4. RAIL ACCIDENT ANALYSIS

Most Canadian rail accident analyses, such as the work by Doswell et al. (1986), have been done at an aggregate level where types of accidents are studied, but not the underlying causes of these accidents. Disaggregate analyses have been accomplished in the United States to various extents, using American transportation data (see American Association of Railroads, 1986 for example). These analyses, however, are not readily applicable to Canadian conditions.

In this report, an analysis of rail accident frequencies and rates is carried out at both an aggregate and a disaggregate level. At both levels of analysis all rail accidents are considered, and the special characteristics of incidents involving dangerous goods are distinguished in a few specific situations.

4.1 Rail Accident and Exposure Data

To provide an overview of Canadian rail accident experience, aggregate accident frequencies tabulated annually by the Canadian Transport Commission (CTC) and summarized and reviewed by the Railway Transport Committee (RTC) are used. Statistics Canada car load data are also used.

Table 4.1 provides a summary of the number of derailments, collisions and crossing accidents in Canada from 1980 to 1985 as reported by the RTC (1986). This table indicates the number of accidents that involved dangerous goods and the number of dangerous goods incidents not involving transportation accidents. These data indicate that from 900 to 1200 reportable rail accidents occur per year and that the majority of these are crossing accidents. There also appears to be nearly one derailment every day of the year and one collision every 3 days. While the total number of annual accidents exhibits some random fluctuations, it does appear that a significant downward trend in annual accident occurrences exists.

Dangerous goods involvement has increased significantly since 1980, likely due to the requirement that all incidents involving dangerous goods be reported. It appears, however, that this impact may have stabilized at an average rate of nearly 600 occurrences per year. Approximately 63% of transportation accidents involving dangerous goods occur as a result of derailments; 32% as a result of crossing accidents.

In order to translate the aggregate accident frequencies in Table 4.1 into accident rates per unit of exposure, comparable aggregate rail operating and traffic statistics were required. Such statistics, published by the RTC and annually by Statistics Canada, are summarized in Table 4.2 for the years 1980 to 1985.

Based on aggregate rail accident frequencies and rail operating statistics, aggregate rail accident rates can be determined to provide accident rates per tonne-kilometer and carkilometer for all of Canada. The results of this analysis are given in Table 4.3.

TABLE 4.1

DERAILMENTS, COLLISIONS AND CROSSING ACCIDENTS 1980-1985

	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	Avg	Std Dev
- Derailments DG involvement	292 65	348 132	327 101	254 94	273 100	278 142	295 106	35.5 27.8
- Collisions DG involvement	97 44	108 65	101 67	92 56	102 66	72 43	95 57	12.6 11.0
- Crossing Accidents DG involvement	826 11	763 4	691 8	567 9	596 10	606 8	675 8	103.4 2.7
- Total Accidents	1215	1219	1119	913	971	956	1066	136.3
- Total DG accident involvement:	120	201	176	159	176	193	171	28.9
- DG non accident involvement:	107	157	105	288	418	336	235	131.0
- Total DG incidents:	227	358	281	447	594	529	406	142.9

Source: Railway Transport Committee 1986.

TABLE 4.2

OPERATING AND TRAFFIC STATISTICS FOR CN, CP AND VIA (1980-1985)

a.	Freight Car K (x 1,000,000)	llometer:	s (Stati	stics Car	nada, 19	BO - 1985)		
	(X 1,000,000)	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	average
Loa Emp Cab		4622 3240 131	4615 3202 123	3649 2563 93	3960 2734 97	4274 2906 101	4079 2677 98	4200 2887 107
Tot	al	7993	7940	6305	6791	7281	6854	7194
b.	Gross Tonne K (x 1,000,000)	ilometer	s (RIC,	1986)				
	(11 2,000,000,	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	average std dev
Tot	al	448700	449700	400900	434200	466900	457700	443000 23300
c.	Average Value	s of Tra	in Chara	cteristi		istics C -1985)	anada,	
c.	Average Value	s of Tra 1980	in Chara 1981	cteristi 1982			anada, 1985	average
# c	ars/train ight car load				`1980	-1985)	•	average 74.2 53.3
# c fre (' tra	ars/train	1980 72.0	1981 72.9	1982 74.2	1980 1983 76.1	-1985) 1984 75.6	1985 74.1	74.2
# c fre (' tra	ars/train ight car load tons/car) in speed	1980 72.0 49.6 38.2	1981 72.9 50.8 38.5	1982 74.2 56.3 37.1	1980 1983 76.1 53.9 37.7 86; Stat	-1985) 1984 75.6 54.9 37.9	1985 74.1 54.2 38.5	74.2 53.3

