not successful in getting legislation passed?

SUCCESSFUL .	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	1
UNSUCCESSFUL		٠	-					2
DON'T KNOW .								8

DECK

45. Other (states/communities) have enacted laws or strengthened existing laws to require that newly constructed buildings be earthquake resistant. In the last five years, have there been any attempts here in (STATE/LPJ) to enact laws or strengthen existing laws requiring that newly constructed buildings be earthquake resistant?

YES	•	•	٠	•	•	(ASK A) 1	
NO .	•		•			(GO TO Q.46)2	
DON "	.	CNA	w	_		(GO TO 0.46) 8	

IF YES: Was any such legislation requiring new construction to be earthquake resistant passed within the last five years?

YES	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	1	
NO									٠				2	
DON 1	т	ĸ	ioi	,									8	

DECK OS

46. Now, I would like to ask you about what you think the federal government should do in regard to natural hazards. Of course, almost everybody agrees on some things—for example, that warning systems should be accurate and timely, and that all government agencies should do everything they can to alleviate suffering in the <u>immediate</u> aftermath of a disaster. But on other issues, there is quite a bit of disagreement.

For example, some people argue that families and businesses know the risks they are taking when they build or live in hazardous areas. Since people undertake these risks willingly, then it is their responsibility to bear the losses they might suffer if disaster should strike. According to this view, the <u>Federal</u> Government should not get involved at all in the <u>long term</u> re-building of a stricken area.

Do you personally agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this position?

AGREE STRONGLY (ASK A) 1	33,
AGREE SOMEWHAT (ASK A) 2	
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT. (ASK B) 3	
DISAGREE STRONGLY. (ASK B) 4	
DON'T KNOW (GO TO Q. 47) . 8	

A. IF AGREE STRONGLY OR AGREE SOMEWRAT: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here in (STATE/LPJ) arguing that the Federal Government should bear some of the responsibility for helping families and businesses in the long term rebuilding after a disaster. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	1	34/
MURT CHANCES							2	
IRRELEVANT .							3	
DON'T KNOW .							8	

B. IF DISAGREE STRONGLY OR DISAGREE SOMEWHAT: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here in (STATE/LPJ) arguing that the Federal Government should not bear any of the responsibility for helping families and businesses in the long term rebuilding after a disaster. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES 1	35/
HURT CHANCES 2	
IRRELEVANT 3	
DON'T KNOW 8	

ALL KEY PERSONS

DECK 05

47. Here is another controversial issue. Some people argue that the Federal Government should try to make vulnerable areas safer by building protective public works, such as flood control dams, levees, seawalls, and the like. According to this view, the Federal Government should spend its money on these kinds of protective public works rather than on heiping people rebuild after a disaster atrikes.

Do you personally agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree atrongly with this position?

AGREE STRONGLY (ASK A)	1 36,
AGREE SOMEWHAT (ASK A)	2
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT (ASK B)	3
DISAGREE STRONGLY (ASK B)	4
DON'T KNOW (GO TO O. 48	.) 8

A. IF AGREE STRONGLY OR AGREE SOMEWHAT: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here in (STATE/LPJ) agruing that the Federal Government should spend less of its money on building protective public works and more of its money on helping people in the long term rebuilding in the aftermath of a disaster. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES	1	37/
HURT CHANCES	2	
IRRELEVANT	3	
DON'T KNOW	6	

B. IF DISAGREE STRONGLY OR DISAGREE SOMEWHAT: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here in (STATE/LPJ) arguing that the Federal Government should apend more of its money on building protective public works and lass of its money on helping people in the long term rebuilding in the aftermath of a disaster. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES	1 3	8/
HURT CHANCES	2	
IRRELEVANT	3	
DON'T KNOW	8	

48. Still another controversial viewpoint is that the Federal Government should require stricter land use controls and building standards to reduce risk from natural disasters. According to this view, in the most vulnerable areas, the Federal Government would prohibit development. In the less vulnerable areas, the Federal Government would require that new atructures be flood-proofed, earthquake resistant, or able to withstand strong winds, depending upon local situations. The argument is that the Federal Government should require these kinds of measures rather than providing money to build public works or sid in reconstruction.

