SOCIAL ECONOMIC AND INSTITUTIONAL VULNERABILITIES AND THE LIMON EARTHQUAKE DISASTER IN COSTA RICA. (*)

Allan Lavell.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Late in the afternoon, on the 22nd of April 1991, the Atlantic Coast and adjacent highland regions of Costa Rica were abruptly shaken by an intense earthtremor measuring 7.4 on the Richter Scale and with a maximum impact of IX on the modified Mercalli scale. The quake, with its registered epicentre located in the Telire River area of the Talamanca mountains surprised both the national scientific community and the regional population affected by the large scale earth movement it produced.

The AtlanticCoast had been erroneously considered by many to be a seismic haven, as compared to the Central Valley and Pacific regions of this small Central American country (52000 sq.Km). The strongest and most damaging quake of this century abruptly changedprevailing attitudes, led to the need for a new evaluation of seismic patterns in the country and dealt a vivid reminder of the need to maintain the historical record permanently present in the collective memory. The Atlantic Coast, as would later berecalled had, in fact, suffered various R7.0 + quakes during the last few centuries, including two during the present one (1798, 1822, 1910, and 1916).

The earth movement and the disaster it helped produce seriously affected an area of some 13000 square Km, which has traditionally and recurrently been affected by flooding of the numerous riverswhich cross the coastalplain (Sixacla, Estrella, Banano, Bananita, Pacuare, Reventazon etc.). Characterized by generally lowlevels of population density, withthe exception of thecities of Limon and Turrialba, and amongst the highest levels of poverty and social deprivation in the country, the area has traditionally been considered an "abandoned region".

High levels of unemployment and low labour participation rates related to a depressed urban economy and a low productivity, small scale subsistence or semicommercial rural

This chapter is a product of preliminary research undertaken by the author with the financial support of Royal Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs conceded to the Research Program of theCentral American University Confederation(CSUCA). My sincere thanks to the Ministry and to Nora Sequeira and Cristina Araya who contributed substantially in the systematization of the information, and in the typing of the document.

sector, prevail in the Atlantic region. This contrasts markedly with a dynamic and lucrative banana plantation enclave, located principally in the valleys of the rivers Estrella and Sixaola, and important urban based industrial and service activities related to the country'sonly petroleun refining plant (RECOPE) and major import-export facility (Limon-Moin). Tourism in the coastal resorts of Cahuita, Puerto Viejo and Punta Uvita represent a potential major growth industry.

For many, the quakeconstituted a somewhat more violent and abrupt manifestation of the conditions of permanent social disaster experienced in the region for decades. Beyond the severe short and medium term problems suffered by the population following the quake, and the measures taken to ameliorate its impact, the disaster served, in itself, to mobilize a series of social forces around the idea of the historical abandonment of the impacted region leading to increasing demands for priority attention to be given to the broad social and developmental problems which severely affect many of Limon provinces 200,000 population and the population of adjacent areas of Turrialba (Cartago Province).

In this chapter we will attempt to highlight some of the more relevant social aspects of the earthquake (organizational, political, economic and developmental), relating them to the preexisting social matrix, utilizing a method which approximates the prevailing idea of vulnerability analysis.

In dealing with a relatively wide range of broadly interpretedsocial variables our concernis not only to present a reasonably substantiatedempirical analysis but also to provide a suggestive framework which, perhaps, leaves more questions unanswered than resolved. The limited documental information available and the lack of indepth research on the social aspects of the earthquake are overriding factors which preclude any definitive attempt to establish the exact nature of the social conditioning and impact of the quake. But, undoubtedly sufficient to provide ascenario of real or potential concerns, and a basis for a future research agenda.

In elaborating our analysis we have rested heavily on newspaper reports, official government documents and personal commnunication with on the scene actors.

When quoting newspaper articles, we use the following abreviations: L.N - La Nación; L.R. - La República; P.L. - La Prensa Libre; D.E. - Diario Extra and T.T. - Tico Times. In all cases, unless otherwise stated, the year of publicationis 1991. Newspaper articles have not been included in the end of chapter bibliography.

The chapterincludes four major sections. The first provides

a very brief summary of the conceptual aspects relating to a social science perspective on disasters. In our second section, we provide discursive vision of the impactof the earthquake in human, infrastructural and developmental terms. In addition, we consider certain problems related to the reconstruction effort during the months following the quake, and to the prevailing social—political context. Thethird section concentrates on the problem of emergency organization, analysing the principle problems faced and the solutions sought in terms of new institutional arrangements.

The fourth and final section concentrates on a number of residual but important themes primarily relating to the broad area of information diffusion. A series of concluding remarks close the chapter.

2. The Concept of Disaster in the Social Sciences:

Whilst no completely satisfactory and comprehensive definition of "disaster" has emerged from the social science discussions on the theme, that elaborated by Kreps (1984, p.312), building on Charles Fritz's classical sociological definition (Fritz, 1961, p.655), issufficiently explicit for our purposes. Thus, Kreps defines a disaster in terms of:

"events, observable in time and space, in which societies or their larger subunits (e.g. communities, regions) incur physical damages and losses or disruption of their routine functioning. Both the causes and consequences of these events are related to the social structures and processesof their subunits".

Based on this definition, we would concur with Quarantelli (1987, p.23) as regards the need to refer to "occasions" rather than "events", thus introducing the possibility ofviewing disasters as "opportunities" and not simply as "outcomes". Moreover, such a categorizational so permits a vision of disasters in terms of social change and nor merely as a social problem. A "social change context not only allows for positive consequences, but more important sets disasters within the social dynamics of social life, an integral part of what usually goes on in the social structure rather than as an external intrusion from the outside" (Quarantelli, 1987, p.23).

The insistence on the social nature of disasters automatically precludes the idea that these can be unilaterally explained or defined in terms of the presence or impact of a determined physical agent (earthquake, hurricane, volcanic eruption etc.) Although a "natural

disaster" inevitably requires the presence of a physical triggering mechanism, the disaster occassion occursas the result of a physical event impinging on a socially vulnerable territory. Such vulnerability constitutes a preexisting condition in the affected area, whether it be related to the inadequate location of housing or economic infrastructure, defficient construction techniques, poverty and malnutrition, inadequate levels of social organization etc.

