l.Matarial.		Saigai eno Shakai Kagakuteki Appoach	
	Title:	(Social Scientific Approach to Disasters)	-
	Author:	Hirose, Hirotada (ed.)	-0
	Publisher and Year:	Shinyo-sha, Tokyo, 1981	
u.	Agent and/or Event.		
	Type of Disaster Discuss	ed: Disaster as general	-
III	. Table of Content.		

IV. Abstract (Major ideas and suggestions.).

See the attached

# Social Scientific Approach to Disasters. edited by Hirotada HIROSE, 1981 Shinyosha, Tokyo, Japan

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Part I Disaster and Human Society

Chapter 1. Disaster Process by Hirotada Hirose

- 1. An Illustration of a Complex Disaster
- 2. What is a Natural Disaster?
- 3. Behavioral Responses to a Disaster
- 4. Recovery Period from a Disaster
- 5. A Challenge to Disasters

## Chapter 2. Disaster and Organizations by Yasumasa Yamamoto

- 1. Organization as a Conducive Factor to Disaster
- 2. Disaster and Organization
- 3. Disaster Subculture
- 4. Changes in Resource Structure and Organizations
- 5. Control, Coordination, and Communication
- 6. Disaster and Interorganizational Network

## Chapter 3. Disaster and Information by Hirosuke Mizuno

- 1. Disaster Warning
- 2. Communication and Utilization of Disaster Information

## Chapter 4. Disaster and Public Administration by Hiroshi Miyagawa

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The Estimated Damages by and the Countermeasures against the Expected Tahkai Earthquake
- 3. Public Administration and Disaster Countermeasures
- 4. Public Administration and People
- 5. Conclusion

# Chapter 5. Reconstruction after Disaster and Society by Takeo Matsumura and Makoto Nakada

- 1. Disaster and Politics
- 2. Disaster and Economy
- 3. Disaster and Urban Life
- 4. Disaster and Social Change
- 5. Disaster and Social Consciousness
- 6. Conclusion

## Part II Disaster and Individuals

Chapter 6. Helping Behavior in Emergency Situations by Hiyoshi Nakamura

- 1. Psychological Background of Helping Behavior in Emergency Situations
- 2. Cases of Helping Behavior in Emergency Situations
- 3. Summary

## Chapter 7. Decision Making and Disaster by Kenichi Ikeda

- 1. The Two-Process Model of Decision Making
- 2. The Roles Played by Others
- 3. Doing Nothing and Panic Behavior

Chapter 8. Case Study I: The Eruption of Mt. Usu by Yoshitomo Watanabe

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The Eruption
- 3. Some Problems in Evacuation Behavior
- 4. Damage and Reconstruction
- 5. Information
- 6. Lessons from the Eruption

Chapter 9. Case Study II: The Miyagiken Oki Earthquake by Takashi Ohmi

- 1. An Outline of the Disaster
- 2. Unexpected Damages
- 3. Unworked Disaster-Experiences
- 4. Sendai City, Used to be and to be
- 5. Location of Industrial Space in Urban Planning
- 6. Two-Facedness of Urban Disasters
- 7. Some Problems in Human Behavior
- 8. Damages in Life-line Functions and Citizens' Reactions
- 9. Reconstruction and Mitigation

Appendix. The Eruption of Mt. Ontake and Its Effect: The Survey Report
by Osamu Hiroi and
Yoshitomo Watanabe

- 1. An Outline of the Eruption
- 2. Purposes of the Research
- 3. Results of the Research
- 4. Conclusions

Summaries of chapters

Chapter 1 - Disaster Process by Hirotada Hirose

Disasters are defined as loss of lives, property, and breakdown of social order caused by mal-adaptations of individuals, groups, organizations, and society as a whole to environmental threats brought by disaster agents.

Chapter 2 - Disaster and Organizations by Yasumasa Yamamoto

Reviews of the previous studies on disasters from the organizational viewpoint.

Chapter 3 - Disaster and Information by Hirosuke Mizuno

The role of disaster information for appropriate responses is emphasized. It is indicated that necessary information should be transmitted via proper channels.

Chapter 4 - Disaster and Public Administration by Hiroshi Miyagawa

The article describes the countermeasures by Shizuoka prefecture, reports, the computer simulation on damage assessment in Shizuoka prefecture due to the so-called Tokai Great Earthquake.

Chapter 5 - Reconstruction after Disaster and Society by Takeo Matsumura and
Makoto Nakada

Using historical records, the authors analyze the political and economic effects of disasters. In addition, the effects on urban structures and on life styles or life structures are discussed.