How about you -- do you personally agree atrongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this position?

AGREE STRONGLY . (ASK A) 1	39
AGREE SOMEWHAT (ASK A) 2	
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT . (ASK B) 3	
DISAGREE STRONGLY . (ASK B) 4	
DON'T KNOW (TO TO Q. 49) . 8	

A. IF AGREE STRONGLY OR AGREE SOMEWHAT:

Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here arguing that the federal policy should not be based on stricter land use controls and building standards. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant.

HELP CHANCES	. 1 40/
HURT CHANCES	. 2
IRRELEVANT	. 3
DON'T KNOW	. 8

B. IF DISAGREE SOMEWHAT OR DISAGREE STRONGLY:

Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here arguing that the federal policy should be based on stricter land use controls and building standards. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES 1	41/
HURT CHANCES 2	
IRRELEVANT 3	
DON'T KNOW 8	

ALL KEY PERSONS'

49. A fourth view is that the Federal Government should make compulsory some form of hazard insurance by requiring insurance coverage as a condition for mortgage financing. The Federal Government would assist families and businesses in high risk areas by subsidizing insurance premiums. However, the Federal Government would then no longer provide funds for long-term reconstruction of stricken communities.

Do you personally agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this position?

AGREE STRONGLY (ASK A)	1 42/
AGREE SOMEWHAT (ASK A)	2
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT . (ASK B)	3
DISAGREE STRONGLY (ASK B)	4
DON'T KNOW (CO TO 0. 50)	8

DECK OF

A. If AGREE STRONGLY OR AGREE SOMEWHAT: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech arguing that the federal policy should not be based on compulsory hazard insurance. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES	1 43,
HURT CHANCES	2
IRRELEVANT	3
DON'T KNOW	8

B. IF DISAGREE STRONGLY OR DISAGREE SOMEWHAT. Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech arguing that the federal policy should be based on compulsory hazard insurance. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES	1 44/
HURT CHANCES	2
IRRELEVANT	3
DON'T YNOW	4

DECK 05

regulations.

50. Here is a final viewpoint on which we would like to get your coimion. According to this view, the Federal Government should not require hazard insurance or strict land use controls and building codes since these measures put too many burdens on individuals and communities. Instead, according to this view, the best federal hazards policy would be for the Federal Government to provide low-cost loans and small grants for rebuilding damaged homes and businesses after a disaster occurs.

Do you personally agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this position?

AGREE STRONGLY	1 45/
AGREE SOMEWHAT	2
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT	3
DISAGREE STRONGLY	4
DON'T KNOW (GO TO Q.51)	6

A. IF ACREE STRONGLY OR AGREE SOMEWHAT: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here in (STATE/LPJ) arguing that the primary federal policy should not be based on providing low-cost loans and small grants for rebuilding after a disaster has occurred. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES 1	46/
HURT CHANCES 2	
IRRELEVANT 3	
DON'T KNOW 4	

B. IF DISAGREE SOMEWHAT OR DISAGREE STRONGLY: Suppose you became convinced of the opposite viewpoint and made a public speech here in (STATE/LPJ) arguing that the federal policy should be based on providing low-cost loans and small grants for rebuilding after a disaster has occurred. Would making such a speech tend to help or hurt your chances in your present position, or would it be irrelevant?

HELP CHANCES 1	47/
HURT CHANCES 2	
IRRELEVANT 3	
DON'T KNOW 4	

END DECK 05

ASK Q. S51 FOR STATE LEVEL KEY PERSONS ONLY. FOR LOCAL KEY PERSONS. SKIP TO Q. L52.

S51. States differ in how legislation and regulations are proposed and enacted or turned down. We are interested in what happens in this state with proposals for measures that would affect preparedness for natural disasters or lessen the effects of natural disasters.

