		DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MO MONITORING	ONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION
Demonstrate the measurements.	appropriate use of equipment and	procedures for determining field radiation
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW	
• I.7.,8.,11.		have low-range survey instruments that read as per hour (mR/h) and beta plus gamma
	YES NO N/A 1	N/O
	(a) If yes, specify model and rang	
I.7.,8.,11.		N/O
H.10.	6.3. Did the team have an equipm	ant inventory list?
11.10.	• •	N/O

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) Did the team check their equipment list prior to deployment to assure that none of it was missing?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) If equipment was missing, was replacement equipment obtained prior to deployment?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(c) Were spare batteries available?
	YES NO N/A N/O
F.1.d. H.10.	6.4. Did the team perform a battery check on all equipment that requires batteries for operation?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) Were radio communication checks demonstrated?
	YES NO N/A N/O
H.10.	6.5. Did the team check for proper operational response of each survey instrument with a radioactive check source, where appropriate, or perform an internal operational check of the survey instrument?
	YES NO N/A N/O

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) Were low-range survey instruments checked for proper response to normal background radiation?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) If an instrument demonstrated improper operation, was backup equipment provided?
	YES NO N/A N/O

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
H.10.	6.6. Was each survey instrument labeled with the following information? (Indicate YES, NO, N/A, or N/O in the space provided for each item.)
	Date of most recent calibration or date that next calibration is due
	For instruments with check sources, the appropriate reading (or range of readings) for the check source
	Calibration curve or exposure rate correction factors
	(a) Record calibration date from survey instrument for <u>each</u> instrument used. Provide either most recent date calibrated or calibration due date.

INSTRUMENT	MOST RECENT DATE CALIBRATED	CALIBRATION DUE DATE

	TEAM LEADER DATE ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(b) Were the calibration dates, above, within 12 months of the exercise date?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.8.,	6.7. Did each team have a map(s) of the areas to be surveyed?
J.10.a.	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) If yes, were predetermined monitoring locations identified?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.11.	6.8. Was the team briefed on the following before deployment?
	(a) Plant and meteorological conditions
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) Exposure control procedures, including use of potassium iodide (KI)
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(c) Survey procedures to be followed
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(d) Starting point for the radiation measurements
	YES NO N/A N/O

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(e) Locations that were assigned to be monitored
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(f) Procedures for identifying the plume edge
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(g) Procedures for iodine sampling
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(h) Communication of radiological data
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.8.	6.9. Was the team provided with vehicles appropriate for local terrain and weather conditions in their deployment area?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.7.,8.,11. J.10.a.	6.10. Did the team consist of at least two members?
<b>0.</b> 13. <b></b>	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) Were at least two teams consisting of two or more members involved in field monitoring? (This information should be secured from all evaluators assigned to this objective.)
	YES NO N/A N/O

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
I.7.,8.,11. J.10.a.	6.11. Did the monitoring team arrive at the assigned monitoring location without excessive delay?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.11 J.10.a.	6.12. List the monitoring locations where radiation measurements were taken and the time when the measurements were taken. (If applicable, attach a list of additional monitoring locations and times.)
	MONITORING LOCATION TIME OF MEASUREMENT
I.7.,8.,11.	6.13. If the plume was found, were the following readings taken at approximately waist level and near the ground (i.e., within two to three inches of the ground)? (Indicate YES, NO, N/A, or N/O in the space provided for each item).  Waist level closed window  Waist level open window  Near-ground level open window

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) If open-window readings were taken, was the open window pointed down for the near-ground-level reading?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) Were near-simultaneous exposure rate measurements taken by two or more teams to verify the edges of the plume?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.11.	6.14. Were measurements taken to determine the peak gamma exposure rate near or at the downwind boundary of the evacuation area?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.11.	6.15. Were plume traverse measurements taken to determine peak exposure rates in the downwind direction?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) Were arrangements made with the licensee monitoring teams to collect these data?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.8.	6.16. Were all readings logged in accordance with a predetermined format?
	YES NO N/A N/O