Seen from this perspective, a disaster is anything but an "abnormal" ocurrence and should rather be considered as a particular state of normality, as a temporal expression of the normal, prevailing social conditions operating under extreme circumstances. Pelanda (1981, p.1) captures the essence of this idea when he states that

"if one seeks an understanding of what happens at the interface between extreme physical phenomena and the social system, it is necessary to look at the relationship between the context of 'normality' and the process of disaster".

3. The Human, Infrastructural and Economic Imapact of the Limon Earthquake.

3-1 Deaths, Injuries and Affected Population.

The number of fatalities ocurring during major earthquakes in developing countries has consistently been a matter of controversy or speculation, often pitting official government data against the estimates or calculations of independent nongovernmental organizations or individuals. Such was the case, for example, during the Mexico City earthquake in 1985, where few independent observers put much credence on the near to 5000 deaths recorded officially, whilst the same situation existed in Guatemala in 1976, where the 25000 fatalities officially recorded was widely considered to be a gross underestimation.

In the case of the Limon quake, irrespective of the exact figures, the death and injury toll was exceedingly low ifwe consider both the intensity of the tremor and the levels of infrastructural and natural or ecological disruption suffered (widescale deforestation and landsliding in river basins, and uplifting of the coastal plain by upto a metre and half near to Limon City).

Official figures, put out by the country's National Emergency Commission (NEC) (see Mendez Antillon, 1991) refer to 48 fatalities, all in Limon Province, and 585 injuries, of which all but seven occurred in the Atlantic Coastal Province. However, the range of published data oscillated between 27, as recorded by the Judicial Police and 56, as registered by the National Red Cross. This latter organization had, atone time, announced 62 deaths. (see T.TMay 3rd), whilst, to further confuse the panorama and the public, the country's principle "prestige" newspaper, La Nacion, reported 54 deathsin its October 23 edition, six months after the quake.

Such a wide range of conflicting numbers led the English speaking weekly, the Tico Times, to publish a picaresque article in its May 24 edition titled "How many died in quake?. Depends who you ask". Their conclusion, after interviewing differentorganizational representatives, was that the NEC figures were an "average" of the Judicial Police and Red Cross data. The former was compiled according to local mayors reports, and the latter based on onsite observations during search and rescue operatives. Faced with the discrepancies, the Tico Times somewhat irreverently suggested that "perhaps we should not cavalierly dismiss the possibility of resurrection".

Due to the relatively low levelof fatalities, whichever data set you accept, the problem does not appear to be overly serious. However, in themselves such discrepancies illustrate the problems of evaluating impacts where no standardized proceedures exist and officialresponsability for data production and diffusion is divided between different establishedand legitimate authorities. Moreover, the uncertainty can only confuse the mass media and the public andopen the door to the use of more sensationalist information. Observers from outside of Costa Rica in fact reported hearing news itemsreferring to fatalities in the hundreds or even thousands, including references to wide scale damage in theSan Jose Metropolitan Area. Although a difference of 100% between the lowest and highest figures is not very significant when dealing which such reduced numbers, this would obviously not be the case were the fatalities in the thousands or tens of thousands.

The limitedimpact of the quake in terms of the numbers of fatalities and injuries can undoubtedly be explained principally in terms of the prevailing characteristics of the housing infrastructure in the region and, to a lesser extent to the relatively small population and low demographic densities of theregion. Very few buildings in

or outside of Limon City, the major population concentration (70000), exceed two stories in height, whereas a large number of housing units have wood frame structures, many very flimsily put together. The relative lack of concrete frame or adobe structures undoubtedly ameliorated the human impact of the tremor. Even in Limon City, where many public and private buildings suffered superficial or structural damage, the only case of amajor building falling was that of the centrally located Hotel International, which was unoccupied at the time and in which only one person died.

The lowlevel of deaths andinjuries, contrasts markedly with the widescale destruction or damage to housing units as can be rapidlyappreciated considering data produced by the country's Special Housing Commission. (Comisión Especial de Vivienda, Birección de Planeación y Control. 1991).

In Limon Province, 3924 houses were declared destroyed or uninhabitable and a further 3638 suffered severe damages. For the Turrialba area of neighbouring Cartago Province, the comparable figureswere 408 and 938. Falling rooves and walls accounted for an important number of the deaths and the information provided for the 27 deaths registered by the Judicial Police show that 70% of the deaths occurred to persons over the age of 60 or under 12 years old. The territorial distribution of fatalities is somewhat unclear with conflicting data published in the local press. Thus, for example, whilst Red Cross data publishedin the April 24edition of "Diario La Extra", for 47 cases, refers to 13 in Limon City, 3 in Bataan, 1 in Bribri, 9 in Sixaola, 3 in the RECOPE refinary, 7 in 28 Millas and7 in Valle de la Estrella; "La Nación" informed in it's May 1 edition, of 9 in Limon, 20 in Matina and 18 in Talamanca.

The confusion prevalent inmuch of the statistical data which circulated in the aftermath of the quake, is particularly notorious when one attempts to reach conclusions as regards the overall numbers of "affected persons". In Spanish, it is common place to use the term "damnificado", but thisterm clearly had no preestablished and consistent conceptual and definitory basis as used by national authorities and reproduced in the local press. The extremes in this situation were established by the NEC which at one point (see Mendez Antillon, 1991) spoke of 6841 affected persons or "damnificado", and the Minister of the Presidency, Rodolfo Mendez Mata, who was reported in "La Nacion" (April 27, p.4a) to have referred to "55000 affected (damnificados) families". In between, the press at different times reported 7,10, 15 and 55000 damnificados.

What is clearly at stage here is the obviously varied use given to the term. Confusion between suchmore precise concepts as "homeless persons", "temporarily homeless", "people inneed of short termfood supplies" or "unemployed persons due to the destruction of production facilities" etc., probably explain some of the discrepancies. But, in thecase of the datawhich circulated around the Limon case other serious problems of consistency can be detected. Thus, the data attributed to Mendez Mata, 55000 families. translated into numbers of individuals, would put figure well above 200000, i.e. the total population of the affected area! Whilst, on the other hand, the data published by the NEC, 6841 persons, is, whatever parameter used, totally contradictory, with the data published in the same reference document which refers to 5087 destroyed houses. Sucha number of destitute families would undoubtedly push the number of homeless and needy persons up above the 20000 mark, taking a conservative average of four persons per dwelling.