Here is a card with a list of persons and groups that sometimes get BAND involved in such matters in CARD . states. As I read each of the persons or groups on the list. please tell me whether each is active or not active in state natural disaster legislation or

- A. FOR EACH CATEGORY, ASK: Is the (READ CATEGORY) active, or not active in state natural disaster legislation or regulation? CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH GROUP OR PERSON ON LIST BELOW. THEN ASK:
- B. Think of all of the groups or persons who are active in state natural disester legislation or regulations here in (STATE). Are there any groups or persons not on this list who are active in state natural disaster legislation or regulations? ADD NAMES OF GROUPS OR PERSONS TO BOTTOM OF LIST AND ON BLANK LINES OF CARD. CODE EACH AS "ACTIVE."

	ACT IV	NOT ACTIVE	DON'T KNEW	BEGIN DECK 06
1) Governor	1	2		5/
2) House Democratic Leader	1	2	8	6/
3) House Republican Leader	1	2		7/
4) Senate Democratic Leader	1	2		8/
5) Senate Republican Leader	1	2	8	9/
6) Chairperson, Mouse Committee	1	2		10/
7) Chairperson, Sensta Coumittee	L	2	В	11/
#) Civil Defense Director	-	2		12/
9) Red Cross Director	1	2		13/
10) U.S. Corps of Engineers	1	2	1	14/
11) U.S. Burney of Reclamation	-	2	1	15/
12) State Flamming Agency	1	Z	8	16/
13) National Guard	1	2		17/
14) FDAA Regional Office	1	2	•	18/
15) State Chamber of Commarce	1	2		19/
16) Leading Industries	1	2		20/
17) National Assn. of Rose Builders	1	2	B	21/
18) Leading State Senks	1	t	ð	22/
19) Construction Industry	1	2	4	23/
20) State Real Estate Board	1	2	8	24/
21) Construction Workers Unions	_	2		25/
22) Conservation Groups	1	2	8	26/
23) Local Officials, Risk Areas	1	2	8	27/
24) Insurance Firms	1	2		28/
25) Engineer Associations	ı	2		29/
26) Yourself	1	2	ß	30/
27) The (READ AGENCY, ETC FROM "POSITION" ON INFO SHEET)	ì	Z		31/
25)	1	111	111	32/
29)	1	111	111	33/
30)	1	111	111	34/
31)	l.	<i>iii</i>	111	35/
32)	1			36/

DECKS 06-07

S51. ((Continued) ·	
--------	---------------	--

STAT	TE LEVEL KEY PERSONS ON	LY.					DECKS 06-07	
S51.	(Continued) -		C. inted to get on through the		e	D. Which of these grand sons do you see a		
	CARO	ure on some issue			favoring legislation regulat-			
	<u>B</u>		g nætural di. these groups			ing land use or tightening up building codes to lower		
			ba important			the risk from nat		
			ide? Just g			asters? Again, j		
		numbers.	1		_	the numbers.	BEGIN DECK 07	
	Governor			37	_		5/	
-	House Democratic Leads		1	38	_	1	6/	
3)	House Republican Leade	er .	1	39	•	1	7/	
<u>4)</u>	Senate Democratic Lead	ler	1	40	/_	1	8/	
5)	Senace Republican Lead	ter	1	41		1	9/	
6)			1	42	!/	1	10/	
7)	Chairperson, Senate Co	ommittee	1	43	/	1	11/	
8)	Civil Defense Director	r	1	44	/	1	12/	
9)	Red Cross Director		1	45	/	1	13/	
10)	U.S. Corps of Engineer	rs	1	46	/	1	14/	
11)	U.S. Bureau of Reclam	ation	1	47	/	1	15/	
12)	State Planning Agency		1	48	3/	1	16/	
13)	National Guard		1	49	7/	1	17/	
14)	FDAA Regional Office		1	50)/	1	18/	
15)	State Chamber of Comme	erce	1	51	./	1	19/	
16)	Leading Industries		1	52	2/	1	20/	
17)	National Assn. of Home	e Builders	1	53	1/	1	21/	
18)	Leading State Banks		1	54	/	1	22/	
19)	Construction Industry		1	55	i/	1	23/	
20)	State Real Estate Boa	rd	1	56	i/	1	24/	
21)	Construction Workers	Unions	1	57	7	1	25/	
22)	Conservation Groups		1	58	1/	1	26/	
23)	Local Officials, Risk	ATE48	1	59	7	1	27/	
24)	Insurance Firms		1	60)/	1	28/	
25)	Engineer Associations		1	61	./	1	29/	
26)	Yourself		1	62	2/	1	30/	
27)	The (READ AGENCY, ETC. "POSITION" ON INFO SHI		1	63		1	31/	
28)			1	64	1	1	32/	
29)			1	65	7	i	33/	
30)			1	66	7	1	34/	
31)			1	67	7	1	35/	
32)			1	68	/	1	36/	
					_			

