GUIDE DOCUMENT

EARTHQUAKE VULNERABILITY OF WATER SYSTEMS

2.55 HIQUAKE 580. 00.4. Univ. of Calif. - 453 R.F.S. 1301 So. 46th St. Richmond, CA 94804-4698 USA (510) 231-9403

Prepared under U.S. Geological Survey Grant No. 1434-93-G-2328

by:

KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS 530 South 336th Street Federal Way, Washington 98003

K/J 936001.00

June 1993

Workshops hosted by subsections of the Pacific Northwest American Water Works Association

EARTHQUAKE VULNERABILITY OF WATER SYSTEMS AGENDA

24 June 1993

8:00-8:30	Opening and Introduction
8:30-9:00	Seismicity and Earthquake Hazards
9:00-9:15	Break
9:15-10:45	Water System Component Vulnerability Assessment, Mitigation, and New Design
10:45-11:00	Break
11:00-11:30	System Vulnerability Assessment
11:30-12:00	Earthquake Hazard Information Sources
12:00-1:00	Lunch
1:00-2:00	Example of System Vulnerability Assessment/Hazard Mapping Application
2:00-2:30	Emergency Planning
2:30-2:45	Break
2:45-3:45	Breakout Session - Assessment of Workshop Participant's Systems
3:45-4:00	Workshop Evaluation/Closing

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document was prepared under a grant from the U.S. Geological Survey, award number 1434-93-G-2328, for the project entitled "Transfer of Hazards Information and Assessment Methods to Water Providers In the Pacific Northwest." Their support is gratefully acknowledged.

These workshops are being hosted by subsections of the Pacific Northwest Section of the American Water Works Association. A special thanks to the many people involved in making local arrangements.

Members of the Technical Council on Lifeline Earthquake Engineering (TCLEE), Water and Sewage Committee of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), with financial support from the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) have provided technical input and review of this document. Their input is appreciated.

Much of the text in this document was originally developed by the author in previous publications, and by others in publicly funded projects. Some of the hazard description text in Chapter 2.0, Earthquake Hazarde, and the dam subsection in Chapter 3.0 were developed in the Federal Emergency Management Agency publication, A Model Methology for Assessment of Selsmic Vulnerability and Impact of Disruption of Water Supply Systems, and modified for this document.

Many of the photographs included in this document were taken by the author and other members of TCLEE during various earthquake reconnaissance trips. Expenses for those trips have been funded by NCEER, ASCE, and National Science Foundation (NSF) through the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute. Many of the photograph captions reference earthquake locations. Specific earthquakes referenced by those locations and their respective year of occurrence, magnitude, photographer, and reconnaissance trip funding source are listed below. For earthquakes listed with no photographer references, either no photographs are included for those earthquakes, or the photographer is unknown.

- Seattle, Washington, 1965, Magnitude 6.5
 Photographs Seattle Water Department
- San Fernando, California, 1971, Magnitude 6.5
- Coalinga, California, 1984, Magnitude 6.7
- Whittier, California, 1987, Magnitude 5.9
- Loma Prieta (San Francisco), California, 1989, Magnitude 7.1
 Photographs - D. Ballantyne, TCLFE, funding ASCE, NSF