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE	
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N	
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING	MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION	
NUREG REF	<b>POINTS OF REVIEW</b>		
I.7.,8.,11.	6.17. Did the team keep probe	s (detectors) enclosed in thin plastic?	
	YES NO N/A	N/O	
H.12. I.7.,8.,11.	6.18. At what time did the team Team Coordinator?	report exposure rate measurements to the Field	
	(a) What mode(s) of communication Radio Telephone Other (Specify)	· <del>-</del>	
N.1.a.	6.19. In the implementation of the organization follow its plans	the activities associated with this objective, did and procedures?	
	YES NO N/A	N/O	
F.1.d., H.10.,12. I.7.,8.,11.	6.20. Specify whether or not the successfully demonstrated during	e following demonstration criteria were g this exercise using YES, NO, N/A, or N/O.	
J.10.a. N.1.a	1. Each team had the equipment to perform field radiation measurements. (H.10., I.7.,8.,11.; PORs 6.1-6.3)		
	equipment and instrumer	appropriate operational response checks of their nts before deployment. The survey instruments months of the exercise date. (H.10.; PORs 6.4-	

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 6:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AMBIENT RADIATION MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	3. Each team demonstrated proper deployment capability and procedures and promptly arrived at assigned monitoring locations. (I.7.,8.,11., J.10.a.; PORs 6.7-6.11)
	4. Each team demonstrated proper field radiological monitoring procedures. (I.7.,8.,11.; PORs 6.12-6.17)
	5. Each team demonstrated the capability to promptly report the radiological data collected to the Field Team Coordinator. (H.12.,I.7.,8.,11.; POR 6.18.)
	6. All activities described in the demonstration criteria for this objective were carried out in accordance with the plan, unless deviations were provided for in the extent-of-play agreement. (N.1.a.; POR 6.19)

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENTPREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME DOSE PROJECTION
Demonstrate the regarding evacuati	capability to develop dose projections and protective action recommendations ion and sheltering.
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
I.10.	7.1. Was the area(s) recommended for evacuation and sheltering plotted on a map on the basis of the following?
	Initial licensee recommendations
	YES NO N/A N/O
	Dose projections
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) Were protective action recommendations (PAR) made on the basis of these data?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) To whom were the PARs made?
	(c) At what time were the PARs made?
I.10.	7.2. Were source term release projections and meteorological data provided by th licensee? (Indicate YES, NO, N/A, or N/O in the space provided for each item.
	Source term release projections
	Meteorological data

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENTPREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME DOSE PROJECTION
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) If yes, did the dose assessment group make dose projections on the basis of these data?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) What method(s) did the dose assessment group use to make the initial dose projections? (Check method(s) used.)
	Manual calculations Computer calculations Other (Specify)
	(c) If a computer was used as the primary means for calculating projected dose, was a backup methhod demonstrated?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(d) For what exposure pathway(s) were dose projections made? (Check projection(s) made.)
	Whole body gamma Iodine inhalation Other (Specify)
I.10.	7.3. Were there any significant differences (i.e., differences greater than 10-fold) between dose projections by the organization and the initial projections of the licensee?
	YES NO N/A N/O

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME DOSE PROJECTION
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) If yes, were steps taken to resolve these differences?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.10.	7.4. Were there any changes to PARs based on dose projections by the organization?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) If yes, to whom were these PARs made?
	(b) At what time were these PARs made?
	(c) Was the plot(s) of the area(s) for evacuation and sheltering changed on maps or displays to show these revised PARs?
	YES NO N/A N/O
<b>I.8.,</b> 10.,11.	7.5. Were monitoring data made available to the dose assessment group(s) regarding the boundaries of the plume?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) If yes, when were the first data received by the dose assessment group(s)?
I.10.,11.	7.6. Was it possible for the dose assessment group(s) to identify the plume location on the basis of field monitoring data?
	YES NO N/A N/O

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME DOSE PROJECTION
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) If yes, was the plume location plotted on a map on the basis of these monitoring data?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.10.	7.7. Were PARs revised on the basis of field radiation measurements?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) If yes, at what time were these revisions transmitted to decision makers?
1.10.	7.8. Was the dose assessment group(s) provided with radiological data regarding maximum gamma exposure rates in unevacuated areas?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) If yes, when was this done and when were the first field readings (background or greater) available to the dose assessment group(s)?
	(b) Were these measurements used as a basis for additional dose projections?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(c) If yes, were earlier PARs changed on the basis of these dose projections?
	YES NO N/A N/O

				DATEPREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME	DOSE PR	ROJECTION	N
NUREG REF	<u>POINTS</u>	OF REV	<u>IEW</u>	
	(d) If ye	s, at what t	ime were th	nese revised PARs transmitted to the decision maker
I.10.,11.	maximur previous YES	n gamma ly designat	exposure reted for evace	N/O
			ese data fro	om licensee or State teams?
	***	icensee		
		tate		
	(b) Wei	e these m	easurement	is used as a basis for additional dose projections?
	YES	_ NO _	_ N/A _	N/O
	(c) If ye	es, were ea	ırlier PARs	changed on the basis of these dose projections?
	YES	_ NO	_ N/A _	N/O

						DATE PREVIOUS ARCA Y N	
51112 -			ADDIG			INDVIOUS /INC// I IV	
_	CTIVE 7: G REF						
		(d) If yes	s, at what tir	ne were the	se revised P	ARs transmitted to the decision n	nakers?
	TIME		PRO	TECTIVE .	ACTION R	ECOMMENDATION (PAR)	
I.10.						provided with monitoring data re osure rate measurements in the	
		YES	_ NO	N/A	_ N/O	_	
		(a) If ye	es, when we	re these da	ta received	by the dose assessment group(s)	?
		(b) From	m how man	y locations	was this inf	ormation received?	
		(c) Was	this inform	nation used	as a basis f	or dose projections?	
		YES	_ NO	N/A	_ N/O	_	

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME DOSE PROJECTION
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(d) If yes, were earlier PARs changed on the basis of these dose projections?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(e) If yes, at what time were these revised PARs transmitted to the decision makers?
I.10.	7.11. Did the dose projection group(s) calculate a conversion factor to translate the gamma exposure rate measurements from the plume into corresponding radioiodine concentrations?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) Were additional conversion factors calculated whenever new data became available?
	YES NO N/A N/O
N.1.a.	7.12. In the implementation of the activities associated with this objective, did the organization follow its plans and procedures?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.8.,10.,11. N.1.a.	7.13. Specify whether or not the following demonstration criteria were successfully demonstrated during this exercise using YES, NO, N/A, or N/O.
	1. Plume location and dose were projected through use of models, data from the field, and data supplied by the licensee and appropriate protective action recommendations were developed. (I.8.,10.,11.; PORs 7.1-7.11)

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADERASSIGNMENT	DATE PREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 7:	PLUME DOSE PROJECTION	
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW	
		demonstration criteria for this objective were he plan, unless deviations were provided for (N.1.a.; POR 7.12)

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE	
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA Y N	
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONIT	ORING - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND ITORING	
radioiodine concen		procedures for the measurement of airborne crocuries per cubic centimeter in the presence y in the airborne plume.	
NUREG REF	<b>POINTS OF REVIEW</b>		
H.10. I.9.	8.1. Which of the following were available to the field team to monitor airborne radioiodine and particulate activity. (Indicate YES, NO, N/A, or N/O in the spaces provided for each item.)		
	NOTE: This equipment is in addi	tion to the equipment needed in Objective 6.	
	(a) Air sampler with flow ra	ate indicator	
	(b) Adsorbent filter media of silver silica gel	cartridges, either silver zeolite, silver alumina,	
	(c) Particulate filters		

\_\_\_\_ (d) Power supply capable of operating the air sampler pump

Portable sodium iodide (NaI) scintillation counter

2.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) pancake-type detector

Portable Geiger-Mueller counter with a thin window (e.g., 1.4 to

Access to a mobile laboratory with appropriate counting equipment

Other (Specify)