END DECK 06

STATE LEVEL RET PER	JUNG OFFIC					DECKS	0,-00
S51. (Continued)	Shifting to a d	E.	set of	In your	-	G. Finally, which	of
	issues, which o			position		these groups wo	
l	would be active					you say are inf	
CARD	ing or opposing					entialpositiv	
} B	dealing with em			in conta	-	negativelyin	•
	issues, for exa	mple, wa	ter or	more or	less	your own views	on
	air pollution?			regular	basis?	issues that inv	rolve
	<u> </u>			BEGIN DE	ECK 08	natural hazarda	17
1) Governor		11	37/	1_1_	5/	1	37/
2) House Democratic	Leader	1	38/	1	6/	1	38/
3) House Republican	Leader	1	39/	1	77	1	39/
4) Senate Democrati	c Leader	l	40/	1	8/	1	407
5) Senate Republica	n Leader	1	417	1	9/	1	417
6) Chairperson, Hou	se Committee	1	42/	1	10/	1	427
7) Chairperson, Sen	ate Committee	1	43/	1	11/	1	43/
8) Civil Defense Di	rector	1	447	1	12/	1	447
9) Red Cross Direct	or	1	45/	l	137	1	45/
10) U.S. Corps of En	gineers	1	46/	1	14/	1	46/
11) U.S. Bureau of R	eclamation	1	47/	1	15/	1	477
12) State Planning A	gency	1	48/	1	16/	ì	48/
13) National Guard		1	49/	1	17/	1	49/
14) FDAA Regional Of	fice	1	50/	1	18/	1	50/
15) State Chamber of	Сопшетсе	1	517	l	19/	1	51/
l6) Leading Industri	es	1	52/	1	207	1	52/
17) National Assn. 0	f Home Builders	1	53/	1	217	1	53/
18) Leading State Ba	nks	1	54/	ı	22/	1	54/
19) Construction Ind	ustry	1	557	1	237	1	55/
20) State Real Estat	e Board	11	56/	1	24/	11	56/
21) Construction Wor	kers Unions	1	57/	1	25/	1	57/
22) Conservation Gro	ups	1	58/	1	26/	1	58/
23) Local Officials,	Risk Areas	1	59/	1	27/	1	59/
24) Insurance Firms		<u> </u>	60/	1	28/	1	60/
25) Engineer Associa	tions	1	61/	1	29/	1	61
26) Yourself		1	62/	l	30/	1	
17) The (READ AGENCY "POSITION" ON IN		1	63/	1	31/	1	
28)		1	64/	1	32/	1	64/
19)		1	65/	1	33/	1	65/
30)		1	66/	1	34/	1	66/
31)		1	67/	ı	35/	1	67/
12)		1	68/	1	36/	1	68/

NOW SKIP TO Q. 53

END DECK 07

END DECK 08

- BEGIN

Harb is a card with a list of persons and groups that sometimes get involved in such matters in local communities. As I read each of the

persons or groups on the list,
nlease tell me whether each is
active or not active in local natural
disaster legislation or regulation.