Overall, inexamining the range ofdata which circulated in the aftermath of the quake one inevitably conjures up the oftquotedphrase which refers to theexistence of "lies damned lies and statistics".

3.2 Infrastructural and Production Losses.

The limited loss of human life caused by the Limon earthquake stands in stark contrast to relatively large scale losses in infrastructure and economic production.

As regards the direct losses in structures and short term economic production, the most systematic information has been provided in a document elaborated by Vanesa Robles for the Directorate for Prevention and Mitigation of the National Emergency Commission, based on information gathered from different government ministries and descentralized agencies, following their indepth analysis and calculations of losses. (Comisión Nacional de Emegencias, Dirección de Prevención y Mitigación (Robles, V.), 1991)

In table1, we have summarized the principle information appearing in this document. No attempt is made here to provide precise details as to the infrastructural facilities destroyed, or as regards the particular characteristics of the quake which led to the losses (physical characteristics of the earthmovement, liquefaction, earth uplifting etc.). An excellent early

TABLE #1

ECONOMIE LOSSES IN INFRASTRUCTURE AND AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

SECTOR	PRINCIPLE DAMAGES OR LOSSES	ECONOMIE COST (IN MILLION OF COLONES)
1 Rural Aqueducts	Tubes, storage tanks, pumps, collection tanks wells and connections in homes	2 700
2 RECOPE Petroleum Refinery	storage tanks, tubing, pumping system, processing area, piping, raw materials	8 000
3 Telecomunications	Network and buildings	46 3
4 Electricity	Network and buildings	79 5
5 Foreign Trade	Quays, customs buildings and industrial equipment	26 7
6 Agrioulture	Banana Production (including infrastructure) Bananas ready for export Small Scale agricultural production	3523 6 3488 7 30 0
7 Education	Damage (41) or demolished (4) schools University of Costa Rica	125 9 190 0
8 Road Network and transport infrastructure	National high ways (including bridges) secondary highways municipal rural roads Airport Port infrastructure	938 0 153 0 336 2 20 6 1738 0
9 Health	Health Centres, Rural health centres, asyloms and child centres clinics and hospitals	44.2 590 D
10 Railways	Track (114 Km) Bridges (4) Repair house (Limon) Buildings and maintenance equipment	531 1 106 6 325 0 130.0
11 Housing		5600 0
TOTAL		€ 28618.0 COLONES

TOTAL e 28618.0 COLOMES SOURCE: Comision Nacional de Emergencias, Direccion de Prevencion y Mitigacion 1991

reviewof these aspects canbe found in EGE International's "Quick, Look Report" published in May 1991, very shortly after the quake.

According to the Robles report, total losses in infrastructureand agricultural production upto Agust 1991 were estimated at nearly 29 billion colones which in dollars terms signifies 205 millions at the present day exchange rate (March 1992) and some 224 millions taking the exchangerate in August 1991.Of this sum, around 7 billion colones was attributed to losses in agricultural production or exports, particularly large scale banana production.

In economic terms the principle losses were registered in the RECOPE petroleum refinery, in housing, transport systems (highways, bridges, railways, and ports) and in rural water distribution systems.

The damage to major sections of the San José-Siquirres -Limon and Limon -Sixaola highways (including the destruction of eight major bridges), as well as wide scale damage to secundary and rural road systems was to have a major impact on relief efforts to communities outside of Limon, and in terms of lost agricultural exports and sales. This, and damage to nearly 90% of the water distribution systems, including the total incapacitation of La Bomba System which supplied nearly 70% of Limon City's water supply, was to cause the major problems for the local population in the immediate aftermath of the quake, and for months afterwards. The lack of access to potable water raised increased fears as regards the possible propagation of a Cholera epidemic, particulary in Limon City.

The impact on housing was widescale posing a serious social and economic problem for government authorities. Data on the extent and spatial distribution of damage to housing was systematized by the government's Special Housing Commissionand published in adocument completed in September 1991. Table2 reproduces therelevant information from this study, for the provinces of Limon and Cartago. (Commission Especial de Vivienda pp. cit.)

Although thecity of Limon (LimonCenter, Colina, Pueblo Nuevo, Cristobal Colon) suffered the greatest absolute levels of damage, particulary amongst the poorer sectors, relative levels of damagewere greater in such communities as Matina, Bataan, Bomba, La Suiza and Sixaola, widely dispersed over the affected area.

An examination of the information produced by the Housing Commission leaves two major unresolved questions.

TABLE #2

DAMAGE TO HOUSING DURING THE APRIL 22ND LINON EARTHQUARKE

PROVINCE AND LOCALITY	DESTROYED/ Uninhabitable	SEVERALY Damaged	LIGHT Damage	TÖTAL
1 LIMON				
BARRAS	53	16	0	67
BATAAN	303	262	158	703
BOMBA	356	309	124	789
CAHUTTA	189	106	23	318
COLINA (LIHON)	350	386	445	1.181
CORALES	38	86	161	233
CRISTOBAL COLON	357	379	313	1.049
LIMON CENTRE	678	905	728	2.311
LIVERPOOL	95	116	89	298
MATINA	741	271	162	1.154
MOIN	151	154	147	182
PUEBLO NUEVO	172	110	118	600
STOUTRRES	41	109	47	197
SIYAOLA / TALAMANCA	351	426	94	871
UNSPECIFIED	19	27	11	57
TOTAL	3.924	3.638	2.600	10 153
2. CARTAGO				
TURRIALBA CENTER	73	257	175	505
SUIZA	178	267	98	523
SANTA CRUZ	32	68	45	145
TUIS	41	76	40	155
TAYUTIE	31	129	63	194
PAVONES	22	69	19	90
JESUS MARTA	6	39	17	62
OTHER LOCALITIES	35	84	64	173
TOTALS	608	938	501	1.847

SOURCE: Special Housing Commission, Directorate of Planning and Control. September 1991.

