### Assessment

Some 80% (or 17,000 of 21,000) of eligible communities participate in the NFIP. There are about 2.1 million policies in force with a face value of about \$162 billion (U.S. General Accounting Office, 1988). The policies cover about 20 to 25% of properties on defined floodplains, and about 72% of these are properties in coastal (including Great Lake) communities.

Assessed in terms of its role in promoting land-use regulation the NFIP appears to have been successful. Without the program, it is most unlikely that 17,000 communities would have adopted floodplain land-use regulations, especially as many communities had little or no planning of any type before the NFIP (Arnell, 1987; Whilden et al., 1987). Despite this apparent overall success, many of the poorest communities remain unable to participate in the program as they are unable to afford any form of planning authority. The program has been subject to a number of unsuccessful legal challenges on the basis that the land-use controls are unconstitutional.

In terms of reducing losses the program has been less successful. The regulations do little for existing development, and encroachment is continuing for a variety of reasons, including the granting of numerous exemptions. There is some evidence that insurance has encouraged development in high-hazard coastal areas (Arnell, 1987; Cross, 1986). Banks have not been good enforcers of the mortgage provisions. In some cases they do not have the necessary flood insurance maps or have difficulty interpreting

them when they do. In addition, it appears that opportunities for reducing the damage potential of structures following flooding have rarely been taken; about 43% of the insurance payouts have been for repetitive losses (U.S. General Accounting Office, 1988). Another problem concerns areas not covered by the program's definition of flood-prone land but which may nevertheless be flooded. These include the floodplain lying between the 1% and maximum probable floods, and areas prone to mudslides, alluvial fan flooding, and groundwater inundation.

Under the Reagan administration the land-use aspects of the NFIP have been downplayed and attention has been devoted to two major accounting goals. The first is to put the program on a sound actuarial footing by increasing premiums, and the second is to make the scheme self-financing. There has been some concern that in practice these goals may be contradictory; as premiums rise to actuarial levels, people may drop out of the program, leaving an increasing proportion of policy holders in high-risk areas. Yet the program requires a wide spread of risk to remain financially viable, and financial viability is an important issue. For the ten-year period beginning January 1, 1978, the NFIP had an accumulated deficit of \$651 million. This deficit represents the excess of insurance payouts plus operating expenses over premium income.

At a very different level, the NFIP is seen as one of the Reagan Administration's most successful private-sector initiatives. Under the "write your own program" private insurance

companies now sell and service flood insurance under their own names. Through arrangements with the Federal Insurance Administration they will not lose money on the insurance.

Despite heroic attempts, the program is not well coordinated and does not appear to have reduced federal disaster-related expenditures, if the insurance scheme subsidies are included. However, it scores high on accountability and openness; the program is enshrined in legislation and has been subject to periodic review.

This discussion highlights the difficulties in assessing programs of this kind. Various aspects of the program have been commented on, but what criteria are most appropriate? Nothing has been said about consumer satisfaction. Although this may be a most desirable measure, no data are available. Equity has been mentioned, and it is almost universally seen as a central measure of program desirability. However, there is little agreement on what constitutes equity. Is it equitable to treat people with the same losses equally, or should relief be related to ability to bear the loss? Tax deductibility of losses may appear equitable, but favors higher income groups.

## BRITAIN: AN AD HOC APPROACH

# Floodplain management

Central government involvement with flood hazard mitigation has a 500-year history in Britain (Handmer, 1987). For most of the recent period, involvement has been in the form of grants for

engineering works. As in Australia, land-use planning became firmly established during the 1940s, although some controls existed from the nineteenth century because of health legislation and the limited powers possessed by local governments.

Britain has not experienced the recent large flood-related death tolls of the United States. Nevertheless, parts of the country are frequently subject to severe flooding, and two floods in the early 1950s took a heavy toll. These were the Lynmouth flash flood of 1952 and the east coast sea flooding of 1953; thirty-four died or were missing and presumed dead in Lynmouth (Delderfield, 1976), and over 300 in the east coast floods (Pollard, 1978). Both events resulted in major government and unofficial relief operations, with Lynmouth, in particular, receiving substantial international aid. The floods led to upgrading of flood mitigation works, especially for sea flooding on the east coast, including construction of the Thames Barrier to protect London.