- A. FOR EACH CATEGORY, ASK: Is the (READ CATEGORY) active or not active in local natural disaster legislation or regulation? CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH GROUP OR PERSON ON LIST BELOW. THEN ASK:
- B. Think of all of the groups or persons who are active in local natural disaster legislation or regulation here in (LPJ). Are there any groups or persons not on this list who are active in local natural disaster legislation or regulation? ADD NAMES OF GROUPS OR PERSONS TO BOTTOM OF LIST AND ON BLANK LINES OF CARD. CODE EACH AS "ACTIVE."

		1		<u>ը թանական</u>	
	ACTIVE	NOT ACTIVE	DOM'T 1000M	DECK 06	HER
1) Mayor (Chief Executive)	1	ż	8	_ 5/	
2) Civil Defense Director	1	2		_ 6/	
3) Public Works Department	1	2	8		
4) City Council	1	2		- 8/	
5) Local Sanks & Savings Assn.	ı	2		9/	
6) City Flanning Department	1	2	8	10/	
7) Local Real Estate Board	1	2		11/	
8) Bomeowners Associations	1	3 .		12/	
9) Major Land Developers	1	2		13/	
10) Leading Industries	1	2	a	14/	
11) Leading Merchants	l.	2		15/	
12) Construction Firms	1	1	8	16/	
13) Formers	ī	2	8	" 17/	
14) Local Newspapers	1	ž	6	18/	
15) Construction Workers Union	1	2	8	19/	
16) Chamber of Commerce	1	2	8	20/	
17) Local TV and Redio Stations	1	2		21/	
18) Police Department	1	2		22/	
19) Conservation Groups	l	ż	ŧ	23/	
20) Fire Department	l	2	ŧ	24/	
21) Local Republican Leaders	1	2	8	25/	
22) Local Democratic Leaders	l	2	B	26/	
23) People Living in Risk Aress	ı	2		27/	
24) Local Red Cross Chapter	1	2		28/	
25) Yourself	1	1	В	_ 29/	
26) The (READ AGENCY, ETC FROM "POSITION" ON INTO SHEET)	1	2	8	30/	
27)	1	1//		_ 31/	
25)	1	111	- 111	32/	
19)	1	111	111	33/	
30;	1	111		_ 34/	

152, (Continued) BECTM DECK 07

LOCAL LEVEL KRY PERSONS ONLY

Typ, (opticities)			BEGIN	DECK 07
CARD	C. If you wanted to g thing enacted here community on some concerning natural	in this	D. Which of these persons do you generally favor legislation reg	sec 43 ing
c	which of these gro	ups or	land use or tig	htening
t	persons would it b tant to have on yo		up building cod	
	Just give me the n		natural diseste	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		just give me th	
1) Mayor (Chief Executive)	1	35/	1	5/
2) Civil Defense Director	1	36/	1	6/
3) Public Works Department	1	37/	1	7/
4) City Council	1	38/	1	8/
5) Local Banks & Savings Assn.	1	39/	1	9/
6) City Planning Department	11	40/	1	10/
7) Local Real Estate Board	1	41/	1	11/
8) Homeowners Associations	1	42/	1	12/
9) Major Land Developers	1	43/	1	13/
10) Leading Industries	1	44/	1	14/
11) Leading Merchants	1	45/	1	15/
12) Construction Firms	1	46/	1	16/
13) Farmers	1	47/	1	17/
14) Local Newspapers	1	48/	1	18/
15) Construction Workers Union	1	49/	1	19/
16) Chamber of Commerce	1	50/	1	20/
17) Local TV and Radio Stations	1	51/	1	21/
18) Police Department	l	52/	1	22/
19) Conservation Groups	l	53/	1	23/
20) Fire Department	1	54/	1	24/
21) Local Republican Leaders	t	55/	1	25/
22) Local Democratic Leaders	1	56/	1	26/
23) People Living in Risk Areas	1	57/	1	27/
24) Local Red Cross Chapter	1	58/	1	28/
25) Yourself	1	59/	1	29,
26) The (READ AGENCY, ETC. FROM "POSITION" ON INFO SHEET)	1	60/	1	30/
27)	1	61/	1	31/
28)	1	62/	i i	32/
29)	1	63/	l	33/
30)	1	64/	i	34/

END DECK 06

ALL KEY PERSONS

And now a few questions about your experiences.