Chapter 6 - Helping Behavior in Emergency Situations by Hiyoshi Nakamura

Using many psychological studies and actual events, the author describes the psychological process through which people hlep others in emergency situations. Based on the discussion, psychological process model of helping behavior is provided.

Chapter 7 - Decision-Making and Disaster by Kenichi Ikeda

Mechanisms of individual decision-making in crises are described in terms of two phases of an information process and decision-making process. Then, the author indicates four types of roles played by others.

Chapter 8 - Case Study I: The Eruption of Mt. Usu by Yoshitomo Watanabe

This article is similar to "Panic--Aesop no Guwa ga Yomigaeru Hi (Panic--The Day of Rebirth of the Aesop's Fables)" by Hirose et al.

Chapter 9 - Case Study II: The Miyagiken Oki Earthquake by Takashi Ohmi

Using the results of several studies not only from an architectural point of view, but also from a sociological viewpoint, the author pointéd out several factors which made urban areas vulnerable to an earthquake.

Appendix - The Eruption of Mt. Ontake and Its Effects by Osamu Hiroi and Yoshitomo Watanabe

They report (1) that area-specific media such as cable broadcasting systems are better in a small area than ordinary mass media, and (2) that a person who has a strong identity with an many human ties in a community tends to conform to police or governmental officials' directions and to be active in helping others.

I. Material: Title:	The Cognition of the Damages, caused by the 1978 Miyagiko Oki Earthquake, and Its Corresponding Behaviors. (Jishi-Higai no Ninchi to Taio Kodo).
Author:	Horige, Kazuya and Hiroshi Oura
Publisher and Year:	
(1) Agent and/or Event	
Type of Disaster:	Earthquake
Date of Occurrence:	June 12, 1978, 5:14 p.m.
Location:	Miyagi Prefecture, Japan
Casualties and Damage  (2) Method  Method in detail:	<pre>: Killed: 28; Injured: 10,247 Completely destroyed houses: 1,279 Partially destroyed houses: 132,594 Flooded houses: 5 Destroyed portions of roads: 1,037 Land slides: 167 Fires: 12</pre> See the attached
Date of Study:	

- A. Structured interviews with 1,014 housewives in 6 areas of Seudai city
- B. Sampling procedure: Stratified two stage sampling
- C. Date of Study: December 8-12, 1978

# II. Results

•	Ves	IUL CS			
	Α.	Perceptions about the event			
		1. People who perceived the earthquak	e as great	96.0%	
		2. People who perceived the damages a	s great	73.0%	
		3. People who had strong fears		95.0%	
	В.	Characteristics of the earthquake whi	ch people indicate	d	
		1. Breakdown of life-line functions	• "	69.0%	
		2. Falling of concrete block walls		36.0%	
		3. Different degrees of damages by ar	eas	34.0%	
	c.	An act of God or a man-made disaster?			
		1. The earthquake disaster was an act	of God	83.0%	
		2. The earthquake disaster was due to		17.0%	
	D.	When people were asked about who was			
		the damages, those who regarded the d			
		of God decreased.			
		1. Government is responsible.		19.0%	
		2. Real estate companies are responsi	ble.	32.0%	
		3. People who had damages are respons		4.0%	
		4. No one is responsible; it was an a		43.0%	
	E.	What people wanted to know on the day			
		choice)			
		1. About after-shocks		66.7%	
		2. About life-line functions		47.2%	
		3. About family members or friends		35.2%	
		4. About damages		27.4%	
	F.	What people were troubled about			
			he day of impact	following days	
		1. Interruption of electricity	70.7%	26.5%	
		2. Interruption of gas	50.6%	65.1%	
		3. Interruption of telephone	24.3%	• <del>•••</del>	
		4. Interruption of water	18.7%	53.5%	
	G.	Mutual assistance in neighborhood?		,	
		1. No mutual assistance		27.4%	
		2. People who answered "Yes"		70.9%	
		3. The mutual assistance was in			
		a) providing meals or bathing faci	lities	24.4%	
		b) cleaning the debris up		11.8%	
		c) psychological support		19.0%	
		4. Whether or not any change occurred	in neighborhood?		
		a) no change		61.8%	
		b) people became better acquainted	<b>L</b>	12.6%	
		c) people became more cooperative		4.5%	
		d) people became more integrated		18.1%	
		5. The younger they are, the more cha	inges they perceive		
		6. People in the central part of the			
		-t		-	

change.