At present Britain has a relatively stringent national landuse planning system, but this does not really extend to flood
hazards. Local governments are expected to seek advice from the
ten regional water authorities on developments likely to be
flood-prone. The whole flood-related planning system is advisory. There is no explicit national policy, and there are no
national, and few regional, performance standards (Burch, 1987).
This is in contrast to engineering works which are assessed individually by water authorities on cost-benefit grounds according

to national standard procedures. Water authorities are also responsible for the provision of flood warning services. (The privatization of many water authority functions in 1989 will affect these arrangements. See Kinnersley, 1988; Parker, 1988).

However, even though the same organization appears to be responsible for many aspects of flood hazard planning, there is little coordination, and the approach is certainly not integrated on paper or in reality. Essentially, the water authorities are staffed by engineers with a construction mission. Even if they had planning powers they would be under increasing pressure from the present central government not to use them.

### Redistribution of Disaster Damage

In any case, the water authorities have no role in loss redistribution after a disaster. Flood insurance is automatically included in most British household policies at no extra premium. Comprehensive household contents policies have generally included flood cover since the First World War. This was gradually extended to structures following severe flooding in 1960 (Arnell, 1987). At first sight it may seem that this is an entirely "free enterprise" approach to the problem. However, fear of nationalization and other pressure from government played a major role in prompting extension of cover during the 1960s. Of course, in Thatcher's Britain nothing is less likely than nationalization, but it no doubt suits the government to continue the arrangement, since it reduces pressure to provide disaster relief and

satisfies an ideological commitment to "self reliance."

Not that disaster relief in Britain is a major drain on the national government. Counseling services are provided by local government, and special ad hoc committees may be established to coordinate relief funds, but relief money comes dominantly from public donations. Although the government may make donations to relief funds, it does not specify how the money should be spent. Also, Westminster sometimes compensates local authorities and may occasionally give cash grants to affected households. Note that local authorities have no statutory duty to plan for "peacetime" disasters, although they are required to plan for war.

These limited forms of compensation notwithstanding, government policy has been to refuse restitution for an insurable loss. Instead, the central government may offer disaster relief loans to people who have been flooded. This policy may discriminate against those who do not have or who cannot afford insurance. About one-quarter of the households in Britain do not have contents cover (British Insurance Association, 1983), but this figure varies enormously according to socioeconomic status, as shown by the discussion of the town of Strabane, below. Unlike many other industrialized countries, the British government is reluctant to admit publicly that it necessarily has the ultimate responsibility for disaster losses.

An example of the policy in action is provided by the town of Strabane, Northern Ireland, which was badly flooded when a small levee broke in late 1987. According to newspaper reports

the town is distinguished by the highest male unemployment rate in Western Europe: 44%. Therefore, not surprisingly, two-thirds of the flooded households were uninsured, and relief grants were offered only to those already on "supplementary benefits." Those not on this form of welfare were eligible only for loans covering a proportion of their losses. Fortunately, the district council raised money through a disaster appeal fund. When this was combined with a grant from the EEC (European Economic Community), each flooded family received an average of £226; this amount (about \$375) is little compared to an average grant after the 1986 Sydney floods of \$3750 (\$5000 Australian).

As Table 3 shows, the amount of money raised for disaster victims by public appeals varies greatly. It varies by type and timing of disaster; amount of media attention, including the degree of competition from other news; the marketing skills of the appeal organizers; and public expectations concerning compensation from other sources. The appeal following the Hungerford "massacre" of 15 villagers in a shooting spree, raised money easily. Apart from the appalling nature of the event, it occurred in August, traditionally a quiet month for news. As a result it received constant media attention. Nevertheless, the amount raised, £1.1 million, is paltry compared to the £3 million raised by the appeal following the deaths of eight crew when the Penlee lifeboat sank. In contrast, the appeal for the victims of the Kings Cross underground railway fire had a disappointing start, possibly because the public knew that those who had

TABLE 3
SOME RECENT BRITISH DISASTERS

<u>Event</u>	<u>Key Losses</u>	Amount Raised by Public Appeal (Pounds sterling)
Penlee lifeboat (1981)	lifeboat crew 8 dead	3 million
Bradford football stadium fire (1985)	56 dead	4.25 million
British ferry capsize at Zeebrugge (1987)	195 dead	4 million
Hungerford shooting (1987)	15 dead	1.1 million
"Great British Storm" (1987)	15 million trees; insurance payout of £1,500 million (largest insurance payout ever).	?
IRA bomb at Enniskillen (1987)	11 civilians dead	0.4 million
Fire at Kings Cross Underground Railway Station (1987)	35 dead	0.44 million
Fire on Alpha Piper oil drilling rig (1988)	167 dead	Compensation paid by oil company

