



Assessing the Risks of Transporting Dangerous Goods by Truck and Rail Part 2 (URIF)

Final Report

WRI Award #1254501

Institute for Risk Research
University of Waterloo

July 1989



ASSESSING THE RISKS OF TRANSPORTING DANGEROUS GOODS
BY TRUCK AND RAIL
PART 2 (URIF)

Final Report
WRI Award # 1254501
July 1989

Prepared for:

Procor Limited CGTX Incorporated
Canadian National Railways Canadian Pacific Railways
University Research Incentive Fund (URIF)

Prepared by:

F.F. Saccomanno, J.H. Shortreed and M. Van Aerde
Institute for Risk Research
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
N2L 3G1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the contribution of the staff of the Institute for Risk Research. Joan Higgs contributed to the analysis of risks associated with the transport of dangerous goods. She also prepared the final report. Blair Allen and Samir El-Hage were involved in the analysis of truck and rail accident data. Angela Stewart analyzed the data on spills of dangerous goods, and assisted in the preparation of the final report. Sasan Soltani contributed to the analysis of risk perception. Jean Webster carried out a thorough review of the final document. Paul Brake, Barbara Carroll and Addy Mitchell contributed to the preparation of the final document.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding for this study, which was provided by the Ontario Ministry of Colleges and Universities University Research Incentive Fund.

The assistance of the Steering Committee throughout this study is also gratefully acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
 1. INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	3
1.3 Organization of the Report	3
 2. RAIL ACCIDENT ANALYSIS	 5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Approach and Sources of Data	5
2.3 Calibration of Derailment Expressions	9
2.4 Analysis of Marshalling/Buffering Strategies	21
2.5 Conclusions	25
 3. TRUCK ACCIDENT RATES	 28
3.1 Introduction	28
3.2 Methodology for Analyzing Truck Accident Rates ...	29
3.3 Factors Explaining Variation in Accident Rates for Different Truck Types	31
3.4 Analysis of Truck Accident Causes	49
3.5 Conclusions	56
 4. RISK MEASURES	 57
4.1 Risk Measures and Exposure Measures	57
4.2 Accident Rates	58
4.3 Empirical Analysis of Recent Canadian Dangerous Goods Spill Data	62
4.3.1 Spill Frequencies According to Commodity Type and Class	64
4.3.2 Analysis of Spill Causes by Mode	75
4.3.2.1 Railway Spills	75
4.3.2.2 Road Spills	78
4.3.3 Discussion of Contents of Transport Canada Data Base	82
4.4 Potential Damage Areas	83
4.5 Expected Damages to People and Property	92
4.6 Discussion	99
 5. RISK ANALYSIS FOR REPRESENTATIVE CORRIDOR	 101
5.1 Introduction	101
5.2 Detailed Corridor Analysis	101
5.3 Application and Results	105
5.4 Conclusions	111

6.	PERCEPTION OF ROAD AND RAIL SAFETY	115
6.1	Introduction	115
6.2	Methodology	115
6.3	Preliminary Analysis	117
6.4	Severity versus Reporting	122
6.5	Non-Incidents	122
6.6	Discussion and Conclusions	125
7.	CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	126
7.1	Introduction	126
7.2	Conclusions, Recommendations and Policy Implications	126
7.2.1	Conclusions	126
7.2.2	Recommendations	127
7.2.3	Policy Implications	129
	REFERENCES	130
	APPENDIX A	135

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
2.1 Position in Freight or Mixed Train of Cars Containing Dangerous Goods	8
2.2 Normalized Point of Derailment	11
2.3 Number of Cars Derailing by Speed and Cause of Derailment	14
2.4 Maximum Likelihood Summary Statistics for the Geometric Distribution	19
3.1 Ontario Truck Accident Data Base for 1982, 1983, 1984 and 1986	32
3.2 Factors Affecting Truck Accident Rates on Road Links	34
3.3 Loglinear Parameter Estimates for Truck Accident Rate Model	35
3.4 Truck Accident Rates (Truck Type vs. Other Variables)	36
3.5 Link Accident Rates by Truck Type, Road Volume, Road Type and Model Year	38
3.6 Factors Affecting Truck Accident Rates at Major Intersections	40
3.7 GLIM Lambda Parameters for Intersection Accident Rate Model	41
3.8 Intersection Accident Rate for 1982, 1983, 1984 and 1986 (Truck Type, Load Status and Cross-Flows)	42
3.9 Accidents Occurring on Ramps	44
3.10 Most Prevalent Accident Environment, Vehicle Manoeuvre and Accident Causes in Accident Data Base	50
3.11 Accident Frequencies by Cause and Manoeuvre (Single Unit Truck)	51
3.12 Accident Frequencies by Cause and Manoeuvre (Truck and Trailer)	52
3.13 Accident Frequencies by Cause and Manoeuvre (Tractor)	53
3.14 Accident Frequencies by Cause and Manoeuvre (Tractor and Trailer)	54