\_\_\_\_ (e) Count rate instrumentation

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE		
SITE	ASSIGNMENTPREVIOUS ARCA Y N		
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND PARTICULATE ACTIVITY MONITORING		
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW		
H.10.	8.2. Were the air sampler and its power supply checked for operability prior to deployment?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
H.10.	8.3. If portable instrumentation [POR 8.1.(e)] was available for field radiation measurement of airborne radioiodine, was the instrument accompanied by a check source?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(a) Was the instrument the same type provided for in the plan?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(b) Was the instrument checked for proper operation, including radiation response from the check source?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
H.10.	8.4. Was each item of equipment labeled with the following information? (Indicate YES, NO, N/A, or N/O in the space provided for each item.)		
	Date of most recent calibration or date that next calibration is due		
	For instruments with check sources, the appropriate reading (or range of readings) for the check source		
	Calibration curve or exposure rate correction factors, if needed		

	TEAM LEADI		
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AN PARTICULATE ACTIVITY MONITORING		
NUREG REF	<b>POINTS OF REVIEW</b>		
	(a) Record calibration date for <u>each</u> item of equipment used, as appropriat Provide either most recent date calibrated or calibration due date.		
ITEM	OF EQUIPMENT	MOST RECENT DATE CALIBRATED	CALIBRATION DUE DATE
			<u> </u>
	(b) Were the calibration YES NO N/	dates above within 12 mor	of the exercise date?

			DATEPREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGI PARTICULATE ACT		G - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND NG
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIE	<u>EW</u>	
I.8.		ator to collect air a	ns were field teams dispatched by the nd particulate samples? (If applicable, as and times.)
I.9.	8.6. Did the field tea to take each air sam  YES NO  (a) What gamma ex  Gamma exposure rate	ple?  N/A N/O _  posure rate did the feee  losed window exposure at each sample lo	rield team indicate would be sufficient?  are rate measurements made to confirm cation?
1.9.	8.7. What flow rates	s were used in takinį	g the air samples?

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND PARTICULATE ACTIVITY MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
	(a) How long was the sampling time?
	minutes
	(b) What was the volume of the air sample(s) taken?
	cubic feet
	(c) Were gamma exposure rate measurements made at the beginning, near the middle, and at the completion of taking each air sample?
	YES NO N/A N/O
I.9.	8.8. Was the air sample media removed from the plume and taken to a low-background area for measurement?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) Was the air sample media purged of noble gases?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(b) Was a field measurement of the gross beta-gamma activity made on the particulate filter?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(c) Was a field measurement made of the radioactivity on the adsorbent filter cartridge?
	YES NO N/A N/O

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORIN PARTICULATE ACTIVITY MONITOR	
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW	
	(d) Did field team personnel deviate fr	om established procedures?
	YES NO N/A N/O _	
I.8.,11.	8.9. Were gamma radiation exposure radiation iodine and particulate sample count transmitted in accordance with the organical sample.	rate data promptly and accurately
	YES NO N/A N/O _	
	(a) If the data were not promptly or ad the organizations plan, explain.	equately transmitted in accordance with
1.7.,9.	8.10. Were iodine cartridges and particulate, location taken, identification of tradiation exposure rate reading at the scount rate data for particulates and radiation.	the individual who took them, gamma sampling location, and the field sample
	YES NO N/A N/O _	
	(a) For iodine cartridges and particulate procedures used.	filters not bagged and labeled, describe

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENTPREVIOUS ARCA Y N
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND PARTICULATE ACTIVITY MONITORING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
I.8.	8.11. Were the iodine cartridges and particulate filters taken to an intermediat location for transport to a designated laboratory?
	YES NO N/A N/O
	(a) If yes, identify this intermediate location/facility and the time of arrival.
	Location
	Time
N.1.a.	8.12. In the implementation of the activities associated with this objective, di the organization follow its plans and procedures?
	YES NO N/A N/O
H.10. I.7.,8.,9. N.1.a.	8.13. Specify whether or not the following demonstration criteria were successful demonstrated during this exercise using YES, NO, N/A or N/O.
IV.I.a.	1. Each field team had equipment for field monitoring of airborn particulates and radioiodines in the presence of noble gases. (H.10., I.9 PORs 8.1-8.2)
	2. Each field team performed appropriate operational checks of the equipment and instruments before deployment. The survey instrument were calibrated within 12 months of the exercise date. (H.10.; PORs 8.3 8.4)
	3. Airborne radioiodine and particulate sampling procedures wer followed and samples obtained. (I.S. 9.: PORs 8.5-8.7)