Note: Other British disasters occurring since this table was compiled include the sinking of the pleasure boat Marchioness in the Thames River with the loss of 55 lives; the Hillsborough football stadium disaster, 95 dead and 400 injured; Clapham Junction rush-hour train crash, 35 dead (the worst of a number of rail accidents); and the bombing of a Pan Am plane over Lockerbie, 270 dead.

Source: various newspapers; the 1987 storm: Burt and Mansfield, 1988, and Lloyds Insurance of London.

suffered could expect to be compensated. Also media attention was limited, and much of it focused on the problems of the underground rather than the victims.

The European Community has a role in British disaster relief as in other aspects of the country's existence. The role is not large, but may be important for places like Strabane, which are not electorally important and do not attract much media attention. It is conceivable that eventually the Community may attempt to set uniform disaster relief standards for member countries.

# <u>Assessment</u>

The approach to loss redistribution discussed above is not simply a feature of Britain under Margaret Thatcher: it has a long history. However, the approach raises some serious issues: equity, the accountability of these essentially private funds that distribute millions of pounds according to secret criteria, and the role of central government.

The first issue is of particular concern. How is it equitable that compensation should depend on media interest or on potential legal liability? The people of Strabane get almost nothing, while the relatives of the Penlee lifeboat crew and Bradford fire victims receive substantial compensation.

Counseling and other support services are well developed at present for victims of the major events listed in Table 3, but, as with financial assistance, these efforts often fail to reach

those affected by smaller, less newsworthy incidents such as severe flooding (Emery, 1987).

Until recently the British approach to disaster management, including loss redistribution, appeared to be based on the premise that the country is not disaster-prone. This attitude has often been expressed to the author. However, if this outlook is not changing, then it probably should be, as the recent disasters listed in Table 3 testify. It is important to note that this list includes only those events receiving international media attention, it does not include numerous significant flood events. Furthermore, since the list was compiled, there have been other, equally serious, transportation and pollution disasters.

The British approach is currently under formal review. A consultation paper was circulated on June 30, 1988, and comments were solicited from about a hundred relevant organizations (U.K. Home Office, 1988). The paper's concern, however, is with the role of central government in the impact and postimpact phases of disaster, rather than with loss redistribution. A further discussion paper dealing with the organizational arrangements for "civil emergencies" was issued in June 1989 (U.K. Home Office, 1989).

## CONCLUSIONS

The different approaches to loss redistribution are firmly set in the national or regional contexts in which they operate.

These contexts include beliefs concerning equity, the role of government, and people's right to compensation. At one extreme lie universal government compensation schemes for injuries and losses, however they are sustained, such as the plan now operating in New Zealand. At the other, compensation other than insurance is only available from public appeals and charities and through court action. In the first approach commercial insurers are likely to have a small role; in the second approach they are potentially a major means of loss redistribution. Without government intervention loss redistribution through family and friendship networks is likely to be more important. The question as to whether government action has displaced such networks or is simply part of a larger trend towards increasing dependence on government is unresolved.

It is certain that regardless of statements to the contrary, central governments will always act in the role of insurer (or reinsurer) of last resort for major disasters. Precisely where special disaster relief measures should start however, is unclear. Given an effective welfare system, special government disaster programs are perhaps unnecessary, although it appears that tangible and intangible disaster losses will often exceed the scope of normal welfare programs. In addition, welfare staffing may be inadequate to cope with the extra demand. Nevertheless, the trend in some Australian states is to view disaster assistance as an extension of normal welfare. A parallel trend consists of the attempts to have the insurance industry take a

larger role, both in offering cover and in publicizing the availability of policies.

The current emphases on "user pays" ideology and economic efficiency in many of the industrialized democracies are in keeping with this trend. The latter threatens to overturn earlier concern with accountability and safety standards. Both suggest that disaster victims should not receive special treatment; they should have the same welfare entitlements as anyone else who has suffered comparable losses. If there are inefficiencies the whole welfare system should be examined. A "user-pays" approach would suggest that some groups, in particular industries which have gained some advantage from their hazardous location, should not be entitled to compensation.