Н.	Pe	ople's demands on the governments were	
	1.	To accurately and effectively predict an earthquake	62.47
	2.	To exercise a closer supervision over the real	
		estate companies	62.0%
	3.	To establish a better system for compensating	
		individuals losses due to disaster	29.0%
	4.	To set up a better evacuation system	22.03
		To set up a better communication system	25.07

The Study of the Responses to Earthquake Prediction: Part II

(Zoku Jishin Yochi Joho eno Taio). Ikeda, Kenichi et al

NOT COMPLETE

I. Material: Title:	Report on the Psychological Research for Countermeasures Against Earthquake Disasters—How Do Tokyo Residents Think About and Prepare Against an Earthquake Disaster?, Vol (Daishinsai Taisaku no tameno Shinrigakuteki Chosa Kenkyu— Tomin wa Dou Kangae Dou Sonaeteiruka? Dai 1-ho)
Author:	Guard Police Psychology Research Society (Keibi Shinrigaku
	Kenkyukai) Tokyo Metropolitan Police Board (Keishi-Cho), 1965
II. Study:	
(1) Agent and/or Event	
Type of Dissater:	Hypothetical earthquake
Date of Occurrence:	glillitaturan garan un di erani egel et estat egel et estat egel et egel egel et erani egel egel egel egel egel egel egel ege
location:	Tekyo, Japan
Casualties and Dama	<b>g</b> ⊕:
(2) Method	
Method in detail:	See the attached
Date of Study:	See the attached

III. Hypothesis and Findings.

- A. Questionnaires delivered and collected by police
  - 1. Samples: 10,000 individuals, chosen by purposive selection
  - 2. Valid answers: 9,720
  - 3. Date of Study: April-May, 1965
- B. Questionnaire survey and interviews
  - 1. Samples: 700 individuals drawn by a sub-sampling method
  - 2. Valid answers: 502
  - 3. No details about interviews
  - 4. Date of Study: July 11-12, 1965
- C. Group interviews with eight groups
  - 1. Community leaders in downtown areas
  - 2. Housewives in residential areas
  - 3. Principals or head teachers of elementary and junior high schools
  - 4. Hotel managers or owners
  - 5. Traders or manufacturers dealing with dangerous substances
  - 6. Managers of theatres or department stores
  - 7. Managers of big business firms
  - 8. Owners of small business firms
  - 9. Date of Study: July 13-20, 1965

#### II. Results

A. Supposed emergency responses

1. Put fire out	75.0%
2. Open a door	56.0%
3. Observe the situation	54.0%
4. Remove valuables	34.0%
5. Get dressed	30.0%
6. Rush out	.9%

As age increases, the number of people who would do a., b., d., or e. increases.

People who have experienced an earthquake disaster are more likely to indicate they would do a., b., d., or e. in comparison with people who have no such experience.

People who have a conversation at home on how to respond indicate they would more likely do a., b., d., or e. than people who do not have such a conversation.

B. Supposed disasters due to an earthquake

1. Fires	90.5%
2. Collapse of houses	71.2%
3. Shortage of water	39.0%
4. Shortage of foods	31.5%
5. Breakdown of traffic systems	21.8%
6. Infectious or contagious disease	10.5%

People who live in downtown areas tend to predict the greater damage.

What is emphasized varies according to areas of residence.

C. People who have had a conversation at home on how to	
respond	62.0%
1. What they have talked about at home	
a) evacuation places	46.2%
b) what they should remove	32.0%
c) how to evacuate	30.0%
d) where family members will meet	17.7%
e) a temporary shelter	17.4%
f) how to communicate with each other	16.9%
2. People who have experienced an earthquake are more	

 People who have experienced an earthquake are more likely to have had a conversation regarding these possibilities.

D. Fear and psychological readiness

(fear)	ready	not ready
strong	48.6%	51.4%
medium	45.8	54.1
veak	36.1	63.9

1. As the feeling of fear decreases, psychological readiness or preparation is less.

## E. Evacuation and drills

1. Where they would evacuate

a)	nearby	open spaces	58.4%
		facilities	25.1%
c)	nearby	heights	3.4%

- Many youths answered they did not know where they would evacuate.
- 3. People who have school-age children are more likely to indicate they would evacuate to public facilities, because most people who answered "public facilities" seemed to mean school facilities.
- 4. As age increases, the number of people who would evacuate to nearby open spaces increases.
- 5. How they would evacuate

6.

	men	women	total
a) on foot	74.2(%)	76.4(%)	75.2(%)
b) by bicycle or motorcycle	3.0	1.0	2.3
c) by ear	11.8	9.1	10.7
d) other	2.3	2.0	2.2
e) don't know	8.0	11.6	9.1
People who have had a drill			
<pre>a) never</pre>			84.0%
E \			3.5 0.00

	c) Head.	84.0%
	b) yes	16.0%
	c) for floods	15.0%
	d) for fires	73.0%
	e) evacuation	40.0%
7.	People who think that a drill is essential	70.0%
8.	People who think that a drill is not essential	10.0%