Thus, although the Commission records 4332 cases of destroyed dwellings, it only registers 3153 cases of families which werein need of relocation ("reubicacion"). And, secondly, it is interesting to note that in a subsequent table of the document, dealing with the investment required for reconstruction, the distribution of housing according to the three categories established (destroyed, severely damaged and lightly damaged), is different to that produced in earlier tables, as can be seen in the summary information reproduced from the table below:

	LI	MON.	TURRIAL	BA.
	OWNER OCC.	RENTED	OWNER OCC.	RENTED
DESTROYED	2733	-	328	-
SEVERLY DAMAGED LIGHT DAMAGE TOTAL	2554	2827	767	326
	204B	_	426	_
	7335	2827	1521	326

This change in distribution is not explained in the document. Here it is also interesting to note (and not at allclear why), that norented housing either in Turrialba or in Limon was classified as "destroyed".

This apparent inconsistency in theinformation takes us back to a recurring theme in this chapter. Thus, the wide rangeof differing data attributed to national authorities, and reported in the press during the immediate aftermath of the quake or during the following seven months, could only confuse the public and discerning analysts of the problematic.

In table 3, we have summarized the range of estimates relating to global and sectorial damages and losses, as reproduced in the national press betweenthe 24th of April andOctober 24, 1991. The tableincludes information on the newspaper edition, the quoted source of the information, the economic sectors to which the information refers and the estimated orcalculated costs (at times, in colones and at others in US dollars).

A quick revision of Table 3 is sufficient to gain a rapid appreciation of the varying range of figures emitted. Two major comments can be made of an analytical or qualitative nature, as regards this information.

Firstly, the vast differences which exist between estimates given in the first days following the quake and between these and those given in later months requires a

TABLE #3

LOSSES IN INFRASTRUCTURE AND PRODUCTION

REPORTED IN THE NATIONAL PRESS

APRIL-OCTOBER 1991

SOURCE	OFFICIAL SOURCE QUOTED	UNITS OR TYENS REPORTED	COST QUOTED (IN MILLIONS)
DIARIO EXTRA 24th APRIL P.4	ROBERTO ROJAS (MIN.OF FOREIGN TRADE)	LOSSES IN BANANA PRODUCTION ESTRELLA VALLEY	¢595
LA NACION 26th APRIL P 13A	RENATO SUDARASSI (MINLOF PUBLIC WORKS) HERNAN BRAVO (MIN OF ENERGY, MINES AND NATURAL RESOURCES)	DAMAGES TO ROADS AND BRIDGES DAMAGE RECOPE REFT- NERY	€1.700 €280
DIARIO EXTRA 25th APRIL P 5	MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS	PRELIMINARY ESTIMA- MATES DAMAGES ROADS BRIDGES AND OTHER SECTORS	¢2 000
DIARIO EXTRA 25th APRIL; LA NACION 25th APRIL P 11A; LA REPUBLICA 25th APRIL P 124	CARLOS ALVARADO EYEC PRES JAPOEVA	REPAIRS TO PORTS, LIMON AND MOIN	◆ 500
LA NACION, 25th APRIL, P 4A	NOT QUOTED	DAMAGE TO ACUEDUCTS DAMAGE TO TONY FACIO HOSPITAL	
LA NACTON 25th APRIL, P 12A LA REPUBLICA 25th APRIL, P 11A	MARIANO GUARDIA {VICEMINISTER, PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORT}	REPAIRS TO ROADS	e 2 005
LA PRENSA LIBRE 26th APRIL, P.3	"ACCORDING TO AN OFFICIAL REPORT" (NOT SPECIFIED)	TOTAL LOSSES	U.S. \$600

LA REPUBLICA, 26th APRIL, P 2A	THELMO VARGAS (MINISTER OF FINANCES)	PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES TOTAL COST OF REPAIRS	¢7 000
		DAMAGES TO ROADS AND BRIDGES	¢2,500
		DAMAGE TO AQUEDUCTS	¢ 500
		DAMAGE TO QUAYS AND RATEWAYS	€ 600
	GUILLERMO RUIZ (EXECUTIVE PRESIDENT INCOFER) (RAILWAY COMPANY)		
	THELMO VARGAS (MINISTER OF FINANCES)	TOTAL DAMAGES	e6-7 000
DIARTO EXTRA 27th APRIL	THELMO VARGAS (MINISTER OF FINANCES)	TOTAL NAMAGES	U S \$70
LA REPUBLICA 28th APRIL P 10A	JAPDEVA	REPAIRS TO GUAYS IN Limon Port Area	¢ 500
LA REPUBLICA HAY 1ST P 4A	THE GOVERNMENT	REPAIRS TO SCHOOLS	e300-350
LA MACION MAY 3rd, P4A	GUILLERMO MADRIZ (MIN OF PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORT)	DAMAGE TO INFRAS- TRUCTURE PRINCIPALLY ROADS AND PORTS	¢3 600
		DAMAGE TO ROADS AND BRIDGES	¢2 400
		DRASSING OF PORTS	¢480
ia REPUBLICA May 8th, P 6a	CRISTOBAL ZAWADASKI (MINISTER OF HOUSING)	RECONSTRUCTION OR REPAIR TO ESTIMATED 8 000 AFFECTED HOUSES	
LA PRENSA EIBRE MAY 22nd	BERNARDO HENDEZ (EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR NATIONAL EMERGENCY COMMISSION)	DANAGE TO ROADS, AQUEDUCTS DRAINAGE, HOUSING, BUILDINGS AND RAILWAYS.	ei3 000
LA REPUBLICA May 23rd P 4A	RODOLFO MENDEZ MATA (MINISTER OF THE PRESIDENCY)	OVERALL Losses	€59 DOQ
LA REPUBLICA May 23rd, P4A	GUILLERMO MADRIZ (MIN OF PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTS)	LOSSES IN INFRAS- TRUCTURE	¢7,099