53. Have you ever personally experienced a tornado, either here or elsewhere?

YES (ASK & & B) 1

NO (GO TO Q.54).... 2

5/

P. L52, (Continued) Ε. Finally, which of Shifting to a different set In your present of issues, which of these position, with these groups would CARD you say are influwhich of these groups would be active --Ç groups are you ential -- positively or either supporting or opposnegatively -- in shaping ing in legislation dealing in contact on a with environmental issues, more or less your own views on for example, water or sir regular basis? BEGIN DECK 08 issues that involve natural hazards? pollution? 1 1 1) Mayor (Chief Executive) 35/ 5/ 35/ 1 6/ 1 1 36/ 2) Civil Defense Director 36/ 7, ı 37/ 3) Public Works Department 1 37/ ı 1 8/ 1 38/ 1 38/ 4) City Council 9/ 1 5) Local Banks & Savings Assn. 1 39/ 1 39/ 1 10/ 40/ 6) City Planning Department 40/ 1 1 41/ 11/ 7) Local Real Estate Board 1 1 1 41/ 42/ 8) Homeowners Associations 1 1 12/ 1 42/ 13/ 9) Major Land Developers 1 1 1 43/ 43/ 1 1 14/ 1 44/ 10) Leading Industries 44/ 1 1 ı 11) Leading Merchants 15/ 45/ 45/ 1 1 1 12) Construction Firms 16/ 46/ 46/ 13) Farmers 1 47/ 1 17/ 1 47/ 1 1 1 14) Local Newspapers 18/ 48/ 487 15) Construction Workers Union 1 1 19/ 1 49/ 49/ 1 1 20/ 1 50/ 16) Chamber of Commerce 50/ 17) Local TV and Radio Stations 1 51/ 1 21/ 51/ 52/ 1 1 18) Police Department 1 22/ 52/ 1 53/ 19) Conservation Groups I 23/ 53/ 20) Fire Department 54/ ı 24/ 54/ 21) Local Republican Leaders 1 1 1 25/ 55/ 55/ 22) Local Democratic Leaders ı 56/ 1 26/ 56/_ 23) People Living in 57/ 27/ 57/ 1 i ı Risk Areas 24) Local Red Cross Chapter 28/ 58/ 1 1 58/ 1 25) Yourself 59/ 1 1 59/ 29/ ļ 26) The (READ AGENCY, ETC. FROM 1 1 60/ 30/ 1 60/ "POSITION" ON INFO SHEET) 61/ 1 1 31/ 1 27) 61/ €2/ 1 62/ 1 32/ 1 28) 63/ 33/ 29) Į 63/ 1 1 64/ 30) 64/ 34/ FND DECK 07 END DECK 08

	IF YES, ASK A & B: A. During what year or years did	B. Did you or your family suffer
	you personally experience a tornado? PROBE IF NECESSARY: Just your best estimate.	<pre>any property losses or personal injuries as a result of (that/ any of these) tornado(es)?</pre>
	6/8/	YES 1 10/
	7/9/	NO 2
54. Ha	ave you ever personally experienced a fl	ood, either here or elsewhere?
	YES NO	(ASK A & B) 1 11/ (GO TO Q.55) 2
	IF YES, ASK A & B: A. During what year or years did you personally experience a flood? PROBE IF NECESSARY, Just your best estimate.	B. Did you or your family suffer any property losses or personal injuries as a result of (that/ any of these) flood(s)?
	12/14/	YES 1 16/
	13/15/	NO 2
55. Ha	ave you ever personally experienceds hur	ricane, either here or elsewhere?
	YES NO	(ASK A & B) 1 17/ (GO TO Q.56) 2
	IF YES, ASK A & B: A. During what year or years did you personally experience a hurricane? PROBE IF NECESSARY, Just your best estimate?	B. Did you or your family suffer any property losses or personal injuries as a result of (that/ any of these) hurricane(s)?
	18/20/	YES 1 22/
	19/21/	NO 2
56 H.	ive you ever personally experienced an e	arthquake, either here or elsewhere?
	YES	
	IF YES, ASK A 6 B. A. During what year or years did you personally experience an earthquake? PROBE IF NECESSARY. Just your best estimate.	B. Did you or your family suffer any property losses or personal injuries as a result of (that/any of these) earthquake(s)? YES 1 28/