I. Material: Title:	Report on the psychological Research for Countermeasures Against Earthquake Disasters—How Do Companies, Schools, and Neighborhoods Respond to an Earthquake Disaster? Vol.2 (Daishinsai Taisaku no tameno Shinrigakuteki Chosa Kenkyu— Kigyo, Gakko Chiiki wa Ikani Taisho Sureba Yoika? Dai 2-ho)
Author	Guard Police Psychology Research Society (Keibi Shinrigaku
Publisher and Yes	Kenkyukai) Tokyo Metropolitan Police Board (Keishi-Cho), 1966
II. Study:	
(1) Agent and/or Eve	ent
Type of Disast	ter: Hypothetical earthquake
	cence:
Location:	Tokyo, Japan
Casualties and	i Damage:
(2) Method  Method in deta	ail: See the attached
Date of Study	See the attached
III. Hypothesis and 1	Findings.

- A. After disaster drills in two areas of Tokyo, group interviews were carried out with 700 participants. Among them, 268 persons were administered questionnaires.
  - 1. Samples for a questionnaire survey; 268 (Men: 91, women: 177)
  - 2. Date of Study: June 12, 1966
- B. After the disaster drills in two schools, group interviews were conducted.
  - 1. Samples
    - a) an elementary school: techaers 26, pupils 764
    - b) a junior high school: teachers 31, students 805
  - 2. Date of Study: June 18, 1966
- C. A questionnaire survey was conducted of business firms.
  - 1. Samples: 500 business firms in Tokyo (A general manager answered questions on behalf of his or her company.)

(Area)	(Size) large	medium	small	total
Yamanote area	64	133	55	252
Shitamachi area	58	127	52	237
Central part	37	61	15	113
Total	159	321	122	602

Yamanote area - mainly the residential areas in Tokyo, such as Shibuya Suginami, Bunkyo, and other wards

Shitamachi area - mainly the commercial areas or small-industry areas mixed with residences, such as Kohto, Ohta, and other wards

- 2. Size
  - a) large (over 500 employees)
  - b) medium (between 100 and 500 employees)
  - c) small (less than 100 employees)
- 3. Date of Study: June 20-July 10, 1966
- D. A questionnaire survey for employees of the companies chosen as above.

<u>Samples</u>	large	medium	small	total
Yamanote	326	668	306	1300
Shitamachi	272	624	254	1152
Central part	187	222	76	485
Total	787	1514	636	2937

1. Date of Study: June, 1966

## II. Results

- A. Business firms
  - 1. Business firms which have emergency planning
    - a) for fires 86.0% b) for typhoons or floods 49.0% c) for earthquakes 29.0%

Large business firms are more likely to prepare for disasters than small business firms.

2.	Division of labor in an emergency	
	a) business firms which have a division of labor for	
	emergency responses	84.0%
3.	Evacuation	
	a) business firms which have a plan on how to	
	evacuate (escape)	67.5%
	b) business firms which have a plan about evacuation	
	places	65.0%
	c) among business firms which have a plan, those whose	
	employees recognize the plan well	38.0%

## 4. Communication

	large	medium	small	total
face-to-face	42.7(%)	51.0(%)	61.5(%)	50.7(%)
loud speaker	7.6	2.9	2.5	4.1
wire telephone	43.4	39.8	19.7	36,1
wireless	1.9	0.3	0	0.7
<b>o</b> the <b>r</b>	3.8	4.0	9.0	5.0

5. Business firms which have prepared necessary equipmen	nt
or material for an earthquake disaster	90.0%
a) medicines or first-aid kits	87.0%
b) flashlights	86.0%
c) overalls	59.0%
d) radio	57.0%
e) tents and blankets	40.0%
f) food and water	23.0%
B. Employees	
1. Employees who have been informed of appropriate	
responses	43.2%
was places at	73127
Men are more likely to be informed of appropriate	
responses than women.	
<ol> <li>Employees who have participated in a disaster drill</li> <li>a) ratios of the employees who have participated</li> </ol>	47.0%
large: 54.3% Yamanote : 46.5%	
medium: 46.8% Shitamachi: 48.6%	
small: 37.9% Central part: 43.8%	
Wash ded 11	

Most drills were conducted on how to extinguish a fire.