	JUAN RAFAEL LIZANO (MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE)		US 517 072
LA NACION MAY 23rd P 5A	NOT QUOTED	LOSSES IN BANANA Plantations	U 5 140
	NOT QUOTED	DAMAGES TO BRIDGES	e 3 000
	"GOVERNMENT'S EVALUATION TEAMS" AS ANNOUNCED BY GOVERNING COUNCIL		¢7 0 00
LA NACION AUGUST 26th, P 5A	NOT QUOTED	ACCUMULATED LOSSES FROM ALL DISASTERS IN LAST TWO YEARS (LIMON EARTHQUAKE AND FLOODING, ALAJUELA, PURISCAL AND COBANO EARTHQUAKE, ETC)	
LA REPUBLICA SEPTEMBER 27th, P 2A	WORLD BANK REPORT	REPAIRS TO DAMASES IN INFRASTRUCTURE DURING EARTHQUAKE AND AUGUST FLOODS	*20 00 9
LA NACION OCTOBER 23rd	NOT QUOTED	TOTAL LOSSES FROM EARTHQUAKE	¢ 40 0 00

serious examination of the pertinence or convenience of emitting "rapid evaluation" data, and as regards the methodologies and concepts employed. Moreover, there is a clear need for establishing a centralized, official approach to information diffusion, and not the "free for all" approach adopted.

As regards the first point, it is difficult to understand, for example, such discrepant calculations as those on damages to the RECOPE refinery attributed to HernanBravo on the 24thof April (200 million colones) (2) and that registered in Vanesa Robles document ofAugust (8 billion colones); or, those on damages to water distribution systems attributed to Thelmo Vargas on April 26, (500 million colones) and the 2.7 billion colones recorded inthe Robles document, to mention but two of the more notorious cases.

In terms of this need for establishing centralized channels for the diffusion of consistent information, it is also difficult to understand for instancehow Thelmo Vargas could produce a figure of 7 billion colones for estimated total costs of repairs (to infrastructure) on April 26; Bernardo Mendez Antillon, one of 13 billion colones on May 22; and, one day later, Guillermo Madriz is quoted as talking of 7 billion colones, and Rodolfo Mendez Mata, General Coordinator of the relief effort, talking of 50 billion colones!. Either the government ministers were talking about different thingsor the press wasnot quoting fully and accurately what was being said. Whicheverbe the case there is a clear need for changes in method infuture emergency situations.

Secondly, in considering the range of estimates emitted both early on and months afterwards, the only really consistent data is that contained in the Robles document put together for the National Emergency Commission. The global information infrastructural costsincluded in the document is fairly coincidentwith World Bank information quoted on the September 27 edition of La Republica. Thus, both calculations are nearto the 20 billion colones mark. The Robles report includes a further 7 billion colones in estimated agricultural production losses.

The confusion as regards total real, short and medium term direct losses attributable to the earthquake, was further increased when Limon Province and the Turrialba area suffereddisastrous flooding during thesecond week of

The colon US dollar exchange rate stood at an average of 118 in April; 128 in August; and 132 in October 1991.

August. The floods, accentuated by the devastating effect of the earthquake on upper river basins (deforestation, landslides and damning ofriver channels) led to important losses in agricultural production and housing units. And, at the same time, had a negative impact on many of the repairs made to roads, bridges, and water systems following the quake.

On this ocassion, La Nacion reported in its August 14 edition (p.2a) that preliminary estimates of the Governing Council indicated that the losses due to flouding "may be greater than those caused by the earthquake". Given this, it came as a surprise when, on August 17, La Republica (p.4a) reported that government evaluation team estimates put the accumulated losses due to the quake and flooding at a mere7 billion colones, whilst La Nacion, that very same day, published an article which put the estimated losses in agriculture, roads and bridges, due to the flooding, at 2.1 billion colones. Thus, if the three estimates were correct the earthquake could only have caused less than 3500 million colones in losses!

One way or another, a summary document elaborated for the National Emergency Commission following the flooding, put the preliminary estimates of losses in rural infrastructure and production at 4.3 billion colones (Commission Nacional de Emergencia 1991). With these official estimates in hand the onlything apparently really clear was that the damage caused was not greater than that related to the earthquake. But there again maybe it was:

3.3 Economic Impact and the Reconstruction Effort.

The data available to date refers principally to infrastructural replacement costs and to immediate direct losses in agricultural and industrial production. As regards this, Bernardo Mendez, Executive Director of the NEC, in a summary English version document put out by the Commission, pointed out verbatim that:

"Thecost of repairment of US \$200 millions, that is to bring back to the existing conditions prior to the earthquake, represents close to 17% of the ordinary budget of the Central Government for 1991. It also represents about 4% of the Gross National Product (based on 1990 figures). Counting all the natural disasters which have been experimented in Costa Rica over one year, the added loss amounts to approximatey 6% of the 1990 G.N.P.. For a developing country, with limited or scarce resources,

this represents amajor drawbackor impact to the national economy".

The 200 million dollars to which Mendez Antillon refers (which is consistent with the Robles document's estimates) only includes infrastructural replacement costs and does not take into account "agricultural, commercial, and industrial losses both on individuals and corporations" (Mendez, op. cit).

Short term direct losses in agriculture (upto August 1991) were estimated at around 7 billion colones in the Robles document. But, no calculations are known to the author on short or medium term industrial, commercial and service sector losses, such that an overall calculation of the quake's impact on the national andregional economy is impossible without future reasearch on the topic. Despite this fact, certain aspects are clear as regards the more socially affected or vulnerable sectors.

In the first place, the dominant sectors ofsmall scale subsistence and semicommercial agricultural population clearly suffered severe immediate short term losses and, due totheir very limited financialbase, enormous problems in reestablishingtheir productioncapacity. The indigenous population of the Talamanca Mountains and valleys, relatively isolated for months due to destruction of land transportation routes, were particularly hard hit given their economic dependenceon small scale commercial banana production and the difficulties faced in getting it to distant markets.

Banana production, with an estimated value of 18 million colones per month was basically lost for various months following the quake, thus seriously affecting the monetary economy of many families. This factor, accompanied by the historical erosion of the local selfsufficency in the supply of foodstuffs and simple industrial products placed the area in a delicate and precarious situation.