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADERASSIGNMENT	DATEPREVIOUS ARCA Y N	_
OBJECTIVE 8:	FIELD RADIOLOGICAL MONITOR PARTICULATE ACTIVITY MONITOR	RING - AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE ORING	E AND
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW		
	4. Procedures for the field me particulate activity were follow	easurement of the airborne radioiodir wed. (I.9.; POR 8.8)	ne and
		d measurements of radioiodine in air mitted in accordance with the organiz	
		ne cartridge samples were properly be livered to a designated location. (I.	
	were carried out in accordan	the demonstration criteria for this object with the plan, unless deviations play agreement. (N.1.a.; POR 8.12)	-

		TEAM LEADER		
OBJECTIVE 9:	PLUME PR	ROTECTIVE ACTION DECI	SION MAKING	
Demonstrate the	capability to m	ake timely and appropriate	protective action decision	s (PAD).
NUREG REF	POINTS O	F REVIEW		
J.9.	9.1. Identify	(by title) the official(s) at y	our assigned location who	made PADs.
J.9.	YES 9.2. What P	decision maker consult with  NO N/A N/O _  ADs were made and when w ssed include: shelter, evacua  TYPE OF PROTECTIVE	vere they made? (Types of ation, and use of potassium E ACTION TIME	f plume PADs
J.9.	Plant	te the bases for initial PADs t status nsee protective action recomer (Specify)	. (Check as appropriate.)	

	TEAM LEADERASSIGNMENT	
OBJECTIVE 9:	PLUME PROTECTIVE ACTION DE	CISION MAKING
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW	
J.9.		omatically made (i.e., with little or no ccordance with licensee PARs for a fast-
	YES NO N/A N/O	O
J.9.,10.m.	9.5. Which of the following factors we indicate YES, NO, N/A, or N/O in t	re considered in subsequent PADs? (Please he space provided for each item.)
	Projected dose based on pla Projected dose based of meteorological conditions Protective action guides incompleted shelter availability Evacuation time estimates	ase estimates and meteorological conditions nt conditions and emergency action levels in simulated field measurements and exportant or portated in the organization's plan en evacuation and sheltering
<b>J</b> .9.	9.6. Was coordination with other plupart of the protective action decision	me emergency planning zone jurisdictions making process?
	YES NO N/A N/O	P
N.1.a.	9.7. In the implementation of the action organization follow its plans and produced the second secon	vities associated with this objective, did the cedures?
	YES NO N/A N/O	O

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE			
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N			
OBJECTIVE 9:	PLUME PROTECTIVE ACTION DEC	CISION MAKING			
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW				
J.9.,10.m. N.1.a.	9.8. Specify whether or not the followin demonstrated during this exercise using	g demonstration criteria were successfully y YES, NO, N/A, or N/O.			
		ess involving consideration of all relevant rdination was utilized. (J.9.,10.m.; PORs			
	objective were carried out in a	in the demonstration criteria for this ccordance with the plan, unless deviations nt-of-play agreement. (N.1.a.; POR 9.7)			

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
Demonstrate the car	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION pability to promptly alert and notify the pro-	ublic within the 10-mile plume pathway
	zone (EPZ) and disseminate instructiona riate State or local officials.	l messages to the public on the basis of
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW	
E.6.	10.1. Did the organization alert and not	ify the public?
	YES NO N/A N/	0
	(a) Specify the alerting and notification NO, N/A, or N/O.)	methods demonstrated. (Indicate YES,
	ALERT	
	Fixed siren system Tone-alert radios Primary route alerting Backup route alerting Mobile alerting units and vehicles Supplementary route alerting Telephone calls to individuals and Telecommunication devices for the Other (Specify)	institutions e deaf (TDD)