3. Recognition of an emergency commander

a) employees who recognize it

65.7%

	heard instructions	never heard
(a) knew about an emergency commander	88.0%	51.3%
(b) did not know about an emergency commander	11.9%	48.6%

- 4. If an earthquake occurred before or after office hours
  - a) employees who think they would go to their offices immediately

41.5%

	heard instructions	never heard
(a) should go to company	54.3%	33.7%
(b) do not have to go to	45.6%	66.2%
a company	والمراوات والمرا	array na giyalaayay, adamadaharray ay gi at kisadi marakahiriya qalabida da da kasa ay ay a

# 5. When they are outside

	(immediately go to company)	(immediately to home)	(D.K.)	(other)	
large	29.2%	48.2%	6.5%	15.9%	
medium	30.3	47.3	7.3	15.0	
small	35.4	43.7	6.7	14.1	

## C. Schools

- Although they have had disaster drills, no drills against earthquake disasters have been carried out because it is hard to set up the hypothetical situation.
- 2. Most teachers worried about whether or not they can successfully help children escape.

# D. Neighborhood

- 1. A conversation at home about a disaster a) people who have had a conversation at home 86.0% b) what they have talked about at home (1) how to put fire out and remove valuables 49.6% (2) where to evacuate 35.7% (3) emergency responses 26.3% 2. Group evacuation a) people who prefer (1) an official order 49.6% (2) together with neighbors 45.5% (3) as one likes 35.5%
- Middle age people tend to prefer to evacuate with neighbors, while women are more likely to think they will evacuate in accordance with an official order.

I. Material:	Report on the Psychological Research for Counter- measures Against Earthquake DisastersHow Should Tokyo Residents Respond to an Earthquake Disaster in Undergrou
Title:	Shopping Malls or on Bustling Strees? Vol. 3
Author:	Guard Police Psychology Research Society (Kelbi Shinriga Kenkyukai)
	Tokyo Metropolitan Police Board (Keishi-Cho), 1967.
II. Study:	
(1) Agent and/or Event	
Type of Disaster:	Hypothetical earthquake
Date of Occurrence:	
Location:	Tokyo, Japan
Casualties and Damage:	
(2) Method	
Method in detail:	See the attached
Date of Study:	See the attached
III. Hypothesis and Findings.	

- A. Structured interviews at four underground shopping malls with
  - 1. 1,102 residents chosen from selected areas
  - 2. 1,080 workers or students chosen from selected companies or schools
  - 3. 175 workers or owners of stores in underground shopping malls
  - 4. 1,493 pedestrians chosen in a haphazard way in underground shopping malls
- B. Date of study: June 8 July 8, 1967 July 3 - July 4, 1967

## II. Results

- A. On samples 1, 2, and 4
  - Whether of not they have imagined an earthquake in underground shopping malls.
     a) yes

33.2%

65.0%

Men are more likely to imagine that an earthquake may occur than women. Generally speaking,

women are more optimistic than men.

- As age increases, the number of people who imagine the occurrence of an earthquake increases.
- 4. People who frequently visit the underground shopping malls are more likely to imagine the occurence of an earthquake than people who less frequently visit.
- 5. People who visit the underground shopping malls at night are more likely to imagine the occurrence of an earthquake than people who visit during the daytime.
- B. Perception about dangers of underground shopping malls due to an earthquake
  - People who perceive the underground shopping malls as dangerous
  - 2. As age increases, the number of people who do not perceive malls as dangerous increases.
  - People who frequently visit are more likely to perceive the underground shopping malls as less dangerous.
  - 4. What is dangerous

	men	women	rotal
(1) collapse	45.4%	39.6%	43.1%
(2) fires	17.4	18.4	17.8
(3) crowds	17.6	24.0	20.2
(4) disruption of electricity	16.1	12.2	14.5
(5) don't know	3.5	5.8	4.4
total	100% (894)	100% (599)	100% (1,493)

	5. Perceived possibility of evacuation from the underground shopping malls	
	a) people who think it is possible	21.2%
	b) people who think it is impossible	55.2%
	6. Women are more pessimistic about the possibility	
	of successful evacuation.	
	7. People who frequently visit the underground	
	shopping malls tend to perceive a greater possibility	
	of successful evacuation than people who less fre-	
_	quently visit.	
С.	Emergency responses	
	1. Three types of emergency responses	** **
	a) the wait-and-see attitude (WAS type)	35.0%
	b) the rush-into-exits type (RIE type)	40.0%
	c) the rush-to-and-fro type (RTF type)	20.0%
	2. Men tend to respond with WAS type, while women	
	tend to respond with RTF type.	
	3. As age increases, the WAS type of responses	
	increases.	
	a) Youth tend to respond with RTF type.	
	4. People who are familiar with the underground shopping	
	malls tend to respond with WAS type, while people	
	who are less familiar with them tend to respond with RTF type.	
n.	Recognition of countermeasures of the underground shopping	
٠.	malls	
	1. People who think that the underground shopping malls	
	have	
	a) some countermeasures	48.5%
	b) no countermeasures	34.8%
	2. People in their 40s or 50s are more likely to think	<b>.</b>
	the underground shopping malls have some counter-	
	measures against an earthquake than people in	
	their 20s or 30s.	
	3. People who visit the underground shopping malls at night	
	tend to think they have some countermeasures.	
	4. People who respond with WAS type tend to think that	
	the malls have some countermeasures.	
	5. Preparations thought to be necessary	
	<ul> <li>a) set up self-defense organizations in the underground</li> </ul>	
	shopping malls	85.1%
	b) provide people with official instructions and	
	to supervise them by police or fire departments	95.4%
	c) clearly indicate emergency exits and how to evacuate	97.0%
	d) educate people who visit the malls	89.5%
	e) be equipped with emergency lights and generators	95.4%
	6. Evacuation drills	
	<ol> <li>People who think that the drills are essential</li> </ol>	80.0%