9046 8852 7121 8056 8505 8445

TABLE 4.3

AGGREGATE ACCIDENT RATES
(RTC, 1986; STATISTICS CANADA, 1980-1985)

a. Train Derailm		2002	7.000	7.000			_
Manhau man militai	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	Avg
Number per millio gross tonne-km	0.0006	0.0008	0.0008	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0007
Number per millio freight car-km	n 0.0323	0.0393	0.0459	0.0351	0.0321	0.0329	0.0363
b. Train Collisi	.ons						
Mumbor non willia	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	Avg
Number per millio gross tonne-km	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
Number per millio freight car-km	o.0107	0.0122	0.0142	0.0114	0.0120	0.0085	0.0115
c. Train Crossin	g Accide	nts					
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	Avg
Number per millic gross tonne-km	0.0018	0.0017	0.0017	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0015
Number per millio freight car-km	n 0.0913	0.0862	0.0970	0.0704	0.0701	0.0718	0.0811
d. Transportatio							
15-min-m man 1771-	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	Avg
Number per millio gross tonne-km	0.0003	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004
Number per millio							
	n						

4.2 Analysis of Rail Accident Causes

For purposes of a detailed analysis of accident causes, separate records for each individual accident occurrence were obtained for the years from 1980 to 1985, and entered into a computerized data base format. The specific fields within each of the original derailment and collision data base files are summarized in Table 4.4, along with those variables that were subsequently added. A detailed data base of highway-railroad crossing accidents was not analyzed, since these accidents have been shown to depend on both highway and railroad factors, of which only the latter have been assessed. Furthermore, the release of dangerous goods on rail is mainly due to non-crossing accidents; approximately 5% of all dangerous goods involvements occur due to crossing accidents (CTC Annual Report, 1986). The nature of accidents taking place at grade crossings for rail and at intersections for trucks will be investigated separately in the next phase of the study, under the URIF.

The data contained in this detailed data base were found to be in general agreement with the preliminary statistics published by the Canadian Transport Commission, except for the fact that invalid accident observations had been removed from the preliminary statistics and were not present in the more up-to-date accident file. The original data base provided descriptions of accident causes in a qualitative form. Consequently, for purposes of classification and analysis, these qualitative causes were assigned a numerical code describing the type of accident cause.

The accident coding scheme is based on the Federal Railway Administration (1985) Accident Record System (FRA system). This not only provides for a comprehensive set of existing standard codes, but also allows for subsequent comparisons to similar American data, although the damage levels for reporting are different. In the U.S., damages over \$6700 (US) are required to be reported. In Canada, this figure was \$750 (Cdn) until November 1, 1987, when the level of reporting became \$7000 (Cdn). As of January 1, 1988, the level of damage for reporting is \$7350 (Cdn).

For purposes of illustration, a subset of some of the FRA cause codes and descriptions is provided in Table 4.5. In addition to the original numerical codes, subsequent codes were periodically added to further differentiate within groups of accident causes. Also, a secondary flag was added, depending upon whether the incident was locomotive or non-locomotive related.

While a tabulation of all accident occurrences for 1980 to 1985 using the initial FRA codes provided some initial insights into the detailed causes of accidents, the findings became more significant when grouped according to the aggregate coding system described below.