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION	
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW	
	NOTIFICATION	
	Siren system with Public Addres  Mobile PA system	ation(s) from emergency operations center s (PA) system capability ric Administration (NOAA) weather radio and institutions
	PRIMARY ALERTING AND NOTI	FICATION
E.6.		10-3, provide the data requested for each ence for the actions specified in items (a)
	Event (NOUE), Alert, Site Emergency (GE)] and time an	A level (ECL) type [Notification of Unusual Area Emergency (SAE), and General ECL was declared by the licensee and es for the other steps. (Indicate N/A for instrated.)
	(If more than four sequences are time 10-3.)	ed, duplicate the chart provided on page

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N

## **OBJECTIVE 10:** ALERT AND NOTIFICATION

## PRIMARY ALERTING AND NOTIFICATION

	ACTION	SEQUEN	ICE #1	SEQUE	NCE #2	SEQUE	NCE #3	SEQUEN	ICE #4
(a)	ECL/time declared by licensee	ECL	ТІМЕ	ECL	ТІМЕ	ECL	TIME	ECL	ТІМЕ
(b)	Time ORO received notification of ECL from licensee								
(c)	Time decision made by offsite officials (start clock)								
(d)	Time EBS message selected or prepared								
(e)	Time of coordination with other jurisdictions								
(f)	Time of activation of alert system								
(g)	Time of completion of all coordination with EBS station(s)								_
(h)	Time EBS message broadcast initiated (stop clock)								

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW
E.6.	10.3. Specify the time required to complete the initial (first) alert and notification sequence.
	(a) Was the initial alert and notification sequence completed within 15 minutes of the decision by offsite officials to alert and notify the public?
	YES NO N/A N/O
E.6.	10.4. Who authorized the alert and notification sequences to commence? [Identify organization(s) and official(s) by title/organization.]
E.5.,7.	10.5. How were EBS messages broadcast? (Check as appropriate.)
	Broadcast originated from an emergency operations center (EOC) Broadcast originated from the radio station Other (Specify)
	(a) If EBS messages were broadcast from the radio station(s), were the broadcasts simulated or actually transmitted to the public? (Check as appropriate.)
	Simulated Actually transmitted to the public
	(b) Did station(s) personnel verify prior to broadcast that the messages received were from the ORO?
	YES NO N/A N/O

	TEAM LEADER DATE ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION  POINTS OF REVIEW  (c) Did the station personnel verify that they had received the correct message?
E.6.	YES NO N/A N/O  (d) Did the station personnel broadcast the correct message?  YES NO N/A N/O  PRIMARY ROUTE ALERTING AND NOTIFICATION  NOTE: Route alerting and notification is used in lieu of other primary alerting methods (e.g., sirens) and notification methods (e.g., EBS). Provide the information and data requested below in PORs 10.610.7.  10.6. In the table that follows on page 10-6, provide the data requested for one
	<ul> <li>15-minute initial primary route alerting and notification sequence in items (a) through (g).</li> <li>(a) the ECL type (NOUE, Alert, SAE, and GE) and time an ECL was declared by the licensee and</li> <li>(b)-(g) the corresponding times for the other steps. (Indicate N/A for steps not required to be demonstrated.)</li> <li>(Only one initial primary route alerting and notification sequence needs to be demonstrated and evaluated.)</li> </ul>

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADER	DATE
SITE	ASSIGNMENT	PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N

## **OBJECTIVE 10: ALERT AND NOTIFICATION**

## PRIMARY ROUTE ALERTING AND NOTIFICATION

	ACTION	SEQU	JENCE #_
(a)	ECL/time declared by licensee	ECL	TIME
(b)	Time ORO received notification of ECL from licensee		
(c)	Time decision made by offsite officials (start clock)		
(d)	Time emergency message selected or prepared		
(e)	Time of coordination with other jurisdictions		
(f)	Time alerting and notification initiated		
(g)	Time of completion of primary route alerting and notification (stop clock)		