# III. Results

•	Res	Results			
	Α.	A. On sample 3			
			Perceived possibility of the occurrence a) people who perceive a great possibility in comparison with the samples 1, 2 ratio of people who think an earthous markedly high	llity 2, and 4, the quake will occur	75.0%
		2.	Perceived degree of safety of the undermalls		22 22
			a) people who think that the malls are b) in comparison with the samples 1, 2 ratio of people who think the malls markedly high	2, and 4, the	33.0%
		3.	Predicted damages from an earthquake	Samples 1,2,4	Sample 3
				•	,
			<ul><li>a) buried alive due to collapse</li><li>b) injured due to collapse</li></ul>	74.1% 92.7	64.5% 90.3
			c) killed by fires or smoke	86.3	77.1
			d) killed by gas explosions	80.6	65.2
			e) confusion due to darkness	92.7	78.3
			f) crushed by crowds	85.7	52.0
			g) trapped underground	80.6	51.4
			h) floods	59.4	43.4
		<i>1</i> .	Perceived possibility of evacuation	<i>32</i> • • •	,
			a) people (Sample 3) who think that		
					55.0%
			<ol> <li>they can safely evacuate</li> <li>they cannot evacuate</li> </ol>		27.0%
					18.0%
		E	(3) don't know		10.0%
		Э,	Predicted actions if an earthquake occ	curred	59.4%
			a) wait-and-see behavior		
			b) rush-into-exits behavior		28.6%
			(1) women are more likely to respon	ng with this	
			type of behavior		1 "9 Tr
			c) measures to prevent looting		1.7%
			d) tell people about the safety of the	a underground	2.3%
		٤	shopping malls		4.00%
		o.	Preparations people have made for an e	earraquake	54.3%
			a) flashlights		
			b) transistor radios		20.0%
			c) first-aid kits		51.4%
			d) candles		41.4%
		7.	d) private generators  How they would communicate with each e	other in an	22.3%
			emergency		
			a) wired broadcasting system		28.6%
			b) loud speaker		13.7%
			c) face-to-face		31.4%
			d) others		4.0%
			e) no ideas		40.5%

I. Material: Title: Author: Publisher and Year:	Dai 4-ho) Guard Police Psychology Research Society (Keibi Shinriga Kenkyukai)
II. Study:	
(1) Agent and/or Event	
Type of Disaster:	Hypothetical earthquake
Date of Occurrence:	
Location:	Tokyo
Casualties and Damage:	
(2) Method	
Method in detail:	See the attached
Date of Study:	See the attached
III. Hypothesis and Firdings.	

- A. Structured interviews with 2,817 drivers who came to the drivers' license office to renew their licenses (ordinary drivers).
- B. Questionnaires delivered and collected by police.
  - 1. Samples
    - a) 505 taxi drivers
    - b) 500 truck drivers

professional drivers

- c) 384 bus drivers
- d) 200 managers of transportation companies
- C. Date of Study: June, 1969

#### II. Results

- A. On drivers
  - The degree of concerns about an earthquake
     a) drivers who have a relatively high concern

56.0%

- 2. Professional drivers have stronger concerns about an earthquake than ordinary drivers.
- 3. Professional drivers who belong to the larger companies tend to have stronger concerns than those of professional drivers of small companies.
- 4. Drivers who have been driving for a longer period are more likely to have strong concerns than drivers with fewer experiences.
- B. Predicted traffic conditions

(Impossible to drive a car

66.3%

1. Ordinary drivers

71.7%

- Professional drivers
   The young drivers tend to think that they can
- the young drivers tend to think that they can drive a car even after the quake occurs.
- 4. Truck drivers tend to think that they can drive even after the quake.
- 5. Professional drivers of large companies are more likely to think that it will be impossible to drive in Tokyo.
- C. Expected emergency responses