TABLE 4.4

FIELDS CONTAINED IN THE ACCIDENT DATA BASE

Derailment

Record file number
Date of Incident
Subdivision
Mile post
Cause of accident
Number of people killed
Number of people injured
Dangerous goods indicator
Number of units that derailed
Train tonnes
FRA Accident Cause Codes
Corresponding Aggregate Code
Locomotive indicator
Various indicators to identify the derailed unit(s)

Collision

Record file number Date of incident Subdivision Railway Mile post Cause of accident Number of people killed Number of people injured Type of collision Code for the first rolling stock type in collision Code for the second rolling stock type in collision Dangerous goods indicator Indicator if collision caused subsequent derailment Number of units that derailed (if derailment occurred) FRA Accident Cause Codes Corresponding Aggregate Cause Code Locomotive indicator Logical indicators for type of rolling stock in collision

* indicates variables subsequently coded for analysis purposes

TABLE 4.5

SAMPLE OF US FEDERAL RAILWAY ADMINISTRATION ACCIDENT CAUSE CODES

Code Number	Qualitative Description of Primary Accident Cause
101 102 109	Roadbed settled or soft Washout/rain/slide/ice etc., damage to track Other roadbed effects
110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 125 129	Wide gauge (defective/missing crossties) Wide gauge (defective/missing fasteners) Wide gauge (involving gauge rods) Wide gauge due to worn rail Track alignment irregular Track alignment irregular (buckled) Track profile improper Superelevation incorrect Superelevation runoff improper Cross level irregular at joints Cross level irregular not at joints Wide gauge unspecified Other track geometry defects
130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139	Bolt hole crack or break Broken base of rail Broken weld, field Broken weld, plant Detail fracture from shelling/head fracture Engine burn fracture Head/web separation (outside joint bar limits) Head/web separation (within joint bar limits) Horizontal split head Piped rail

The original FRA coding scheme was summarized into approximately 80 accident causes which belong in one of the following 4 families:

- a. track environment related causes (fixed plant),
- b. train related causes on the tracks (rolling stock),
- c. operational types of causes (rules of operation), and
- d. other miscellaneous factors (not classified above).

A summary of the average frequency of these groups of causes for 1980 to 1985 is provided in Table 4.6 for derailments and collisions. This summary indicates that roughly equal numbers of derailments are caused by fixed plant, rolling stock and operational causes, while collisions are attributed almost exclusively to operational causes. Due to the virtual lack of fixed plant and rolling stock related collisions, the number of collisions is approximately one third of the number of derailments. However, when rail car involvements are considered in each train accident, this ratio is reduced to 1 car collision per 13 car derailments.

The derailment and collision frequencies form a basis from which accident rates could be established, when supplemented with corresponding exposure data. Estimation of exposure measures for rail is discussed in Section 4.3 of the report. It should be noted here that the above frequency analysis does provide a good guide for this analysis. For example, the clear distinction between types of accident causes suggests that separate accident rates need to be determined for track, equipment and operational factors. Specifically, as only one third of all derailments are track-related, it would be inappropriate to develop a model which predicts all derailments as a function of track quality. Similarly, the predominance of operational causes of collisions appears to indicate that an explanation of differences in collision accident rates should be based firmly on variables describing operational differences between various rail sections.

4.3 Analysis of Factors Affecting Rail Accident Rates

An analysis of rail accident rates is predicated on the estimation of accident involvement for compatible measures of exposure. As was the case for the analysis of truck accident rates, rail accident rates were obtained by aggregating derailments and collisions in the data base, with respect to underlying environmental causes for which exposure measures are available.

Accident frequencies were classified according to selected average properties of each subdivision. Specifically, the number of derailments and collisions for an aggregate set of causes (Table 4.6) were totalled for each subdivision for the period 1980-85. Subdivisions for which exposure was not available, were excluded from the analysis. Each subdivision was classified according to various combinations of track-related factors, such as regional affiliation, volume class, track environment, subdivision operating speed, and number of tracks (Table 4.7). Regional affiliation serves to reflect various design and operational features that are unique to networks in specific regions of the country. For example, the Mountain Region is likely to be characterized by different vertical and horizontal