ALL KEY PERSONS

	HAND CARD		rivata company. inesa, or individual for commissions (GO TO Q.59) l	51/
	D	A government emplo	yeaeithar federal,	317
		Self-employed in y professional pra	our <u>own</u> business,	
		Working without pa business or farm	y in a family 4	
		ENT EMPLOYEE: On wite, county, local,	hat level of government is that job or what?	
			FEDERAL 1	52/
			STATE 2	
			LOCAL 3	
			OTHER (SPECIFY)	
			5	
9.	interviewer: in	Q. 57, DID R NAME 1	THE POSITION ON INFO SHEET	
			YES (SKIP TO Q. 62) 1	53/
			NO (SKIP TO Q. 61) 2	
			DON'T KNOW, CAN'T TELL (ASK Q. 60)	

57.	For this study, we are interviewing a variety of state and community leaders for some of these people, these leadership positions are their primary jobs, while for other people, these positions are not their primary jobs. How about youwhat is your current primary job.				
	200 2000 7 00 4110 10 7002 001031 7 02 - 0, 100	29-30			

How	about you what is your current primary job.	
		29-30/
	IF NOT ALREADY ANSWERED:	
٨.	What is the exact title of that position?	
		31-32/
В.	How long have you held this position? # OF YEARS	33-34/
_		35-34)
C.	What do you actually do in that job? PROBE: What are some of your main duties?	
		35-36/ 37 - 38/
		39-40/
		41-42/
D.	For what kind of place do you work?	43-44/ 45-46/
		43-40/
E.	IF NOT ALREADY ANSWERED, ASK: What does this place make or do?	47-48/
_		
Y.	Is that a full-time or a part-time position?	
	FULL-TIME(GO TO Q. 58) 1	49/
	PART-TIME (ASK G) 2	
	G. IF PART-TIME IN F: Do you receive any payment for holding this position?	

YES 1

NO 2

50/

60.	IF "DON'T KNOW" TO Q, 59:							
	You were selected for an interview because of your position as (READ "TITLE" FROM INFO SHEET) in (STATE/LPJ). Is this the same position as the one you just described as your current primary job?							
	Y	3S (SKIP TO Q. 62) 1	54/					
	N	7 (READ A) 2						
	A. As I said (GO ON TO Q. 61)							
ASI:	Q, 61 IF "NO" TO Q, 59 OR Q. 60:							
61.	You were selected for an interview bec- FROM INFO SHEET) in (STATE/LPJ). Just is the full title of that position?							
			55-56/					
	A. How long have you held this positi	on?						
		# OF YEARS	57-58/					
	B. If this is a full-time or part-time	B. If this is a full-time or part-time position,						
	FULL	-TIME (GO TO Q. 62) 1	59/					
	PART	-TIME (ASK C) 2						
	C. IF PART-TIME: Do you receive any p	syment for holding this position?						
	Y	ES 1	60/					
	N	0 2 ,						

62.	In addition to (that/those) position(s) you already told me about, do you hold any (other) position in government on the federal, state, county or local level?					
			YES (ASK A-D)	1 61/		
			NO (GO TO Q. 63)	2		
		at level of government i , or what?	s that positionfederal, state,	county,		
			FEDERAL	1 62/		
			STATE	2		
			COUNTY	3		
			LOCAL	4		
			OTHER (SPECIFY)			
				5		
	B. What	is the title of that pos	ition?			
				63-64/		
	C. Is that a full-time or a part-time position?					
		7	ULL-TIME (GO TO Q. 63)	1 65/		
		P	ART-TIME (ASK D)	2		
	D. IF PAI	T-TIME: Do you receive	any payment for holding this pos	ition?		
			YES	1 66/		
			NO	2		
63.		on to the position(s) thing position or position	at you already mentioned, do you a right now?	hold any		
			YES (ASK A)	1 67/		
			NO (GO TO Q. 64)			
	A. <u>IF YES</u> VERBAT		aying position or positions? RE	CORD		
				68-69/ 70-71/		
				72-73/		