	TEAM LEADER DATE PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N		
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION		
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW		
E.6.	10.7. How and when was the primary route alerting and notification team(s) instructed to initiate this function?		
	How?		
	When?  (a) What route alerting and notification vehicle(s) [e.g., police car(s)] did yo observe?  (b) For the designated route evaluated, provide the following data.  TIME INITIATED TIME COMPLETED ELAPSED TIME		
	(c) Was the primary route alerting and notification completed within 15 minutes of the decision by offsite officials to alert and notify the public?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(d) Did the team(s) have any difficulties in following the designated route?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(e) Did the team(s) have route maps?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION  POINTS OF REVIEW
E.5.	YES NO N/A N/O  BACKUP ROUTE ALERTING AND NOTIFICATION  NOTE: Backup route alerting and notification is used when primary alerting methods (e.g., sirens) fail. Only one backup route alerting and notification sequence needs to be demonstrated and evaluated. Provide the information and data requested below in POR 10.8.  10.8. Was backup route alerting and notification demonstrated?  YES NO N/A N/O  (a) How and when was the route alerting and notification team(s) instructed to initiate this backup function?  How?
	(b) What route alerting and notification vehicle(s) [e.g., police car(s)] was observed?

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N		
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION		
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW		
	(c) For the designated route evaluated, provide the following data.		
	TIME INITIATED TIME COMPLETED ELAPSED TIME		
	(d) Was backup route alerting and notification completed within approximately 45 minutes of the decision by officials to alert and notify the public?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(e) Did the team(s) have any difficulties in following the designated routes?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(f) Did the team(s) have route maps?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	(g) Was a PA system actually demonstrated?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		
	SUPPLEMENTARY ROUTE ALERTING AND NOTIFICATION		
	NOTE: Supplementary route alerting and notification is used to complement primary route alerting and/or notification methods (e.g., sirens and/or EBS messages). Provide the information and data requested below in POR 10.9.		
E.6.	10.9. Was supplementary route alerting and notification demonstrated?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		

	TEAM LEADER DATE  ASSIGNMENT PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N		
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION		
NUREG REF	POINTS OF REVIEW		
	(a) How and when was the route alerting and notification team(s) instructed to initiate this function?		
	How?		
	When?		
	(b) What supplementary route alerting and notification vehicle(s) [e.g., police car(s)] was observed?		
	(c) Over what period of time did supplementary route alerting and notification occur?		
	TIME INITIATED TIME COMPLETED ELAPSED TIME		
	ALERTING AND NOTIFICATION IN EXCEPTION AREAS		
	NOTE: Alerting and notification in exception areas is used in rural, low population, and recreational areas, and other areas 5 to 10 miles from nuclear power plants. Provide the information and data requested below in POR 10.10.		
E.6.	10.10. Did involved organizations demonstrate the capability to disseminate an alert signal and initiate instructional messages to and exception areas within 45 minutes for the sequence specified in the pre-exercise agreement?		
	YES NO N/A N/O		

10-10

EVALUATOR		EAM LEADER ASSIGNMENT _		DATEPREVIOUS ARCA? Y N	
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND	NOTIFICATION REVIEW			
	(a) Over wh	nat period of time	did this process	occur?	
	Start	End	Elapsed tin	ne	
N.1.a.		e implementation of tion follow its plan		associated with this objective, es?	did
	YES N	NO N/A	_ N/O		
E.5.,6.,7. N.1.a.				ng demonstration criteria wusing YES, NO, N/A, or N/C	
		sequences were c	ompleted within	rimary alerting and notificate 15 minutes of the initial decise officials. (E.5.,6.,7.; PORs 19	sion
		2. Backup route alerting and notification was completed with approximately 45 minutes. (E.6.; POR 10.8.)		thin	
			rimary route alei	sed supplementary route aler- ting and/or notification methor	
		broadcasting an populations locat within 45 minutes	initial instruction in the initial and ini	rovided an alert signal and be onal message to exception a es from the nuclear power plert and notification decision. s criterion does not apply.) (Exception of the content of the conten	area lant (If

EVALUATOR	TEAM LEADERASSIGNMENT	DATE PREVIOUS ARCA? Y N
OBJECTIVE 10:	ALERT AND NOTIFICATION POINTS OF REVIEW	
	objective were carried	bed in the demonstration criteria for this out in accordance with the plan, unless ed for in the extent-of-play agreement.