TABLE 4.6
SUMMARY OF DERAILMENT AND COLLISION FREQUENCIES 1980 TO 1985

		Derai	Average lment	Frequency Colli	ision
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
a. b. c. d.	fixed plant rolling stock operational causes other/unknown factors not applicable to study	120 92 81 17 7	38 29 26 5 2	4 3 97 4 7	3.5 3 84 3.5 6
					
	Total	317	100	115	100

Table 4.7

VARIABLES FOR PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS

VARIABLES	CATEGORIES
ACCIDENT TYPE	Collision Derailment
ACCIDENT CAUSE TYPE	Fixed Plant Rolling Stock Operational Other/Unknown
TRACK ENVIRONMENT	Mainline Yard
VOLUME	<pre>< 100 million ton-mi/year 100- 1000 million ton-mi/year 1000-10000 million ton-mi/year >10000 million ton-mi/year</pre>
NUMBER OF TRACKS	Single Multiple
AVERAGE FREIGHT SPEED	< 35 mph > 35 mph
GEOGRAPHIC REGION	Atlantic Central Prairies Mountain

Note: Speed = Subdivision Operating Speed

alignments than the Prairie Region, all other factors assumed constant. Volume class reflects track quality; high volumes require increased maintenance and better design standards.

Freight movements in terms of car-miles and ton-miles for each subdivision on the rail network were extrapolated from various sources, such as CTC Annual Reports, CN-CP Files and Statistics Canada. These flow estimates represent measures of exposure on the subdivision which, when compared to accident frequencies, gives the corresponding accident rate for the subdivision for a given class of accident. For the purpose of comparing truck and rail accident rates, rail exposure is expressed in terms of car-kilometers. From the perspective of productivity on each mode, accident rates for truck and rail are expressed in terms of tonne-kilometers.

Accident rates for various factors affecting train derailments and collisions are summarized in Tables 4.8 and 4.9 for mainline and yard locations, respectively.

4.3.1 Mainline Collision and Derailment Rates

The mainline data for CN rail only included 223 train collisions and 835 train derailments for the 1980-85 period nation-wide. This translates to about 5.0 cars per train derailment and 1.2 cars per collision.

Table 4.8 indicates that regional differences are exacerbated in derailment rates compared to collision rates, with mean (standard deviation) of 0.025 (0.009) and 0.572 (0.221) for derailment and collisions, respectively. As expected, the Mountain Region experienced the highest collision rate at 0.039 collision per million car-miles. The Atlantic Region, on the other hand, reflected the highest derailment rate at 0.907 per million car-miles. The Prairies reflected the safest record for both collision and derailment experience, at 0.017 and 0.298 per million car-miles, respectively.

Subdivisions consisting of single tracks only registered higher collision and derailment rates than subdivisions containing multiple tracks, with a ratio of 1.4 for collisions compared to 2.1 for derailments.

Speed class did not have a significant effect on accident rates for collisions and derailments. This is very likely due to the strong correlation between speed and track quality.

Significant differences in rates were observed for different volume categories. In general, increasing volumes are characterized by lower collision and derailment rates. This supports the assumption that volume class can serve as a surrogate measure for track quality on mainlines.

TABLE 4.8

MAINLINE COLLISION AND DERAILMENT ACCIDENT RATES (Classified by Region, Volume and Accident Cause)