- Luzon, (Dagupen, Beguio), Philippines, 1990, Magnitude 7.8
 Photographs - D. Ballantyne, NCEER, ASCE, EERI/NSF
- Limon (Moin), Costa Rics, 1991, Magnitude 7.5
 Photographs D. Ballantyne, ASCE
- Erzincen, Turkey, 1992, Megnitude 6.8
 Photographs D. Bellentyne, NCEER, ASCE
- Landers/Big Bear, California, 1992, Magnitude 7.4
 Photographs M. O'Rourke, NCEER
- Cape Mendelcino, California, 1992, Magnitude 7.
- Scotts Mills, Oregon, 1993, Magnitude 5.6
 Photographs W. Elliott, DOGAMI.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE NUMBER		PAGE NUMBER
		NOMBER		INNIGEN
ЦSТ	OF TA	ABLES v	3.2	TREATMENT PLANTS AND PUMPING
				STATIONS
				3.2.1 Description 3-5
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION , 1-1		3.2.2 Foundation and Geotechnical
				Failures and Mitigation Alternatives 3-5
	1.1	WORKSHOP AND GUIDANCE		3.2.3 Process Tenks and Structures 3-7
		DOCUMENT OVERVIEW1-1		3.2.4 Equipment and Piping 3-10
				3.2.5 Electrical Power and Instrumentation 3-18
	1.2	LIFELINE SYSTEM DEFINITION AND		3.2.6 Buildings and Structures 3-22
		REASONS FOR SEISMIC MITTIGATION 1-1		3.2.7 Operational Flexibility and Redundancy
	1.3	SEISMIC RISK 1-1		
		Clothic flox ,,,,	3 3	PIPELINES
	14	POST-EARTHQUAKE SYSTEM	0.0	3.3.1 Introduction
	1,4	PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES		3.3.2 Demage Mechanisms 3-25
		1.4.1 Performance Objective		3.3.3 Pige Design Considerations 3-28
		Prioritization		3.3.4 Pipeline Earthquake Design
		1 110110284011		and Mitigation Recommendations 3-30
	1.5	POSSIBLE POST-EARTHQUAKE		and Maugaton Naconanatications 5-50
		PERFORMANCE POLICY OBJECTIVES 1-4	3.4	STORAGE TANKS AND RESERVOIRS 3-31
		TEM ONNIANCE FOLIOT OBSCOTTES	3.4	3.4.1 Description
	1.6	EXERCISE TO FOCUS ATTENTION ON		3.4.2 Failura Consequences
	,,,	RESPONSIBILITY FOR SYSTEM FAILURE 1-5		3.4.3 Response of Tank Contents 3-31
		The one of the order of the ord		3.4.4 History of Steel Tank
				Design Standards
2.0	SEIS	MICITY AND SEISMIC HAZARDS 2-1		3.4.5 Damage Mechanisms and
				Mitigation Alternatives
	2.1	SEISMICITY 2-1		3.4.6 New Tank Design Standards 3-39
	2.2	EARTHQUAKE PARAMETERS 2-1		
			3.5	SYSTEM MONITORING AND CONTROL 3-39
	2.3	GROUND SHAKING 2-2		3.5.1 Reasons for Using Monitoring
				and Control Systems 3-39
	2.4	LIQUEFACTION 2-2		3.5.2 Monitoring and Control Approaches 3-39
				3.5.3 Local Versus Central
	2.5	SETTLEMENT/DENSIFICATION		Monitoring and Control 3-40
		AND CRACKING 2-4		3.5.4 Control Valves
				3.5.5 Isolation Configurations 3-41
	2.6	LANDSLIDE		3.5.6 Considerations
		•		3.5.7 Concerns 3-42
	2.7	FAULT RUPTURE 2-5		3.5.8 Recommendations
	2.8	COLLOCATION 2-5		
			4.0 SY	STEM VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS 4-1
3.0		TER SUPPLY SYSTEM	4.1	INTRODUCTION
	SEIS	SMIC VULNERABILITY 3-1		
			4 2	EVALUATION BY FUNCTION 4-1
	3,1	SOURCES		
		3.1.1 Watersheds	4 3	FUNCTIONS REQUIRED TO MEET POST-
		3.1.2 Dams/Intakas		EARTHQUAKE PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES . 4-2
		3.1.3 Wells 3-3		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE NUMBER

	4.4	USE OF HAZARD INFORMATION 4-2
	4.5	COMPUTER SYSTEM EVALUATION TECHNIQUES 4-2
	4.6	MITIGATION PRIORITIZATION AND IMPLEMENTATION USING MULTI-USE APPROACH
5.0	EAR	THQUAKE HAZARD INFORMATION 5-1
6.0		MIC CITY WATER SYSTEM VULNERABILITY ESSMENT
	6.1	WATER SYSTEM DESCRIPTION 6-1
	6.2	GEOLOGIC SETTING 6-1
	6.3	SYSTEM COMPONENTS 6-1
7.0	EME	RGENCY PLANNING
	7,1	INTRODUCTION 7-1
	7.2	EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN OUTLINE 7-1 7.2.1 Introduction, Policy, and Priorities 7-1 7.2.2 Authority and Activation 7-1 7.2.3 Emergency Response Organization 7-1 7.2.4 Functions and Responsibilities 7-1 7.2.5 Effect/Response Matrix 7-1 7.2.6 Emergency Response Checklists and Inspection Forms 7-1 7.2.7 Plan Update 7-2 7.2.8 Appendices 7-2
pΛ	C EI	ECTED DECEDENCES