At first sight this may appear to go against our concern that loss redistribution should be part of integrated disaster management. Yet, the removal of special assistance privileges may satisfy this requirement. In any case, it would be inequitable and politically and administratively impossible to deny disaster victims normal welfare. Little has been said about consumer satisfaction or expectations concerning disaster welfare. This omission is not because consumer views are unimportant; rather it is due to the lack of information. This is a serious deficiency.

### REFERENCES

- Arnell, N.W.
  - "Flood Hazard Management in the United States and the National Flood Insurance Program." Geoforum 15 (4): pp. 525-542.
  - 1987 "Flood Insurance and Floodplain Management." Pages 117-134 in Handmer, J.W., ed., <u>Flood Hazard Management:</u> <u>British and International Perspectives</u>. Norwich, U.K.: Geobooks.
- Arnell, N.W., M.J. Clark, and A.M. Gurnell
  1984 "Flood Insurance and Extreme Events: The Role of Crisis
  in Prompting Changes in British Institutional Response
  to Flood Hazard." Applied Geography 4: pp. 167-181.
- British Insurance Association (BIA)
  1983 <u>Insurance Facts and Figures</u>. London: BIA.
- Burt, S.D. and D.A. Mansfield

  1988 "The Great Storm of 15-16 October 1987." Weather 43

  (3): pp. 90-109 (Special issue, March 1988).
- Burch, A.R.

  1987 "Development Control Procedures in England and Wales."

  Pages 81-93 in Handmer, J.W., ed., Flood Hazard Management: British and International Perspectives. Norwich, U.K.: Geobooks.
- Cross, D.

  1987 "A Role for FEMA in Earthquake Insurance." <u>FEMA</u>

  <u>Newsletter</u> September/October: pp. 4-5.
- Cross, J.A.

  1986 "Flood Insurance and Coastal Development." Paper
  presented at the 82nd Annual Meeting of the Association
  of American Geographers, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Davis, I.

  1983 "The Intervenors." New Internationalist (July):
  pp. 21-23.
  - "Prevention is Better than Cure." <u>Ideas RRDC Bulletin</u> (October): pp. 3-7.
- Delderfield, E.R.

  1976 <u>The Lynmouth Flood Disaster</u>. Exmouth, U.K.:
  E.R.D. Publishers, Ltd.

- El-Sabh, M.I. and T.S. Murty, eds.
  - 1988 <u>Natural and Man-Made Hazards</u>. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.
- Emery, P.J.
  - "Assessing the Health Effects of Floods." Pages 245-262 in Handmer, J.W., ed., <u>Flood Hazard Management: British and International Perspectives</u>. Norwich, U.K.: Geobooks.
- Ericksen, N.J.
  - 1986 <u>Creating Flood Disasters?</u> Wellington, New Zealand: National Water and Soil Conservation Authority.
- FEMA Newsletter
  - 1988 "New Disaster Legislation Passed." November-December: pp. 1, 7-8.
- Kinnersley, D.
  - 1988 <u>Troubled Water: Rivers, Politics and Pollution</u>. London: Hillary Shipman.
- Gerritsen, R., R.J. May, and M.A.H.B. Walter
  - Road Belong Development: Cargo Cults, Community Groups and Self-Help Movements in Papua New Guinea. Working Paper #3. Canberra: Department of Political and Social Change, Australian National University.
- Handmer, J.W.
  - 1984 <u>Property Acquisition for Flood Damage Reduction</u>.
    Australian Water Resources Council Final Report 80/25.
    Canberra: Department of Resources and Energy.
  - 1985 ANUFLOOD in New Zealand: Background to Flood Loss

    Measurement. Working Paper 1986/3. Canberra: Centre for
    Resource and Environmental Studies, Australian National
    University.
- Handmer, J.W.
  - "The Flood Problem in Perspective." Pages 9-31 in Handmer, J.W., ed., Flood Hazard Management: British and International Perspectives. Norwich, U.K.: Geobooks.
- The (London) Independent
  - 1988 "Media." February 4.
- Morris, J.
  - 1975 Wall Street Journal, January 4: p. 1.