	Ordinary	Professio
	Drivers	Drivers
(a) parking a car on the left side of		
a road and waiting to observe the		
situation	27.2%	43.9%
(b) parking a car on the road and		
waiting to observe the situation	24.3%	33.3%
(c) leaving a car on a road and evac-		
uating	30.7%	-
(d) continuing to drive until reaching		
a certain safe place	6.6%	15.6%
(e) letting customer leave and go back		347514
to a company	-	4.5%

1. Whether or not they should lock their car after an earthquake

a) Although it is desirable for them not to lock their cars, the ratio of people who did not expect to lock their cars was 30.0% Most of them answered that it depended on the situation.

2. Whether or not they would use a car for evacuating

	(Ordinary Drivers)	(Professional Drivers)
Yes	16.0%	22.2%
No	60.5%	53.9%
Don't know	9.8%	11.6%

D. Recognition of the emergency traffic control system

	Ordinary Drivers	Professional Drivers
Know about it	12.1%	20.4%
Don't know	87.6%	79.6%
Expected basis of t 1. To conform to po 2. From information	lice instructions	56.9% 33.0%
3. What other drive	· <del></del>	4.6%
•	inguisher in their car	
<del>-</del>	concern about an earthquedge about the emergency	

Drivers who would make a decision on the basis of other drivers' behavior tend to have less concern about an earthquake, to have less knowledge about the emergency traffic control system, and would try to evacuate by car.

G. On managers of transportation companies

1. Instructions for drivers	
<ul> <li>a) managers who gave instructions</li> </ul>	44.0%
2. What the managers instructed	
a) to report where drivers are and to evacuate	
leaving the car at a safe place	27.0%
b) to conform to police instructions	21.0%
c) to evacuate leaving a car at a safe place	13.4%

- H. Preparation against an earthquake
  - Preparations such as carrying a fire extinguisher in a vehicle or giving instructions for drivers are carried out more often in bus companies than other transportation companies. Truck companies are the poorest in preparing for an earthquake.
  - 2. The more cars they have, the more and better they tend to prepare.

I. Material: Title:	Against Earthquake DisastersOn Evacuation Behaviors, Vo (Daishinsai Taisaku no tameno Shinrigakuteki Chosa KenkyuHinan Kodo ni tsuite, Dai 5-ho)
Author:	Guard Police Psychology Research Society (Keibi Shinrigak
Publisher and Year:	Kenkyukai) Tokyo Metropolitan Police Board (Keishi-Cho), 1970
II. Study:	
(1) Agent and/or Event	
Type of Disaster:	Hypothetical earthquake
Date of Occurrence:	
Location:	Tokyo, Japan
Casuelties end Dame	ige:
(2) Method  Method in detail:	See the attached
wernon in defail!	
Date of Study:	See the attached
•	

III. Hypothesis and Findings.

- A. A questionnaire survey
  - 1. Questionnaires were delivered and collected by police.
- B. Samples: 7,200 Tokyo citizens chosen by police stations (Seventy-two police stations in Tokyo chose 100 citizens, respectively.)
- C. Return Ratio: 6,938

96.0%

D. Date of Study: May 2-11, 1970

#### II. Results

- A. On evacuation
  - 1. Predicted demages

a) collapse of house	20.0%
b) fires	23.1%
c) disrupt ons of gas, electric, and water supplies	22.6%
d) failure to evacuate due to traffic confusion	15.0%
e) increas and anxiety because of social disorder	6.9%

People over age 19 indicated "fires" as the most probable disaster.

Residents of ore- or two-story houses tend to be in fear of fires, while residents of three- or more-story houses tend to be anxious about disrupt ons of gas, electric, and water supplies.

- 2. Predic .ed damages for their own houses
  - a) completely collapsed 25.0% b) partially collapsed 50.8%

As age increases, the number of people who predict that their houses will completely collapse decreases.

Residents of three- or more-story houses are more likely to predict that they will not experience great damage.

3. When they think they will begin to evacuate

a)	immediately	19.3%
ъ)	when they see fires	34.3%
c)	when the dangers approach	11.5%
d)	when the order is issued	31.5%
3)	don't know	3,3%

- a) and b) > positive type of evacuation
- c) and d) = passive type of evacuation

Men tend to be the passive type in evacuating, while women tend to be the positive type.

People over sixty years of age tend to be the positive type.

Residents of one-or two-story houses tend to be the positive type.

People who intend to try to evacuate to nearby open spaces, parks, or public facilities (mainly schools) tend to be the positive type, while people who intend to try to evacuate to the designated evacuation place tend to be the passive type.