The medium term impact on the small scale agricultural sector constrasts with the relatively rapidre covery of the large scale commercial banana plantations. Access to autonomous capital resources which could be dedicated to repairing transport and export infrastructure and the priority givento the export sectors by the government were key factors in this differentiated process.

A second sector that was very obviously severely affected was the tourist industry, particularly the small or medium scale sectors outside of Limon. Many small communities on the Atlantic Coast to the south of Limon

(Cahuita, Puerto Viejo, Punta Uvita and Manzanillo) rely heavily on tourist incomes. Coastal uplifting and the widespread depositing of fallen timberon beaches following the August flooding, combined with the medium term isolation of the area byroad and an inherent "fear factor" among potential visitors, drastically reduced touristflows to the Atlantic Coast. Aslate as October, touristindustry representatives in Cahuita indicated that visitor levels had dropped to 20% of normal rates.

Finally, the broad sector of the population which suffered destruction or severe damage to their homes, comprise a notoriously deprived component of the "permanently" affected population. Reliance on a slow process of government based replacement housing construction has left a reportedly majority sector of the population without an adequate dwelling nearly a year after the quake, whilst some groups were still living in temporary shelters at the end of 1991. Some other groups, that have received new housing, complain that the difficulties in restoring adequate runningwater have left them with homes but no ontap water.

The perceived lentitude in the overall reconstruction process, especially in that relating more directly to the needs of the poorer urban and rural sectors has been a constant theme during the year following the quake. Once the initial difficulties faced in the logistics of early relief efforts had been overcome (victim distribution of food and potable water etc.) and a relatively successful completion of the emergency stage of the disaster had been achieved the following periods of rehabilitation and recontruction have been plagued with problems related to the magnitude and complexity of the infrastructural damage; priorities in the assignation of resources; and lentitude in the mobilization of financial aid to the region.

This situation generated numerous protests and mechanisms of pressure excersised by different regionally based organisations and individuals. These transcended the demand for a more rapidand agile attention to the problems caused by the quake, searching to bring the historical abandonment and developmental problems faced by the Atlantic Coast region to the forefront. As such, then ature of the social movements generated in the aftermath of the quake suffered a qualitative transformation from an early concern for the immediate resolution of particular problems to a more broad concern for the future global development of the region.

A relatively early manifestation of this process was

expressed in a publication, put out by the Limon Popular Emergency Commission (PEC) and printed into Nacion on May 4. The Commission formed on April 28 underthe auspices of the Limon Federation of Workers (FETRAL) grouped together representatives of unions, churches, nongovernmental organizations, community associations, municipalities and other organized sectors of the Limon City population, in response to the fealt need forpopular participation in the ongoing relief efforts.

In this publication, the PEC expressed it's resolve:

"to avoid the discrimátory use of aid, to combat speculation and to energically avoid confusing the HISTORICAL PROBLEMS of the province with those caused by the quake, or that the resolution of theselatter problems be considered a solution for the historical problems".

Moreover, it ended by insisting that:

"the reconstruction of Limon will not be possible without the participation of the people organized in union, popular and religious organizations."

Following a month of consternation and protests numerous organized groups, various government ministers including Rodolfo MendezMata, Minister of the Presidency, were subjected to severe criticism in an open session of municipality celebrated Limon on. May Representatives of popular organizations were reported to have amply criticized the persistent problems associated with the lack of potable water, closed schools, destroyed roads and bridges, and malfunctioning hospitals.(L.R. May 23, p 4a). Faced with these criticisms, Mendez Mata somewhat realistically pointed out that "it is impossible to reconstruct in 30 days what took years to build". less realistic, however, was the reported statement byGuillermo Madriz, Minister ofPublic Works and Transport,in the sense thatin the course of the following six months "no sign of the earthquake will remain" (L.N. May 22, p.5a) .

At this time, the problems felt on a global level were tinged with the idea of discrimination on a social level. Echoing comments on the priviliged nature of the response to the needs of the banana export sectors and the margination of thousands of small land holders, Limon's BishopAlfonso Coto argued forthe need to work in response

to the "human drama" lived in the Province and that "the government should not only work to increase foreign earnings ... more important than bananas are thousands of affected persons", who shouldbe treated "with the respect anddignity they deserve" (L.N. May 23, p. 5a and May 22, p.5a).

The earlyfears expressed by many popularsectors of the region asregards their margination from the fruits of the reconstruction effort, was newly brought to the forefront some monthslate with the publication of a full page payed document which appeared in the August 11 edition of La Nacion (p.25a). This time, however, it was the Directorate of the National Chamber of Banana Producers which was voicing its protest, apparently not in favour of its own interests but rather in support of the popular sectors. In an emotive statement, the Chamber commenced by indicating the manner is which the earthquake

"highlighted the needs of Limon Province, which has traditionally been ignored as regards the material, moral, and spiritual development of its citizens. The efficient job done by the government during the early moments of the tragedy raised our hopesthat the State would finally give it's undivided attention to the Atlantie Zone. However, four months after the earthquake Limon has obviously been forgotten once more."

Following this opening statement, the Chamber demanded that the government implement definitive solutions to the "dismal conditions of the roads and infrastructure", "rubbish piled up in the city", "rubble left by the earthquake ", "the lack of running water and the construction of new distribution systems", "insufficient health services", and the "absense ofconcrete policies and government support for self help initiatives". The publication concluded with a "respectful but vehement" demand that the President of the Republic establish

"AN ACTION GROUP OFTHE HIGHEST STATUSwhich, shoulder to shoulder with the citizens and institutions of Limon should execute the public works and programs that the province urgentlyrequires, takingcorrective measures to adequately attend the wishes of the "limonenses" for moral and spiritual development, guaranteeing their health and physical wellbeing".

The popular sector's and union's public enemy number one,

was now apparently its principle ally . However, the political connotations of the publication and a possible "class alliance" opportunismquickly came to the forefront when the Chamberpublished a second full pagedocument the following day titled "And the third quay for Moin, what's happening? " (L.N. August 12, p.25a).