- Parker, D.J.
  - The Privatisation of the Water Industry in England and Wales and the Implications for Flood Hazard Management.
    Working Paper 1988/13. Canberra: Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies, Australian National University.
- Pollard, M.
  - 1978 North Sea Surge: The Story of the East Coast Floods of 1953. Lavenham, U.K.: Terrace Dalton.
- Rosen, H. and Reuss, M., eds.
  - 1988 The Flood Control Challenge: Past, Present and Future.
    Proceedings of a national symposium. New Orleans: U.S.
    Public Works Historical Society.
- Sorkin, A.L.
  - 1982 <u>Economic Aspects of Natural Hazard</u>s. Lexington, Massachusetts: Lexington Books.
- Thompson, P.
  - 1987 Personal communication.
- U.K. Home Office
  - 1988 <u>Civil Emergencies: Discussion Paper</u>. London: Home Office.
  - 1989 <u>Review of Arrangements for Dealing with Civil</u> <u>Emergencies</u>. London: Home Office.
- U.S. General Accounting Office
  - 1988 <u>Statistics on the National Flood Insurance Program</u>. Gaitherburg, Maryland: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- Smith, D.I. and J.W. Handmer
  - "Urban Flooding in Australia: Policy Development and Implementation." <u>Disasters</u> 8 (2): pp. 105-117.
- Waddell, E.
- "Coping with Frosts, Government and Disaster Experts:
  Some Reflections Based on a New Guinea Experience and a
  Perusal of the Relevant Literature." In Hewitt, K.,
  ed., Interpretations of Calamity. Winchester,
  Massachusetts: Allen and Unwin.
- Whilden, M., J.W. Handmer, and D.I. Smith
- 1987 The U.S. National Flood Insurance Program and Its
  Relevance to Australia. Working Paper 1987/4. Canberra:
  Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies,
  Australian National University.

White, G.F. and J.E. Haas
1975 <u>Assessment of Research on Natural Hazards</u>. Cambridge,
Massachusetts: MIT Press.

# NATURAL HAZARD RESEARCH WORKING PAPER SERIES Institute of Behavioral Science #6 Campus Box 482 University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado 80309

The Natural Hazard Research Working Paper series provides a timely method for presenting research in progress in the field of human adjustments to natural hazards. These papers are intended to be both working documents for the group of scholars directly involved in hazard research as well as information sources for the larger circle of interested persons.

Single copies of working papers cost \$4.50 per copy. It is also possible to subscribe to the working paper series; subscription entitles the subscriber to receive each new working paper at the special discount rate of \$3.00 per copy. When a new working paper is sent to a subscriber it is accompanied by a bill for that volume. Papers sent beyond North America cost an additional \$1.00.

- 1 The Human Ecology of Extreme Geophysical Events, Ian Burton, Robert W. Kates, and Gilbert F. White, 1968, 37 pp.
- 2 <u>Annotated Bibliography on Snow and Ice Problems</u>, E.C. Relph and S.B. Goodwillie, 1968, 16 pp.
- 3 <u>Water Quality and the Hazard to Health: Placarding Public Beaches</u>, J.M. Hewings, 1968, 74 pp.
- A Selected Bibliography of Coastal Erosion, Protection and Related Human Activity in North America and the British Isles, J.K. Mitchell, 1968, 70 pp.
- Differential Response to Stress in Natural and Social Environments: An Application of a Modified Rosenzweig Picture-Frustration Test, Mary Barker and Ian Burton, 1969, 22 pp.
- 6 <u>Avoidance-Response to the Risk Environment</u>, Stephen Golant and Ian Burton, 1969, 33 pp.
- 7 The Meaning of a Hazard--Application of the Semantic Differential, Stephen Golant and Ian Burton, 1969, 40 pp.
- 8 <u>Probabilistic Approaches to Discrete Natural Events: A</u>
  <u>Review and Theoretical Discussion</u>, Kenneth Hewitt, 1969,
  40 pp.
- 9 <u>Human Behavior Before the Disaster: A Selected Annotated Bibliography</u>, Stephen Golant, 1969, 16 pp.