3.15	Accident Frequencies by Cause and Manoeuvre (Tractor and Two Trailers)	55
4.1	Truck Accident Rates	60
4.2	Rail Accident Rates (Derailments)	61
4.3a	Frequency of Incidents by Dangerous Goods Class	67
4.3b	Frequency of Consequences by Dangerous Goods Class ...	68
4.3c	Frequency of Consequences by Dangerous Goods by Class and by Mode	70
4.4a	LPG (PIN 1075, 1011, 1969, 1055, 1978, 1077)	71
4.4b	Chlorine (PIN 1017)	72
4.4c	Sulphuric Acid (PIN 1830, 1831, 1982)	72
4.4d	Gasoline (PIN 1203)	72
4.5	LPG, Chlorine, Sulphuric Acid and Gasoline Releases by Region	73
4.6	Consequences by Region	74
4.7	Railway Mainline Cause Codes (15 incidents)	76
4.8	Railway Yard Cause Codes (77 incidents)	77
4.9	Road En Route Cause Codes	79
4.10	Road Terminal Cause Codes	81
4.11	RTC Non-Accident Dangerous Goods Incidents	82
4.12	Potential Damage Areas for Truck - Chlorine	85
4.13	Potential Damage Areas for Rail - Chlorine	86
4.14	Potential Damage Areas for Truck - LPG	87
4.15	Potential Damage Areas for Rail - LPG	88
4.16	Potential Damage Areas for Truck - Sulphuric Acid	89
4.17	Potential Damage Areas for Rail - Sulphuric Acid	90
4.18	Comparison of Potential Damage Areas	91
4.19	Some Typical Link Characteristics	93-94
4.20	Expected Damages for Spill	95
4.21	Total Expected Damages	97
5.1	Road Route A Link Information - Population Detail ...	102
5.2	Road Route B Link Information - Population Detail ...	103
5.3	Rail Link Information - Population Detail	104

5.4	Comparison of Total Fatalities from Sarnia to Toronto by Road and Rail	114
6.1	Dangerous Goods Incidents Newspaper Articles	116
6.2	Dangerous Goods Classes and Subdivisions	118

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
2.1 Model Framework	6
2.2 Train Length Distribution (CTC Accident Data 1980-1985)	10
2.3 Point of Derailment Probability Distribution	13
2.4 Relative Frequency Histograms for the Number of Cars Derailing	16
2.5 Number of Cars Derailing Versus Residual Train Length	17
2.6 Standardized Residuals of Observed and Fitted Values for the Geometric and A.D.L. Models	20
2.7 Alternative Marshalling Regulations Considered	22
2.8 SDC Car Positions for Current Marshalling Regulations (5 and 10 Buffer Cars)	24
2.9 Derailment Profiles for Different Car Positions by Cause of Derailment	26
2.10 Number of SDC Cars Derailing Versus Speed for the Alternative Marshalling Strategies	27
3.1 Sarnia to Toronto Corridor	47
3.2 Cumulative Accident Rates for the Sarnia to Toronto Corridor (per movement and per tonne)	48
4.1 Guide for Completion of Dangerous Occurrence Report (TC, 1985)	65
4.2 Dangerous Occurrence Report Form (TC, 1985)	66
5.1 Comparison of Detail Information with Census Data - Road Route A	106
5.2 Comparison of Detail Information with Census Data - Road Route B	107
5.3 Comparison of Detail Information with Census Data - Rail Route	108
5.4 Chlorine Road and Rail Risks - Fatalities per Vehicle (Excluding Accident)	109
5.5 Chlorine Road and Rail Risks - Fatalities per Tonne (Excluding Accident)	110

5.6	LPG Road and Rail Risks - Fatalities per Vehicle (Excluding Accident)	112
5.7	LPG Road and Rail Risks - Fatalities per Tonne (Excluding Accident)	113
6.1	Dangerous Goods Class Distribution	119
6.2	Dangerous Goods Accidents by Class and Mode	120
6.3	Newspaper Articles by Severity Cell for Dangerous Goods Incidents from January 1986 to June 1988	121
6.4	Newspaper Articles vs. Severity (Class 3 and Class 8)	123
6.5	Words per Article vs. Severity (Class 3 and Class 8)	124