REGION VOLUME	EXPOSURE NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS car-mi Collisions (car) Der	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS Collisions (car) Der TOTAL FP OE TO	OF ACC ons (c	CIDENT Car) C	TS Derailments TOTAL FP	ints ((car) EQ) 30	ž	ACCIDENT RATES Collisions per TOTAL	m11 FP	30 0E	Derailmen TOTAL	Derailments per mil TOTAL FP	car-mi EQ	30	Š
ATLANTIC > 100 100-1000 1000-10000 >10000	8990384 26751020 202954516 294814016 533509936	0 0 0 1 1 1	00000	009+1	67 33 254 130 484	28 282 66	0 0 65 48 113	7 70 70 70 70	0 7 6 133	0.000 0.000 0.003 0.003	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.049 0.003	7,452 1,234 1,252 0,441	6.563 1.047 0.636 0.524	0.000 0.000 0.320 0.163	0.890 0.187 0.261 0.034	0.000 0.000 0.034 0.020
CENTRAL > 100 100-1000 1000-10000 >10000	12709195 75013915 806657458 1706100429	23 20 10 58	0000	23 20 38	62 131 578 492 1263	47 88 251 190 576	2 37 167 183 389	13 6 151 80 250	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	0.393 0.307 0.025 0.006	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.393 0.307 0.025 0.006 0.022	4.878 1.746 0.717 0.268 0.486	3.698 1.173 0.311 0.111	0.157 0.493 0.207 0.107 0.150	1,023 0,080 0,187 0,047 0,096	0.000 0.000 0.011 0.023 0.018
PRAIRIES > 100 100-1000 1000-10000 >10000	10185371 66915963 313474346 1878098928 2268674608	+ 4 4 €	00000	14 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	68 208 204 195 675	57 149 1133 91 430	29 56 61 155	0 15 15 86	01 VI O O 4	0.098 0.060 0.013 0.015	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001	0.098 0.060 0.013 0.014 0.016	6.676 3.108 0.651 0.104 0.298	5.596 2.227 0.424 0.048	0.884 0.433 0.179 0.032	0.000 0.418 0.048 0.023 0.038	0.196 0.030 0.000 0.000
MOLNTAIN	38661393 71356714 436624991 2394361698 2941004796	7 22 31 56 116	00000	7 22 31 36 56	191 51 476 1043 1761	108 34 331 590 1063	60 3 78 233 374	18 13 43 219 293	. 24 1 1 24 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	0.181 0.308 0.071 0.023	0.000	0.181 0.308 0.071 0.023 0.039	4,940 0,715 1,090 0,436 0,599	2.793 0.476 0.758 0.246 0.361	1.552 0.042 0.179 0.097 0.127	0,466 0,182 0,098 0,091 0,100	0.129 0.014 0.055 0.000
TOTAL	8343670337	223	8	221	4183	2351	1031	705	96	0.027	0.000	0.026	0.501	0.282	0.124	0.084	0.012

EGEND FP - Fixed Plant EQ - Rolling Stock OE - Operational UNK - Other/Unknown

TABLE 4.9

YARD COLLISION AND DERAILMENT ACCIDENT RATES (Classified by Region, Volume and Accident Cause)

REGION VOLUME	EXPOSURE NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS Car-mi collisions (car) der TOTAL FP OE TO	POSURE NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS Car-mi collisions (car) der TOTAL FP OE TC	DF AC(ons (c	CIDENT Car) of		ailments (car) TAL FP EQ	Car)	8	₹ŭ Ž	ACCIDENT RATES COLLISIONS POT TOTAL	#11 FP	car-mı OE	Derailments per mil TOTAL FP	ts per mil FP	car-mı EQ	38	ž
ATLANTIC > 100	11307	0 0	00	90	0 [0 0	9 0	o r	0 0	0.000	00000	0.000	0.000	0.000	000.0	0.000	0.000
1000-10000 1000-10000 >10000 TOTAL	57,0437 8059360 5010674 13651778		044	0000	31 31 46	0 8 9	20 EB 20	0000		0.000 1.397 0.513	0.000	0.000	0.248 6.187 3.370	0.000 1.597 1.172	0.248 3.592 1.465	0.000	0.000
CENTRAL > 100 100-1000 1000-10000 >10000	13869 763913 19648359 76340204 96766345	933 G C C	00000	0 0 60 60 60	13 6 39 80	0 11 12 24	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 3 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 4 4 6 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	00044	0.000 0.000 1.781 0.432 0.703	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 1.781 0.432 0.703	937,342 7.854 1.120 0.511 0.827	0.000 1.309 0.560 0.157	0.000 0.000 0.153 0.157	937.342 6.545 0.407 0.183 0.413	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.013
PRAIRIES > 100 100-1000 1000-10000 >1000-10000	93345 350121 2506392 26619533 29569391	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00000	0045	0 6 4 4 0	ი ი 	00000	00100	00000	0.000 0.000 0.399 0.563	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.399 0.563	0.000 5.712 1.596 0.526 0.676	0.000 0.000 1.197 0.338 0.406	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.188	0.000 6.712 0.399 0.000	0.000
MOUNTAIN > 100 100-1000 1000-10000 >10000	279346 7366841 61558737 69204924	10456	1004#	1 0 4 11 E	- 0 48 84 132	0 7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	. 0 & 8 £	0 31 31 46	10044	0.000 0.543 0.520 0.520	0.000 0.000 0.016 0.014	0.000 0.5043 0.504	0.000 6.516 1.365 1.907	0.000 1.357 0.715 0.780	0.000 3.122 0.130 0.448	0.000 2.036 0.304 0.665	0.000 0.000 0.016 0.016
TOTAL	209192438	127	lo .	122	278	106	7	66	2	0.607	0.024	0.583	1.329	0.507	0.339	0.473	0.010