- 10 <u>Losses from Natural Hazards</u>, Clifford S. Russell, (reprinted in Land Economics), 1969, 27 pp.
- A Pilot Survey of Global Natural Disasters of the Past Twenty Years, Research carried out and maps compiled by Lesley Sheehan, Paper prepared by Kenneth Hewitt, 1969, 18 pp.
- 12 <u>Technical Services for the Urban Floodplain Property Man-ager: Organization of the Design Problem</u>, Kenneth Cypra and George Peterson, 1969, 25 pp.
- Perception and Awareness of Air Pollution in Toronto, Andris Auliciems and Ian Burton, 1970, 33 pp.
- Natural Hazard in Human Ecological Perspective: Hypotheses and Models, Robert W. Kates (reprinted in Economic Geography, July 1971), 1970, 33 pp.
- Some Theoretical Aspects of Attitudes and Perception, Myra Schiff (reprinted in Perceptions and Attitudes in Resources Management, W.R.D. Sewell and Ian Burton, eds.), 1970, 22 pp.
- Suggestions for Comparative Field Observations on Natural Hazards, Revised Edition, October 20, 1970, 31 pp.
- 17 <u>Economic Analysis of Natural Hazards: A Preliminary Study of Adjustment to Earthquakes and Their Costs</u>, Tapan Mukerjee, 1971, 37 pp.
- Human Adjustment to Cyclone Hazards: A Case Study of Char Jabbar, M. Aminul Islam, 1971, 60 pp.
- Human Adjustment to Agricultural Drought in Tanzania: Pilot Investigations, L. Berry, T. Hankins, R.W. Kates, L. Maki, and P. Porter, 1971, 69 pp.
- 20 The New Zealand Earthquake and War Damage Commission--A
  Study of a National Natural Hazard Insurance Scheme, Timothy
  O'Riordan, 1971, 44 pp.
- Notes on Insurance Against Loss from Natural Hazards, Christopher K. Vaughan, 1971, 51 pp.
- 22 <u>Annotated Bibliography on Natural Hazards</u>, Anita Cochran, 1972, 90 pp.
- Human Impact of the Managua Earthquake Disaster, R.W. Kates, J.E. Haas, D.J. Amaral, R.A. Olson, R. Ramos, and R. Olson, 1973, 51 pp.

- 24 <u>Drought Compensation Payments in Israel</u>, Dan Yarden, 1973, 25 pp.
- Social Science Perspectives on the Coming San Francisco
   Earthquake-Economic Impact, Prediction, and Construction,
   H. Cochrane, J.E. Haas, M. Bowden and R. Kates, 1974, 81 pp.
- 26 <u>Global Trends in Natural Disasters, 1947-1973</u>, Judith Dworkin, 1974, 16 pp.
- The Consequences of Large-Scale Evacuation Following Disaster: The Darwin, Australia Cyclone Disaster of December 25, 1974, J.E. Haas, H.C. Cochrane, and D.G. Eddy, 1976, 67 pp.
- 28 <u>Toward an Evaluation of Policy Alternatives Governing Hazard-Zone Land Uses</u>, E.J. Baker, 1976, 73 pp.
- Flood Insurance and Community Planning, N. Baumann and R. Emmer, 1976, 83 pp.
- An Overview of Drought in Kenya: Natural Hazards Research Paradigm, B. Wisner, 1976, 74 pp.
- Warning for Flash Floods in Boulder, Colorado, Thomas E. Downing, 1977, 80 pp.
- 32 What People Did During the Big Thompson Flood, Eve C. Gruntfest, 1977, 62 pp.
- Natural Hazard Response and Planning in Tropical Queensland, John Oliver, 1978, 63 pp.
- Human Response to Hurricanes in Texas--Two Studies, Sally Davenport, 1978, 55 pp.
- Hazard Mitigation Behavior of Urban Flood Plain Residents, Marvin Waterstone, 1978, 60 pp.
- 36 <u>Locus of Control, Repression-Sensitization and Perception of Earthquake Hazard</u>, Paul Simpson-Housley, 1978, 45 pp.
- 37 <u>Vulnerability to a Natural Hazard: Geomorphic, Technolo-qical, and Social Change at Chiswell, Dorset</u>, James Lewis, 1979, 39 pp.
- Archeological Studies of Disaster: Their Range and Value, Payson D. Sheets, 1980, 35 pp.
- 39 Effects of a Natural Disaster on Local Mortgage Markets: The Pearl River Flood in Jackson, Mississippi--April 1979, Dan R. Anderson and Maurice Weinrobe, 1980, 48 pp.

