## Use of a Modified Cluster Sampling Method to Perform Rapid Needs Assessment After Hurricane Andrew

From the Epidemiology Program' and Environmental Epidemiology Program.' State Health Office, and District 11 Office," Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, Miami, and the Health Studies Branch's and Lead Poisoning Branch," Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, National Center for Environmental Health, US Centers for Discase Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia.

Received for publication November 1, 1993. Revision received December 3, 1993. Accepted for publication December 6, 1993. Presented at the 121st Annual

Presented at the 121st Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association in San Francisco, October 1993. W Gary Hiady, MD, MS<sup>-</sup>
Lynn E Quenemoen, MD, MPH<sup>s</sup>
Robin R Armenia-Cope, DO, MPH<sup>s</sup>
Kathy J Hurt, MPH<sup>-</sup>
Josephine Malilay, PhO<sup>s</sup>
Eric K Noji, MD, MPH<sup>s</sup>
Gwen Wurm, MO<sup>±</sup>

Study objective: To rapidly obtain population-based estimates of needs in the early aftermath of Hurricane Andrew in South Florida

Methods: We used a modified cluster-sampling method (the Expanded Programme on Immunization [EPI] method) for three surveys. We selected a systematic sample of 30 quarter-mile square clusters for each survey and, beginning from a random start, interviewed members of seven consecutive occupied households in each cluster. Two surveys were of the most affected area (1990 population, 32,672) at three and ten days after the hurricane struck; one survey was of a less affected area (1990 population, 15,576) seven days after the hurricane struck.

Measurements and main results: Results were available within 24 hours of beginning each survey. Initial findings emphasized the need for restoring utilities and sanitation and helped to focus medical relief on primary care and preventive services. The second survey of the most affected area showed improvement in the availability of food, water, electricity and sanitation ( $P \le .05$ ). There was no evidence of disease outbreaks.

Conclusion: For the first time, the EPI method provided population-based information to guide and evaluate relief operations after a sudden-impact natural disaster. An improvement over previous approaches, the EPI method warrants further evaluation as a needs assessment tool in acute disasters

[Hlady WG, Quenemoen LE, Armenia-Cope RR, Hurt KJ, Malilay J, Noji EK, Wurm G. Use of a modified cluster sampling method to perform rapid needs assessment after Hurricane Andrew Ann Emerg Med April 1994;23.719-725.]