LEGEND
FP - Fixed Plant
EQ - Rolling Stock
OE - Operational
UNK - Other/Unknown

4.3.2 Yard Collision and Derailment Rates

Yard movement considers a block of rail cars being marshalled through a yard. Yard engine movements without cars are not considered. Yard accidents are defined as accidents involving cars in railway yards. Yard collision and derailment rates are summarized in Table 4.9. These rates were classified according to regional affiliation, volume and primary accident cause. Track and speed variables were not included in the analysis as the raw data did not reflect the conditions in the yard environment. For example, yard environment is always multiple track and characterized by operating speeds in the less than 35 mph category.

There were 133 yard movement collisions and 123 yard movement derailments for 1980-1985. However, only 127 car collisions were listed in the data, indicating that in some collisions only locomotives (not cars) were involved. About 2.3 cars were involved per derailment in the yard. This is considerably less than the 5.0 cars per train derailment estimated for mainlines.

Total accident rates in rail yards were observed to be several orders of magnitude higher than on mainlines. The yard collision rate of 0.607 cars per million car-miles is about 22.5 times the mainline collision rate. For derailments, however, the total rate observed in yards (1.329 cars per million car-miles) is only 2.6 times the mainline rate. Miles in this case refers to the length of the yard.

As with the mainline data, yard collision and derailment rates differed significantly between the various regions. Central and Atlantic Regions registered the highest collision (0.703 cars per million car-miles) and derailment (3.370 cars per million car-miles) rates, respectively.

Increasing volumes are reflected in decreasing accident rates for both collisions and derailments for all regions. A cross-tabulation of rates by region and volume in Table 4.9 indicates that the relationship between accident rate and volume is strongly affected by regional affiliation.

4.4 Accident Severity

To provide an indication of the severity of rail accidents in Canada, a summary of the impact of these accidents based on the total number of people killed and injured, as well as the total number of units derailed in Canadian rail accidents from 1980 to 1985 is presented below. Fatality and injury rates are also produced and expressed as a function of the accident frequency. The frequencies and rates were produced for four groups of accident causes:

- 1. All fixed plant related
- 2. All equipment related
- 3. All operational related
- 4. All other or unknown

The results are presented for collisions and derailments in rail yards and on mainlines in Tables 4.10 and 4.11 respectively.

TABLE 4.10 SEVERITY OF COLLISIONS ANALYZED BY CAUSE: 1980 - 1985

	FR	NK	NI	ND	NK/FR	NI/FR	ND/FR
Yard - Fixed Plant - Equipment - Operational - Unknown Subtotal	16 3 147 7 173	0 0 3 0 3	1 0 81 1 83	18 2 158 2 180	0.000 0.000 0.020 0.000	0.063 0.000 0.551 0.143	1.125 0.667 1.075 0.286
Main - Fixed Plant - Equipment - Operational - Unknown Subtotal	11 15 444 10 480	0 0 19 0 19	2 13 572 2 589	3 580 0 586	0.000 0.000 0.043 0.000	0.182 0.867 1.288 0.200	0.273 0.200 1.306 0.000
TOTAL	653	22	672	768			

LEGEND:

FR - The total number of accidents occurring in 1980-1985 inclusive.