In this publication the Chamber undertook a virulent attack on the government for the lack of action taken during the two previous years in the agreed building of a third export quay facility in the congested Moin area, pointing out that the "banana sector has contributed more than \$17.5 millions to finance the work since July 1989, without a single cubicmetre of material having been moved to date".

The publications emitted by the Chamber Fapidly followed up on by La Nacion, a newspaper closely linked to the agroexport sectors in the country. In it's editorial page of August 14 (p.14a) and in the wake of ongoing severe flooding on the Atlantic Coast, the newspaper wrote:

"Faced with the new and difficult test that this region is now stoically facing, the Stateneeds to revise itsposition as regards the Atlantic zone. If this has been so severely punished, it should at least enjoy preferential attention from the State and society. Man cannot impede earth quakes and tropical storms, but it is possible to mitigate the suffering of the area's inhabitants through prevision and an attitude on the part of the State which contemplates the global development of this region."

Calling for a strengthening of the National Emergency Commission and criticizing the "lack of action or inefficiency of the State", La Nacion openly attacked JAPDEVA (the descentralized State agency encharged with promoting development on the Atlantic Coast), public sector unions, the lack of attention to the social problems of the province, and, echoing the Chamber's earlier publications, "the government's persistent abandonment of port infrastructure which negatively affects imports and exports, and the national economy in general".

This series of three publications whilst openly demanding increased support for the province's overall development needsand for the exportsectors in particular, could also be interpreted as a reflection of growingfears of disrupting social dissent in an area typified, historically, by high levels of organized social protest (see Valverde, Vargas and Lavell, 1987). The strategic position of the region in terms of export agricultural production and port infrastructure caused undoubted concerns as regards possible long term disrupting labour strikes and more generalized forms of social pressure.

La Nacion was, in fact, very explicit as regards the formsof social organization inLimon when it referred to:

"the power of the public sector unions in Limon which have consumed agood part of the resources that should have been used to promote the social and economicwellbeing of the province. How much money has been spent on bureaucracy and how much on real development? Is it not true that hubs of union power have been established thatdo as they wish, seriously damaging the national economy?"

A "conspiracy"note was introduced into the lead article when La Nacion stated that the problems of the province:

"had notstimulated action on thepart of the State. Rather they have been a stimulus for demagogy and the organization of planned street protests generally led by persons interested in achieving, enhancing or maintaining personalisticleadership roles".

The overall impression gained from an analysis of the quoted publications, and considering the social situation in Limon, is that of a growing fear that a <u>particular</u> social crisis period (related to the earthquake and land flooding) in the context of aregion suffering a <u>permanent</u> historical developmentarisis, could provide fertileground for the outbreak of generalizated forms of social protest guided by politically motivated interest groups.

The overall impact of any such development would be serious in national political and economic terms.

As regards the explicit demands made by La Nacion and the Chamber, little advance has been made to date in the construction of the third quay, but the government did heed the Chamber's call for the establishment of a high level action group included in it's first publication. Thus, shortly afterwards Governmental Intersectorial Commission was formed, coordinated by Enrique Montealegre, Presidential Advisor on Emergencies and Disasters, to take over the reconstruction strategy and activities from a somewhat beleaguered National Emergency Commission (See following section for a detailed analysis of the NEC).

The Commission, composed of representatives of the principle government ministeries and descentralized agencies responsible for reconstruction activities, clearly had it's own problems. Thus, on October 22, six months after the quake and a couple of months after the instigation of the Commmission, Montealegre was quoted in La Nacion (October 23, p.5a) to have admitted that "each institution is working on its own, and because of this it is difficult to quantify the progress made".

In the opinion of important sectors of the local population clearlynot much had been achieved and certainly Guillermo Madriz's earlier May prediction as regards the

total resolution of the problems within six months quickly passed into the annals of enlightened wishthinking.

The negative attitudes of the local groups came to a head in October when on the 16th various organized groups blocked the access to the city of Limon for six hours in the area near to the RECOPE petroleum refinery. The blockade was raised when Minister of the Presidency, Rodolfo MendezMata, agreed to travel to Limon to meet and negotiate with members of the Municipal Council and organized groupleaders brought together underthe umbrella of a recently created Executive Comission of the Open Session (Cabildo Abierto) of the Municipality.

Although Mendez Mata severely admonished the blockade, attributing such an action to a reduced number of leaders who were oppossed to the majority feeling in favor of "dialogue and negotiation", the action would appear to have counted on the implicit or explicit support of various population groups and social sectors (see L.N. October 18, p.5a and L.R. October 18, p.6a). La Nación reported that the blockade was raised by "neighbours and students from Limon's Central Canton... (and) was supported by municipal workers, local commerce and the JAPDEVA union". The municipal workers declared an indefinite strike from midday onwards, and "at least 90% of commercial establishments in the city centre closed from 10 am - 2 pm".

Dr. Eric Castro, spokesman for the Executive Commission of the Open Session, explained the actions in terms of the lack of economic support for the region on the part of the government, adding that the "blockade was only the beginning of more farreaching protests" (L.N. October 18, p.5a). Moreover, local leaders were also reported to have criticized the Government Intersectorial Commission established to negotiate with the community because "it is made up of middle ranking officials without the power to take decisions" (L.R. October 18, p.6a).

On Monday, 21st of October, Mendez Mata journeyed to Limonalong with varios other Ministers and Underministers to negotiate with themembers of the Executive Commission. Commission spokeman Castroindicated that the negotiations sought to guarantee "a greater flow of resources in order to combat the historical problems of the province...which were aggravated by theApril 22, earthquake" (L.N. October 19, p.5a).

The atmosphere of the negotiations was tinged with antagonisms and party political divisions. Thus, Mendez Mata hadearlier objected to the Executive Commission which "showed a confrontive attitude conducive to the breakdown

of conversations", whilst President Rafael Angel Calderon wasquoted as having attributed the October 16 protests to "a small group with ulterior intentions who did not have the support of the people" in the organization of the blockade (L.N.October 19, p.5a). On the other hand, certain members of the Executive Committee were already under pressure according to their political party allegiances. The negotiations commenced under the threat that should the dialogue breakdown newprotests would start up including a new blockade and an indefinate general strike, which would include the municipal and dock workers (L.N. October 21 4a).