END DECK 09

Appendix A

WILL	L KEI PEKSUNS		DECIN	DECK IV
64.	Not including (any of) the position(s) you held any of the following positions here is		out, have	you <u>ever</u>
		YES	NO	
	a. Elected office?	1	2	5/
	 Appointed head of department government, local or state 		2	6/
	c. Elected officer of civic association?	1	2	7/
	d. Elected officer of trade un:	ion? 1	2	8/
	e. Elected officer of a busine professional association?		2	9/
	say has been your major or predominant jo	b or occupation?		10-11/ 12-13/
66.	. In mational politics, do you consider you	rself a Republican o	or a Democi	at?
	REPUBLICAN	(GO TO Q. 67)	1	• 14/
	DEMOCRAT	(GO TO Q, 67)	2	
	INDEPENDENT .	(ASK A)	3	
	A. IF INDEPENDENT As an Independent, a Republicans or towar		l toward th	ıė
	REP	UBLICANS	1	15/
		OCRATS		
	NEI	THER	3	

Appendix A

ALL	KEY PERSONS		DECK 10
67.	On the economic issues, such as de do you find yourself usually on the moderately liberal or very libera	eficit spending by the Federal Govern te very conservative, moderately con l side?	nment, servative
		VERY CONSERVATIVE 1	16,
		MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE . 2	
		MODERATELY LIBERAL 3	
		VERY LIBERAL 4	
		DON'T KNOW 8	
68.	How do you stand on social issues are you very conservative, modera very liberal?	, such as civil rights for minority stely conservative, moderately liber	groups
		VERY CONSERVATIVE 1	17,
		MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE 2	
		MODERATELY LIBERAL , 3	
		VERY LIBERAL 4	
		DON'T KNOW 8	
69.	as regulating the stock market, a pollution controls, and zoning re	es that involve government regulation in transportation, prices on natural gulations? Are you usually very commoderately liberal or very liberal?	gas,
		VERY CONSERVATIVE 1	18/
		MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE 2	
		MODERATELY LIBERAL 3	
		VERY LIBERAL 4	
		CAN'T SAY OR DON'T KNOW 8	
	lly, a few questions about your back	kground,	
70.	In what year were you born?	ENTER YEAR	19-20
		DRIEK IEAR	19-20

25/

26/

	been married? CODE ONE ONLY,		1.	CODE SEX
	MARRIED 1	21/		
	WIDOWED 2			
	DIVORCED 3		2.	CODE RACE
	SEPARATED4			CODE 14102
	NEVER MARRIED 5			
12.	What is the highest degree or diploma you presently hold? RECORD VERBATIM AND CODE ONE.			
	DID NOT COMPLETE HIGH SCHOOL 1	22/		
	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED 2			
	ASSOCIATE'S DEGREE 3			
	BA OR BS COLLEGE DEGREE 4			
	LAW SCHOOL DECREE 5			
	MA OR MS DEGREE 6			
	DOCTORAL DEGREE (M.D., Ed.D., Ph.D.) · · · 7			
73.	And finally, altogether, for how many years, have you lived here in (STATE/LPJ)? ENTER NUMBER OF YEARS	23-24/		
ank	you very much for your time and cooperation.	_		
	RECORD TIME ENDED A.M. P.M.			

INTERVIEWER REMARKS

	MALE 1
	FEMALE 2
CODE RACE OF THE RESPO	ONDENT;
	CAUCASIAN (WITHOUT SPANISH SURNAME) 1
	BLACK (WITHOUT SPANISH SURNAME) 2
	SPANISH SURNAME
	ORIENTAL 4
	OTHER (SPECIPY)
	5
DATE OF INT	TERVIEW:
DATE OF INT	ERVIEW:
	r's signature;