NK - The total number of people killed in these accidents.
NI - The total number of people injured in these accidents.
ND - The total number of units that derailed in these

accidents.

TABLE 4.11 SEVERITY OF DERAILMENTS ANALYZED BY CAUSE: 1980 - 1985

	FR	NK	NI	ND	NK/FR	NI/FR	ND/FR
Yard - Fixed Plant - Equipment - Operational - Unknown Subtotal	65 36 73 4 178	1 0 0 0	8 34 5 1 48	236 97 187 3 523	0.015 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.123 0.944 0.068 0.250	3.631 2.694 2.562 0.750
Main - Fixed Plant - Equipment - Operational - Unknown Subtotal	656 518 402 4 1580	0 1 0 0	244 78 49 6 377	4163 2042 1529 158 7892	0.000 0.002 0.000 0.000	0.372 0.151 0.122 0.130	6.346 3.942 3.803 3.435
TOTAL	1758	2	425	8415			

LEGEND:

- FR The total number of accidents occurring in 1980-1985 inclusive.
- NK The total number of people killed in these accidents.
 NI The total number of people injured in these accidents.
 ND The total number of units that derailed in these accidents.

4.5 Loglinear Analysis of Rail Accident Rates

As for trucks, loglinear analysis is used to assess interaction effects in rail accident causation, and to determine modifiers for rail accident rates on individual sections of track while controlling for various mitigating factors. As indicated in Section 4.4, separate loglinear expressions have been calibrated for different track environments (mainline and yard) and different accident types (derailment and collisions). It was found, however, that only mainline derailment contain enough information on which a meaningful model can be calibrated. About 87% of the rail accidents in the 1980-85 data base are mainline derailment accidents.

From Section 4.4, four factors were established for the calibration (Table 4.12). These categorical factors form a contingency table with 64 cells, of which 12 were defined as structurally empty. The "best fit" accident rate expression for mainline derailment using exposure as an offset is:

$$log (DAR) = 1 + R + T + S + V + RT + RS + RV + TS + TV + SV + RTS + RTV + RSV$$
[4.1]

where DAR = expected rate in number of derailments per car-mile,

R = geographic region T = number of tracks

S = average freight speed

V = volume.

The selected model contains all the main and second order interaction effects and three third order interaction effects. All the third order interaction terms include "regional affiliation", indicating the importance of regional characteristics in rail accident rate estimation.

Table 4.13 summarizes the estimates of the parameters for the derailment model. These estimates reflect the degree of association for the different levels of interactions among the categorical factors that influence mainline derailment rates. The magnitude of the third level order interactions for the different regions are presented in Table 4.14.

The third order interaction effects of region, track type and volume shows that while for volumes less than 100, multiple tracks register higher derailment rates for all regions, the opposite is true for higher volume classes. In general, lower accident rates were experienced for the high volume categories. Increasing volume also reflects decreasing rates in the region, speed and volume combinations. For these combinations, the Central Region (Ontario and Quebec) registered lower derailments on mainlines than other regions of the country.

TABLE 4.12

VARIABLES IDENTIFIED FOR RAIL LOGLINEAR CALIBRATION

VARIABLE	SYMBOL	CATEGORY DESCRIPTION
GEOGRAPHIC REGION	R	(1) Atlantic(2) Central(3) Prairies(4) Mountain
RAIL TRACK	T	(1) Single(2) Multiple
WEIGHTED FREIGHT SPEED	S	(1) < 35 mph (2) > 35 mph
VOLUME CLASS PER YEAR	V	(1) < 100 million ton-miles/mile (2) 100 - 1000 million ton-miles/mile (3) 1000 - 10000 million ton-miles/mile (4) > 10000 million ton-miles/mile