According to local press reports, the results of the negotiations were constructive, and undertaken in a frank and cordialmanner. A calendar ofmeetings, between October and November 14 was decided on. These meetings. dedicated to the discussion and resolution of problems relating to health, housing, infrastructure, banana taxes, education, public security and the possible privatization of RECOPE and JAPDEVA would be attended by high level government representatives (including Ministers Executive Presidentsof government descentralized agencies) members of the Executive Commission of the Open Session. The end of the dialogue between Mendez Mata and local representantives wasalso marked byhis announcement. a daylater (October 22), after attending a meeting of the Governing Council, that the government would invest billion colones (\$92 millions approximately) in Limon. According to La Nacion (October 26, p.8a), Mendez Mata categorically affirmed thatthe investment in the Atlantic zone would more than overcome the problems caused by the earthquake and "turn the region into a priviliged place" compared to the rest of the country.

Given thesum quoted, this latter statement seems to be as overly optimistic as Guillermo Madriz's earlier "six months prediction". In itself it representedless than half of the \$200 millones the NEC had estimated was required merely "to bring (the region) back to the existing conditions prior to the earthquake" (Mendez, 1991), and under a quarter of Mendez Mata's own May estimate of 50 billions total losses.

Controversy over the lentitude in channelling reconstruction funds to disaster stricken areas had flourished in Costa Rica in the aftermath of October 1988 flooding inPacific Coast areas duringHurricane Juana, and following the1990 quakes in Cobano, Puriscal and Alajuela. In the case of Limon similar problems were clearly being faced.

During the very early days following the April quake the government had been quick to announce the agreed reassignation of international credits for reconstruction purposes already approved for Costa Rica, and the negotiation of fresh funds. This was the case, for instance, with two credits from the World Bank for \$60 million and \$20 million dollars, a \$20 million dollars loan from the Interamerican Development Bank and \$ 18 .8 millions from the Venezuelan Investment Fund (see L.N. April 24 pp.4a, 5a; L.N. April 25 p.11a; L.N. April 26 p.4a).

Ingeneral, the prognosis was that these funds would be rapidly available to commencereconstruction activities and that the National Congress would alleviate the normal proceedures for approving and assigning such funds.

This would not appear to have been the case. In late July, forexample, the Congress approved in first debate a plan for investing 11.95 billion colones to finance a series ofinfrastructural works in Limonand for overcoming problems caused by Hurricane Juana (October 1988). 7.5 billion colones were assigned to Limon and the rest to Costa Rica's southern zone. (L.N. July 31, p. 6a). These funds were the same international funds being commented two days after the quake. And, both were probably related to the 12 billion colones promised by Mendez Mata on October 22!. On this latter occassion, it was stated that the funds would come from loans obtained from the World Bank (\$35 millions), the Interamerican Development Bank millions), the Venezuelan Investment Fund millions) and the Central American Bank for Economic Integration (500 million colones).

Whatever the precise details of the whole problem of financing, the government clearly faced a series of difficulties related to the overall logistics and coordination of any proposed reconstruction and development process. Moreover, this end of year situation was also complicated by the latent or real conflicts and contradictions between the Limonbased popular organization representatives themselves. La Nacion, in its October 25 edition (p.8a) concluded that.

"if, on the one hand, everyone in Limon is after the same thing - improvements in the province -it is also truethat the behaviour of the local leaders could, paradoxically, ruin the littlethat has been achievedduring months, especially as regards the expressed disposition of the government to instigate immediate actions".

Strategy differences between different members of the Municipal Council and Executive Commission came forth notoriously during October, underpinned by clear party political differences. The more conciliatory attitude proposed by "Social Christian party members of the Limon Municipal Council and independent politicians and professionals is not wellconsidered by various membersof the Executive Commission who demand immediate solutions", wrote La Nacion (October 26, p.8a). According to the newspaper this situation wasleading to pressures from the Social Christian block to replace five members of the Executive Commission including it's president, Marvin Wright (Authentic Limon Party). and four National Liberation party sympathisers, including Eric Castro. Moreover, the newspaper reported that the important Federation of Limon Workers (FETRAL) would be willing to participate in the Open Session if the five objected members were removed. According to FETRAL these lacked support within the Community and were "coloured politically".

Divisive forces, internal infighting and problems of coordination at national government and local organization levels, combined with bureaucratically slow assignation proceedures at a legislative level seemed to capture the tone of the reconstruction efforts in Limonduring 1991. In the meantime the population was, in general, immersed in even more acute levels of poverty and unemployment than previously. Prexisting institutional and political characteristics, and contradictions at a national and regional level and historical problems were clearly coming to the forefront during a particular social crisis period triggered by the quake.

4. Institutional and OrganizationalResponses During the Emergency Period.

The earthquake undoubtedly took both the national authorities and the Atlantic region basically by surprise and unprepared. Many of the problems encountered during the immediate reliefeffort and the institucional solutions implemented undoubtedly reflect preexisting organizational vulnerabilities, interacting with a complex territorial or geographical context. The adequate coordination of a relief effort played out over some 13000 sg.Km and potentially aimed at over 200000 people, many located in dispersed communities on the Atlantic Coastal plain and in the adjacent upland areas, is, under any circumstances, a complex task. This was even more so in Costa Rica, a country with an incipient and underfunded "disaster management" system, no armed forces or air hardware reserve, and scarceeconomic resources. Moreover, the fact

that important sectors of the interand intra regional land transport network was severely damaged during the quake impedated easyaccess by land to many parts of the affected area for weeks or upto months after the event transport network was severely damaged during the quake impedied easy access by landto many parts of the affected area for weeks or upto months after the event.

Despite the serious difficulties faced during the two weeks following the tremor which we will analyze in detail in this section of our document, the relatively successful overallrelief effort under difficult conditions can be attributed to the rapid adaptability to the circumstances shown by many of the organizations involved and to the enthusiasmand selfeffacing committment of many different institutions and individuals. In highlighting the problems faced our objective is not to present an academicallysterile, critical and negative analysis, but, rather, to provide an evaluation which constructively identifies needed changes in the future.