- 4. How they think they will evacuate
  - a) with all family members 76.7% 76.0%

	5.	Where they think they will evacuate	
		a) nearby parks	29.6%
		b) nearby high or open spaces	26.4%
		c) designated evacuation place	19.9%
		d) nearby public facilities	13.7%
	6.	How long they think it will take for them to reach	
		facility of evacuation	
		a) 5 minutes or less	56.2%
		b) 6 minutes to 10 minutes	18.5%
		c) 11 minutes to 30 minutes	13.4%
		d) over 60 minutes	2.1%
	7.	Parks or open spaces they intend to try to evacuate to	
		a) 500 square meters or less	10.3%
		b) 500-3,000 square meters	32.6%
		c) 3,000-10,000 square meters	27.7%
		d) 10,000-50,000 square meters	18.4%
		è) greater than 50,000 square meters	11.0%
	8.	Whether or not they perceive they can safely evacuate	
		a) yes	59.1%
		b) no	16.9%
		c) don't know	23.5%
	9.	Reasons why they think the cannot safely evacuate	
		a) confusing traffic conditions	70.1%
		b) fires and smoke	13.8%
		c) breakdown of roads or bridges	13.6%
	10.	Present knowledge of designated evacuation place	
			31.6%
		a) people who know	31.6%
	ltho	a) people who know ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,	31.6%
t	ltho here	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,  is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend	31.6%
t	ltho here	a) people who know ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,	31.6%
t	altho here o ha	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,  is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend  ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.	31.6%
t t P	ltho here o ha	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,  is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend  ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend	31.6%
t t P	ltho here o ha eopl	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,  is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend  ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend  receive the place as safe.	31.6%
t t P	ltho here o ha eopl	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex,  is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend  ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend  receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate	31.6%
t t P	ltho here o ha eopl	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area	
t t P	ltho here o ha eopl	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe	5.0%
t t P	ltho here o ha eopl	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place. e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far	5.0% 21.3%
t t P	Altho here to have eople to per	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way	5.0%
t t P	Altho here to have eople to per	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend we better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill	5.0% 21.3% 64.9%
t t P	Altho here to have eople to per	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9%
t t P	Altho here to have eople to per	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8%
t t P	Altho here to have eople to per	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6%
t t P	Altho here to have eople to per	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8%
t P t	ltho here o ha Peopl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways The place perceived as most dangerous during a quake	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6% 2.7%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways The place perceived as most dangerous during a quake a) on subway trains	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6% 2.7%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways The place perceived as most dangerous during a quake a) on subway trains b) in subway stations or underground shopping malls	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6% 2.7%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways The place perceived as most dangerous during a quake a) on subway trains b) in subway stations or underground shopping malls c) in high-rise buildings	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6% 2.7% 33.2% 29.1% 15.5%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways The place perceived as most dangerous during a quake a) on subway trains b) in subway stations or underground shopping malls c) in high-rise buildings d) on ordinary trains	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6% 2.7% 33.2% 29.1% 15.5% 11.1%
t P t	ltho here o ha copl to pe 11.	a) people who know  ugh there is no significant difference regarding sex, is regarding ages. That is, people who are over 30 tend ve better knowledge about the designated evacuation place.  e who know about the designated evacuation place tend receive the place as safe.  Major reasons why they do not think they will evacuate to the designated evacuation area a) not safe b) too far c) don't know the way Attitudes toward a disaster drill a) favorable to and have participated in a drill b) favorable to a drill, but few chances to participate c) favorable to a drill, but it should be improved d) not favorable  subways The place perceived as most dangerous during a quake a) on subway trains b) in subway stations or underground shopping malls c) in high-rise buildings	5.0% 21.3% 64.9% 39.9% 40.8% 16.6% 2.7% 33.2% 29.1% 15.5%

2.	What is dargerous in subways	
	a) being trapped because of possible collapse	30.7%
	b) darknes due to disruption of electric service	20.7%
	c) being c sushed by crowds	14.5%
	d) fires on trains	8.5%
	e) floods in subway systems	8.5%
	f) danger from high voltage electricity	6.9%
	g) being injured by falling objects	6.3%
3.	Emergency responses (prediction of their own responses)	
	a) they would conform to the operator's or the	
	concuctors' instructions	81.0%
	b) the, would stay in a train	3.9%
	c) they would conform to what other people do	7.4%
	d) they would walk to a nearby station	5.2%
4.	What people predict about other's responses in a	
	qua'ke	
	a) conform to the operator's or the conductor's	
	instructions	40.2%
	b, stay in a train	1.5%
	( ) conform to what other people do	18.0%
	d) walk to a nearby station	37.0%