Note: Speed = Subdivision Operating Speed

TABLE 4.13 PARAMETER ESTIMATES OF DERAILMENT RATE MODEL

PARAMETER	PARAMETER	STANDARD	PARAMI	ETER	PARAMETER	STANDARD
SYMBOL LEVEL	ESTIMATE	ERROR	SYMBO	L LEVEL	ESTIMATE	ERROR
GRAND MEAN	-13.3300	0.3014				
R 2	2.0790	0.3321	SV	22	-4.769 0	0.4824
R 3	1.3630	0.3329	SV	23	-1.5450	0.3733
R 4	0.9106	0.3362	SV	24	0.4178	0.2380
7 2	5.4430	0.6499	RTS	222	1.9480	0.6438
S 2	2.7460	0.3297	RTS	322	-1.9170	0.4851
V 2	1.0560	0.378 7	RTS	422	-0.3764	0.3267
ν з	-0.2882	0.3335	RTŲ	222	2.4800	0.9552
V 4	-4.2290	0.2875	RTV	223	1.7290	0.5433
RT 22	-3.0520	0.7557	RTV	224	0.0000	aliased
RT 32	0.2331	0.5487	RTŲ	322	-3.4950	0.901
RT 42	-1.8030	0.4565	RTU	323	3.2140	0.611
RS 22	-4.8350	0.4667	RT∨	324	0.0000	aliased
RS 32	-2.5370	0.4292	RTU	422	0.0000	aliased
RS 42	-2.4720	0.3711	RT∪	423	0.0000	aliase
TS 22	-0.3079	0.2709	RT♥	424	0.0000	a lias e d
RV 22	-3.2400	0.4281	RSV	222	6.7940	0.6170
RV 23	-2.2390	0.3660	RSV	223	3.3480	0.5083
RV 24	1.1020	0.6795	RSV	224	0.8868	0.7209
RV 32	-2.4680	0.4245	RSV	322	6.1130	0.5763
RV 33	-2.9140	0.3840	RSV	323	3.0300	0.4927
RV 34	-1.1070	0.3702	RSV	324	0.0000	aliase
RV 42	-2.6230	0.4311	RSV	422	4.2760	0.849
RV 43	-1.0870	0.3688	RSV	423	1.7650	0.428
RV 44	1.7850	0.2132	RSV	424	0.0000	aliased
TV 22	-2.4210	0.8412				
TV 23	-6.3710	0.6871				
TV 24	-3,4400	0.4509				

MODEL: SEE EQ. 4.1.

LEGEND R Geographic Region

T No. of Tracks
S Weighted Freight Speed
V Volume Class (per year)

TABLE 4.14

RAIL ACCIDENT RATES
(Rail Derailment Model)

VARIABLE/ CATEGORIES	REGION			
	ATLANTIC	CENTRAL	PRAIRIES	MIATMUOM
	(Accident	rates are per	million car	km)
TRACK				
- SINGLE				
SPEED		40.04	5 00	4.04
- < 35 mph		13.01		
- > 35 mph	25.42	1.61	7.81	3.23
- MULTIPLE				
SPEED - ⟨ 35 mph	274 47	141.96	1854 76	153.78
		90.51		
- / 33 mpn	7236.30	50.51	240.04	102100
TRACK				
- SINGLE				
VOLUME				
+ < 100	1.63	13.01	6.33	4.04
- 100 - 1000	4.69	1.46	1.55	0.84
- 1000 - 10000	1.22	1.04	0.26	1.03
- > 100 0 0	0.02	0.57	0.03	0.3 5
- MULTIPLE				
VOLUME				
		141.96		153.78
- 100 - 1000		16.87	1.22	2.84
- 1000 - 10000	. 0.48	0.11 0.20	3.21	0.07
- > 10000	0.17	0.20	0.29	0.43
SPEED				
→ < 35 mph				
VOLUME				
- < 100	1.63	13.01	6.33	4.04
- 100 - 1080	4.69		1.55	0.84
- 1000 - 10000	1.22	1.04	0.26	
- > 10000	0.02	0.57	0.03	0.35
- > 35 mph VOLUME				*
	25.42	1.61	7.81	5.29
- 100 - 1000				0.67
- 1000 - 10 000	4.04			1.67
- > 10000	0.56		0.06	0.70

Note: Speed = Subdivision Operating Speed