- Our Usual Landslide: Ubiquitous Hazard and Socioeconomic Causes of Natural Disaster in Indonesia, Susan E. Jeffery, 1981, 63 pp.
- Mass Media Operations in a Quick-Onset Natural Disaster:
  Hurricane David in Dominica, Everett Rogers and Rahul Sood,
  1981, 55 pp.
- Notices. Watches, and Warnings: An Appraisal of the USGS's Warning System with a Case Study from Kodiak. Alaska, Thomas F. Saarinen and Harold J. McPherson, 1981, 90 pp.
- Emergency Response to Mount St. Helens' Eruption: March 20-April 10, 1980, J.H. Sorensen, 1981, 70 pp.
- 44 Agroclimatic Hazard Perception, Prediction and Risk-Avoidance Strategies in Lesotho, Gene C. Wilken, 1982, 76 pp.
- 45 <u>Trends and Developments in Global Natural Disasters, 1947 to 1981</u>, Stephen A. Thompson, 1982, 30 pp.
- 46 <u>Emergency Planning Implications of Local Governments' Responses to Mount St. Helens</u>, Jack D. Kartez, 1982, 29 pp.
- Disseminating Disaster-Related Information to Public and Private Users, Claire B. Rubin, 1982, 32 pp.
- The Niño as a Natural Hazard: Its Role in the Dévelopment of Cultural Complexity on the Peruvian Coast, Joseph J. Lischka, 1983, 69 pp.
- 49 <u>A Political Economy Approach to Hazards: A Case Study of California Lenders and the Earthquake Threat</u>, Sallie Marston, 1984, 35 pp.
- Restoration and Recovery Following the Coalinga Earthquake of May, 1983, Steven P. French, Craig A. Ewing, and Mark S. Isaacson, 1984, 30 pp.
- 51 <u>Emergency Planning: The Case of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant</u>, June Belletto de Pujo, 1985, 63 pp.
- The Effects of Flood Hazard Information Disclosure by Realtors: The Case of the Lower Florida Keys, John Cross, 1985, 85 pp.
- 53 <u>Local Reaction to Acquisition: An Australian Study</u>, John W. Handmer, 1985, 96 pp.
- 54 <u>The Environmental Hazards of Colorado Springs</u>, Eve Gruntfest and Thomas Huber, 1985, 62 pp.

- 55 <u>Disaster Preparedness and the 1984 Earthquakes in Central Italy</u>, David Alexander, 1986, 98 pp.
- The Role of the Black Media in Disaster Reporting to the Black Community, Charles H. Beady, Jr. and Robert C. Bolin, 1986, 87 pp.
- 57 <u>The 1982 Urban Landslide Disaster at Ancona, Italy</u>, David Alexander, 1986, 63 pp.
- 58 <u>Gender Vulnerability to Drought: A Case Study of the Hausa Social Environment</u>, Richard A. Schroeder, 1987, 75 pp.
- 59 <u>Have Waste, Will Travel: An Examination of the Implications of High-Level Nuclear Waste Transportation</u>. Ann FitzSimmons, 1987, 145 pp.
- 60 <u>Post-Impact Field Studies of Disasters and Sociological</u>
  <u>Theory Construction</u>, Robert A. Stallings, 1987, 65 pp.
- The Local Economic Effects of Natural Disasters, Anthony M. Yezer and Claire B. Rubin, 1987, 75 pp.
- 62 <u>Primary Mental Health Care in Disasters: Armero Colombia,</u> Bruno R. Lima et al., 1988, 54 pp.
- 63 <u>Emergency Public Information: A Quick Response Study of Coalinga</u>, Geoffrey P. Stockdale and Rahul Sood, 1989, 52 pp.
- 64 <u>Climate Change and Water Resources in the Sacramento-San</u>
  <u>Joaquin Region of California</u>, William E. Riebsame and
  Jeffrey W. Jacobs, 1989, 74 pp.
- The Greenhouse Effect: Recent Research and Some Implications for Water Resource Management, Jeffrey W. Jacobs and William E. Riebsame, 1989, 70 pp.
- Utilization of the Mortgage Finance and Insurance Industries to Induce the Private Procurement of Earthquake Insurance:

  Possible Antitrust Implications, James M. Brown and Peter M. Gerhart, 1989, 120 pp.
- 67 <u>Hurricane Gilbert: The Media's Creation of the Storm of the Century</u>, Henry W. Fischer III, 1989, 75 pp.